

FEDERAL REGISTER

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
OF THE UNITED STATES
1934

VOLUME 26 NUMBER 68

Washington, Tuesday, April 11, 1961

Contents

THE PRESIDENT

Executive Order

Certain national forests in Illinois, Michigan, Missouri, and Wisconsin; modifying the exterior boundaries..... 3051

EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

Agricultural Marketing Service

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:
Milk in Wichita, Kansas, marketing area..... 3070

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Lemons grown in California and Arizona; handling limitation.... 3062

Agricultural Research Service

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:
Smoked hams and other pork products under Federal meat inspection; notice of additional public hearings on moisture content..... 3070

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Domestic quarantine notices; soybean cyst nematode; revised administrative instructions designating regulated areas..... 3057
Scabies in sheep; interstate movement..... 3063

Agriculture Department

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Agricultural Research Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Commodity Exchange Authority.

Atomic Energy Commission

NOTICES:
General Dynamics Corp.; notice of issuance of utilization facility export license..... 3074

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Licensing of source material; unimportant quantities of source material..... 3063

Civil Aeronautics Board

NOTICES:
Allegheny Airlines, Inc.; routing between Hartford/Springfield and Washington; order of investigation and suspension..... 3074

Commerce Department

See also Foreign Commerce Bureau.

NOTICES:
Butler, Paul; statement of changes in financial interests..... 3074

Commodity Credit Corporation

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Barley, corn, grain sorghums, oats and wheat, extension 2; reseal loan programs, 1958 crop..... 3055

Commodity Exchange Authority

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Superseding of certain regulations; correction..... 3069

Commodity Stabilization Service

See Commodity Credit Corporation.

Customs Bureau

NOTICES:
Certain plastic bathroom fixtures; tariff classification; correction... 3072
Tuna fish; tariff-rate quota, 1961.. 3072

Farm Credit Administration

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Federal land banks generally; interest loans through associations..... 3055

Federal Aviation Agency

NOTICES:
No airspace objection:
Airport traffic control tower construction..... 3077
Radio antenna structure proposed construction..... 3076
Radio antenna structure construction (2 documents) .. 3077, 3078

Radio antenna tower proposed construction..... 3078
Radio tower proposed construction (2 documents)..... 3077, 3079
Television antenna structure construction..... 3076
Television antenna structure proposed construction..... 3075
Television antenna tower construction (2 documents) .. 3075, 3078

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Control zone and control area extension; alteration..... 3065
Control zones; revocation and alteration..... 3065

Federal Communications Commission

NOTICES:
Statement of organization, delegations of authority, and other information; creation of Data Processing Division..... 3082

Hearings, etc.:

Bar None, Inc., and Independent Broadcasting Corp..... 3082
Big Horn County Musicasters et al..... 3082
Cornbelt Broadcasting Co. (WHOW) et al. (2 documents)..... 3082, 3083
Four States Broadcasting Co. et al..... 3084
Jefferson Broadcasting Co., Inc. (WTMT)..... 3084
Marietta Broadcasting, Inc..... 3084
Olean Broadcasting Corp., and WIRY, Inc..... 3084
Pee Dee Broadcasting Co. (WLSC) et al..... 3084
Prather, Kenneth G., et al..... 3084
Pressley, Vernon E., et al..... 3085
Rockford Broadcasters, Inc. (WROK) et al..... 3085
Sands Broadcasting Corp. et al..... 3085
Sunshine State Broadcasting Co., Inc. (WBRD)..... 3085
Wireline Radio, Inc..... 3085
Ypsilanti-Ann Arbor Broadcasting Co..... 3085

(Continued on next page)

Federal Power Commission**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Natural gas sales by certain independent producers; area price levels; correction..... 3066

Food and Drug Administration**PROPOSED RULE MAKING:**

Wheat flour and related products; proposal to list acetone peroxides as optional ingredient..... 3070

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Copper sulfate pentahydrate; exemption from tolerance requirement..... 3066

Foreign Commerce Bureau**NOTICES:**

Ross, Irving Robert, et al.; order temporarily denying export privileges..... 3073

RULES AND REGULATIONS:**Export regulations:**

Export clearance and destination control..... 3066
General licenses (2 documents)..... 3069

Licensing policies and related special provisions..... 3066

Mutual assistance on U.S. imports and exports..... 3066

Provisions for individual and other validated licenses..... 3066

Health, Education, and Welfare Department

See Food and Drug Administration.

Indian Affairs Bureau**NOTICES:**

Superintendents and other designated employees; redelegation of authority with respect to credit functions..... 3072

Interior Department

See also Indian Affairs Bureau; Land Management Bureau.

NOTICES:

Property of California Rancherias and of individual members; termination of Federal supervision..... 3073

Interstate Commerce Commission**NOTICES:**

Fourth section applications for relief..... 3085
Motor carrier transfer proceedings..... 3086

Land Management Bureau**NOTICES:**

Alaska; notice of filing of plat of survey and order providing for opening of public lands..... 3072

Securities and Exchange Commission**NOTICES:****Hearings, etc.:**

Equity Corp. et al..... 3079

Southern Electric Generating Co. et al..... 3080

United Industrial Corp..... 3081

Vornado, Inc., and Investors

Diversified Services, Inc..... 3081

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:

Investment advisers..... 3070

State Department**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Control of aliens departing from United States; miscellaneous amendments..... 3069

Small Business Administration**RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

Loan policy statement; business loans..... 3064

Loans to State and local development companies; section 501 and 502 loans..... 3063

Small business size standards; miscellaneous amendments..... 3064

Treasury Department

See Customs Bureau.

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

3 CFR**PROCLAMATIONS:**

1844 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
1931 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
1932 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
1938 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2035 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2060 (see EO 10932)..... 3051
2061 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2218 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2219 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2220 (see EO 10932)..... 3051
2313 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2318 (see EO 10932)..... 3051
2319 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2336 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2357 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2362 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2363 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
2384 (see EO 10932)..... 3051

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

6886 (see EO 10932)..... 3051
7359 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
10374 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
10932..... 3051

6 CFR

10..... 3055
421..... 3055

7 CFR

301..... 3057
953..... 3062
PROPOSED RULES:
968..... 3070

9 CFR

74..... 3063
PROPOSED RULES:
17..... 3070

10 CFR

40..... 3063

13 CFR

108..... 3063
120..... 3064
121..... 3064

14 CFR

601 (2 documents)..... 3065

15 CFR

368..... 3066
371 (2 documents)..... 3066, 3069
372..... 3066
373..... 3066
379..... 3066

17 CFR

15..... 3069
PROPOSED RULES:
275..... 3070

18 CFR

2..... 3066

21 CFR

120..... 3066
PROPOSED RULES:
15..... 3070

22 CFR

46..... 3069

43 CFR**PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:**

201 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
357 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
523 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051
1359 (modified by EO 10932)..... 3051

Presidential Documents

Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

Executive Order 10932

MODIFYING THE EXTERIOR BOUNDARIES OF CERTAIN NATIONAL FORESTS IN ILLINOIS, MICHIGAN, MISSOURI, AND WISCONSIN

WHEREAS certain areas of land chiefly in private ownership were included within the exterior boundaries of certain national forests in the States of Illinois, Michigan, Missouri, and Wisconsin, in anticipation of acquisition of such lands by the United States for national-forest purposes, pursuant to section 7 of the act of March 1, 1911, 36 Stat. 962, as amended (16 U.S.C. 516); and

WHEREAS, because of changes in land use and for other reasons, it is no longer desirable that such lands be acquired for national-forest purposes and they may properly be excluded from the exterior boundaries of the national forests:

NOW, THEREFORE, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the act of June 4, 1897 (30 Stat. 34, 36; 16 U.S.C. 473), and as President of the United States, and upon the recommendation of the Secretary of Agriculture, it is ordered as follows:

The exterior boundaries of (1) the Chequamegon National Forest, Wisconsin, as described by Proclamation No. 2061 of November 13, 1933 (48 Stat. 1716), and modified by Executive Order No. 6886 of October 27, 1934, Proclamation No. 2218 of December 31, 1936 (50 Stat. 1806), Executive Order No. 10374 of July 15, 1952 (17 F.R. 6457), and Public Land Order No. 1359 of November 5, 1956 (21 F.R. 8748); (2) the Clark National Forest, Missouri, as described by Proclamation No. 2363 of September 11, 1939 (54 Stat. 2657); (3) the Hiawatha National Forest, Michigan, as described by Proclamation No. 1931 of January 16, 1931 (46 Stat. 3043), and modified by Proclamation No. 2318 of January 3, 1939 (53 Stat. 2518); (4) the Huron National Forest, Michigan, as described by Proclamation No. 1844 of July 30, 1928 (45 Stat. 2959), as modified by Proclamation No. 2384 of January 31, 1940 (54 Stat. 2684), and Public Land Order No. 201 of January 12, 1944 (9 F.R. 797); (5) the Marquette National Forest, Michigan, as described by Proclamation No. 1938 of February 12, 1931 (46 Stat. 3050), as modified by the act of May 28, 1935 (49 Stat. 307), Proclamation No. 2313 of November 25, 1938 (53 Stat. 2505), Proclamation No. 2319 of January 11, 1939 (53 Stat. 2520), Proclamation No. 2336 of May 11, 1939 (53 Stat. 2541), and Public Land Order No. 523 of October 4, 1948 (13 F.R. 5950); (6) the Mark Twain National Forest, Missouri, as described by Proclamation No. 2362 of September 11, 1939 (54 Stat. 2655); (7) the Nicolet National Forest, Wisconsin, as described by Proclamation No. 2035 of March 2, 1933 (47 Stat. 2561), as modified by Proclamation No. 2060 of November 13,

1933 (48 Stat. 1715), Executive Order No. 6886 of October 27, 1934, Executive Order No. 7359 of May 5, 1936, Proclamation No. 2219 of December 31, 1936 (50 Stat. 1807), Administrative Order of the Secretary of Agriculture of May 13, 1949 (14 F.R. 2647), and Executive Order No. 10374 of July 15, 1952 (17 F.R. 6457); (8) the Ottawa National Forest, Michigan, as described by Proclamation No. 1932 of January 27, 1931 (46 Stat. 3044), as modified by Proclamation No. 2220 of January 11, 1937 (50 Stat. 1808), and Public Land Order No. 357 of March 17, 1947 (12 F.R. 1943); and (9) the Shawnee National Forest, Illinois, as described by Proclamation No. 2357 of September 6, 1939 (54 Stat. 2649), are hereby modified to exclude therefrom the following described lands:

1. LANDS EXCLUDED FROM THE CHEQUAMEGON NATIONAL FOREST, WISCONSIN

FOURTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 33 N., R. 3 W.,
secs. 2, 3, 10, 11, 14, 22 and 23.

2. LANDS EXCLUDED FROM THE CLARK NATIONAL FOREST, MISSOURI

FIFTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 35 N., R. 1 E.,
secs. 1, 2, 11, 12;
sec. 13, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 14.

T. 36 N., R. 1 E.,
sec. 25, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 26, 35 and 36.

T. 33 N., R. 4 E.,
sec. 4;
sec. 5 except E $\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 3 NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 6 and 7.

T. 34 N., R. 4 E.,
sec. 1;
sec. 2, entire section except the W $\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 3 of NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 3, entire section except E $\frac{3}{4}$ of Lot 3 and the E $\frac{3}{4}$ of Lot 2 of NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 4 to 11, inclusive;
sec. 12, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 13, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 14 and 15;
sec. 16, E $\frac{1}{2}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 17, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 18, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ except Lot 1;
sec. 19;
sec. 20, E $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 21, 28 and 29;
sec. 30, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 31;
sec. 32, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 33.

T. 35 N., R. 4 E.,
sec. 1;
sec. 2, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 3 to 10, inclusive;
sec. 11, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 12 to 22, inclusive;
sec. 23, N $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 24 and 25;
sec. 26, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 27, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 28, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 29, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 30 and 31;
sec. 32, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 34, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 35, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 36.

T. 25 N., R. 5 E.,
secs. 1, 12, 13, 24, 25, and 36.

T. 33 N., R. 5 E.,
secs. 1, 2, and 3.

T. 34 N., R. 5 E.,
secs. 1 to 6, inclusive;
sec. 7, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 8 to 17, inclusive;
sec. 18, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ except S $\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 2, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 19 and 20;
sec. 21, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 22 and 23;
sec. 24, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 25 to 28, inclusive;
sec. 29, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 30 to 36, inclusive.

T. 25 N., R. 6 E.,
secs. 5 to 9, inclusive, secs. 13 to 18, inclusive, and sec. 24.

T. 34 N., R. 6 E.,
secs. 1 to 7, inclusive;
sec. 8, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 9, N $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 10 to 23, inclusive;
sec. 24, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 25 to 36, inclusive.

T. 25 N., R. 7 E.,
secs. 18 and 19.

T. 34 N., R. 7 E.,
secs. 2 to 11, inclusive, secs. 14 to 23, inclusive, and secs. 26 to 35, inclusive.

T. 35 N., R. 7 E.,
secs. 1 to 4, inclusive, secs. 9 to 12, inclusive;
sec. 15, E $\frac{1}{2}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 16, 21, 22, 27, 28, 33 and 34.

T. 33 N., R. 8 E.,
secs. 1, 2, secs. 11 to 14, inclusive, secs. 23 to 26, inclusive, and those parts of secs. 3, 10 and 15 lying in Perry County.

T. 34 N., R. 8 E.,
secs. 12 to 14, inclusive, secs. 22 to 26, inclusive, sec. 27, E $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, secs. 34 to 36, inclusive, and those parts of secs. 1, 11 and 15 lying in Perry County.

T. 35 N., R. 8 E.,
sec. 1, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 2 to 7, inclusive, and that part of sec. 36 lying in Perry County.

T. 34 N., R. 9 E.,
secs. 1 to 3, inclusive;
sec. 4, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 5, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 6 to 8, inclusive;
sec. 9, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 10 to 16, inclusive;
sec. 17, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 18 and 19;
sec. 20, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 21, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 22 to 36, inclusive.

T. 27 N., R. 1 W.,
secs. 24 to 26, inclusive, except that part of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and the N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of sec. 24 lying east of the Current River.

T. 30 N., R. 2 W.,
sec. 4, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE;
secs. 5 to 9, inclusive, secs. 16 to 21, inclusive, and secs. 28 to 33, inclusive.

T. 30 N., R. 3 W.

T. 36 N., R. 3 W.,
secs. 4, 9 and 16.

T. 37 N., R. 3 W.,
secs. 4, 9, 16, 21, 28 and 33.

T. 30 N., R. 4 W.,
secs. 1 to 4, inclusive, secs. 9 to 16, inclusive, secs. 21 to 28, inclusive, and secs. 33 to 36, inclusive.

- T. 31 N., R. 4 W.,
secs. 1 to 5, inclusive, secs. 8 to 17, inclusive, secs. 20 to 29, inclusive, and secs. 32 to 36, inclusive.
- T. 32 N., R. 4 W.,
sec. 6;
sec. 7, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$.
- T. 33 N., R. 4 W.,
sec. 5, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$ except $E\frac{1}{2}$ of Lots 1 and 2, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 6, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 7, $SE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$ except Lot 2, $S\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 8, 17, 18, 19, and 30;
sec. 31, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$.
- T. 34 N., R. 4 W.,
sec. 6, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 7;
sec. 18 except Lots 2 and 3 of the $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 19 except Lots 1 and 3 of the $NW\frac{1}{4}$ and Lot 3 of the $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 20;
secs. 29 and 30;
sec. 31, $SE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$ except Lot 3, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 32.

3. LANDS EXCLUDED FROM THE HIAWATHA
NATIONAL FOREST, MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN MERIDIAN

- T. 46 N., R. 19 W.,
sec. 1;
sec. 2, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4} - SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 3 and 10;
sec. 11, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4} - NW\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 12 to 15, inclusive.
- T. 47 N., R. 19 W.,
secs. 35 and 36.
- T. 44 N., R. 21 W.,
sec. 1, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 2, and 3;
secs. 10 to 15, inclusive;
secs. 22 to 24, inclusive;
sec. 25, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 26, 27, 34 and 35;
sec. 36, $W\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4} - SE\frac{1}{4}$.
- T. 45 N., R. 21 W.,
 $E\frac{1}{2}$ of township, except the island within the lake in section 24.
- T. 47 N., R. 21 W.,
secs. 5 to 9, inclusive;
sec. 16, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 17, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 18.
- T. 48 N., R. 21 W.,
secs. 30, 31, and 32.
- T. 43 N., R. 22 W.,
sec. 1, $N\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 2;
sec. 3, $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 4 to 9, inclusive;
sec. 10, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $W\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 11 to 14, inclusive;
sec. 15, $NW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 16 to 21, inclusive;
sec. 22, $N\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 23, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $E\frac{1}{2}W\frac{1}{2}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 24 and 25;
sec. 26, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 27;
sec. 28, $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 29 to 33, inclusive;
sec. 34, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $S\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 35 and 36.

4. LANDS EXCLUDED FROM THE HURON
NATIONAL FOREST, MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN MERIDIAN

- T. 26 N., R. 2 E.,
That part of the township lying north of the Au Sable River.

- T. 27 N., R. 4 E.,
secs. 1 to 4, inclusive;
sec. 5, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 6;
secs. 10 to 15, inclusive.
- T. 27 N., R. 5 E.,
secs. 1 to 12, inclusive;
sec. 13, $W\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 14 to 18, inclusive.
- T. 27 N., R. 6 E.,
secs. 1 to 3, inclusive;
sec. 4, $N\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 5 and 6;
sec. 7, $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 8, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 9 to 18, inclusive.
- T. 27 N., R. 7 E.,
secs. 1 to 18, inclusive.
- T. 25 N., R. 8 E.,
sec. 2;
sec. 3, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 4, $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 5, $E\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 8, $E\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 9;
sec. 10, $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 11, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $E\frac{1}{2}W\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 14, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 15, $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 16, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $S\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 17, $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$.
- T. 26 N., R. 8 E.,
sec. 3, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $E\frac{1}{2}W\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 10 and 14;
sec. 15, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 20, $E\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 21 to 23, inclusive; secs. 26 to 28, inclusive;
sec. 29, $E\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 32, $E\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 33 to 35, inclusive.
- T. 27 N., R. 8 E.,
sec. 1, $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 2, $NW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 3 to 8, inclusive;
sec. 9, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 10, $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 11;
sec. 12, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $N\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 13, $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 14, $N\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 15, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $E\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 16, $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 17, $E\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 18;
sec. 22, $N\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 27, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 34.

5. LANDS EXCLUDED FROM THE MARQUETTE
NATIONAL FOREST, MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN MERIDIAN

- T. 43 N., R. 2 W.,
sec. 1, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 2, $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 3, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 10;
sec. 11, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 12, $S\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 13, $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 14 and 15;
secs. 22 to 26, inclusive;
sec. 27, $W\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 34 and 35;
sec. 36, $N\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$.

6. LANDS EXCLUDED FROM THE MARK TWAIN
NATIONAL FOREST, MISSOURI
FIFTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

- T. 34 N., R. 8 W.,
T. 35 N., R. 8 W.,
 $E\frac{1}{2}$ of township.
- T. 36 N., R. 8 W.,
secs. 1 to 3, inclusive, secs. 10 to 12, inclusive;
sec. 13, $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 14 and 15;
secs. 22 to 26, inclusive;
sec. 27, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $W\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 34;
sec. 35, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 36.
- T. 37 N., R. 8 W.,
secs. 4 to 9, inclusive, secs. 14 to 18, inclusive, secs. 22, 23, 26, 27, 34 and 35.
- T. 25 N., R. 9 W.,
secs. 1 to 3, inclusive, secs. 10 to 16, inclusive, and secs. 21 to 36, inclusive.
- T. 26 N., R. 9 W.,
sec. 1;
sec. 2, $NE\frac{1}{4}$ except the $E\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 1 of the $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 3 to 9, inclusive;
sec. 10, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 11;
sec. 12, $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 13 to 16, inclusive;
sec. 17, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 18, $N\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 21, $N\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 22 and 23;
sec. 24, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 25 to 27, inclusive;
secs. 34 to 36, inclusive.
- T. 34 N., R. 9 W.,
 $E\frac{1}{2}$ of township.
- T. 37 N., R. 9 W.,
secs. 1, 2, 11, and 12.
- T. 24 N., R. 10 W.,
secs. 1 and 12;
sec. 13, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 20 to 22, inclusive;
sec. 23, $W\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 24, $N\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $S\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 25 to 29, inclusive;
secs. 32 to 36, inclusive.
- T. 26 N., R. 10 W.,
secs. 1 and 12;
sec. 13, $N\frac{1}{2}$.
- T. 27 N., R. 10 W.,
secs. 13, 24, 25, and 36.
- T. 32 N., R. 10 W.,
sec. 30, $W\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 31, $W\frac{1}{2}$.
- T. 37 N., R. 10 W.,
secs. 1 and 2, those parts lying north and west of the Gasconade River;
secs. 3 and 10;
secs. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 23, and 24, those parts lying north and west of the Gasconade River.
- T. 24 N., R. 11 W.,
secs. 6 and 7;
sec. 18, $W\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 19, $W\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 30, $W\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 31, $W\frac{1}{2}$.
- T. 27 N., R. 11 W.,
secs. 6 and 7.
- T. 32 N., R. 11 W.,
sec. 3, $N\frac{1}{2}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 4;
sec. 5, $NE\frac{1}{4}$ except the $E\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 2 of the $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 6, $E\frac{1}{2}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}$ except lot 2 of the $NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 7;
sec. 8, $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $W\frac{1}{2}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 9;
sec. 10, $W\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 15, $NW\frac{1}{4}$;

sec. 16, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 17;
 sec. 18, E $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ except the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 2 of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ except Lot 1 and the N $\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 2;
 secs. 19 to 21, inclusive;
 secs. 25 to 34, inclusive;
 sec. 35, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 36.
 T. 27 N., R. 12 W.,
 secs. 1 to 19, inclusive;
 sec. 20, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$; secs. 21 to 36, inclusive.
 T. 31 N., R. 12 W.,
 secs. 19 and 20;
 sec. 29, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 30.
 T. 31 N., R. 13 W.,
 secs. 3, 10, 15, and secs. 22 to 25, inclusive.
 T. 32 N., R. 13 W.,
 secs. 22, 27, and 34.
 T. 34 N., R. 13 W.,
 sec. 5, that part lying north of the Gasconade River.
 T. 35 N., R. 13 W.,
 secs. 4 to 9, inclusive;
 secs. 16 to 18, inclusive;
 secs. 19 and 20, those parts lying north or east of the Gasconade River;
 secs. 21 and 28;
 secs. 29, 31, 32 and 33, those parts lying north or east of the Gasconade River.
 T. 23 N., R. 15 W.,
 sec. 1;
 sec. 4, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 5, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 6 and 12.
 T. 24 N., R. 15 W.,
 secs. 1 and 2;
 sec. 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 secs. 9 to 11, inclusive;
 sec. 12, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 14, 15 and 16;
 sec. 17, E $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 18, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 19;
 sec. 20, N $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 21 to 23, inclusive;
 sec. 24, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 25, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 26 to 36, inclusive.
 T. 24 N., R. 16 W.,
 sec. 13, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 24;
 sec. 25, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 36.
 T. 25 N., R. 16 W.,
 secs. 1 to 28, inclusive;
 sec. 29, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 30 to 32, inclusive;
 sec. 33, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 34 to 36, inclusive.
 T. 24 N., R. 17 W.,
 secs. 1 to 4, inclusive, and secs. 9 to 12, inclusive.
 T. 25 N., R. 17 W.,
 secs. 13 to 29, inclusive, and secs. 32 to 36, inclusive.
 T. 25 N., R. 18 W.,
 sec. 13, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 14, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 15, N $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 22;
 sec. 23, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 24, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 T. 24 N., R. 19 W.,
 sec. 3, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 4, 9, 10, 15, 16, 21, 22, 27, 28, 33 and 34.
 T. 25 N., R. 19 W.,
 sec. 33, SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 26 N., R. 19 W.,
 sec. 3, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 10, W $\frac{1}{2}$;

sec. 15, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 19;
 sec. 20, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 29, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 30;
 sec. 31, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 32, NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 26 N., R. 20 W.,
 secs. 19 and 20;
 sec. 21, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 28, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 29, E $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 30;
 sec. 31, W $\frac{1}{2}$.

7. LANDS EXCLUDED FROM THE NICOLET NATIONAL FOREST, WISCONSIN

FOURTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 37 N., R. 14 E.,
 secs. 1 to 4, inclusive;
 sec. 5, E $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 7 to 24, inclusive;
 sec. 25, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 26 to 30, inclusive;
 sec. 31, E $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 32 to 35, inclusive;
 sec. 36, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 38 N., R. 16 E.,
 sec. 3, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 5, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 secs. 6, 10, 15, 22, 27.
 T. 39 N., R. 16 E.,
 secs. 1 to 3, inclusive;
 sec. 4, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 8;
 sec. 9, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 10 to 17, inclusive;
 sec. 18, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 19, E $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 20 and 21;
 sec. 22, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 23;
 sec. 24, E $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 25, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 26, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 27 to 35, inclusive;
 sec. 36, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 40 N., R. 16 E.,
 sec. 25, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 35, E $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 36.

8. LANDS EXCLUDED FROM THE OTTAWA NATIONAL FOREST, MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN MERIDIAN

T. 50 N., R. 37 W.,
 secs. 7, 18 and 19;
 sec. 30, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 31, N $\frac{1}{2}$.
 T. 50 N., R. 38 W.,
 secs. 1 to 18, inclusive;
 sec. 19, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 secs. 20 to 22, inclusive;
 sec. 23, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 24 to 30, inclusive;
 sec. 32, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 33, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 34, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 35 and 36.
 T. 50 N., R. 39 W.,
 secs. 1 and 2;
 sec. 3, N $\frac{1}{2}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 4 to 15, inclusive;
 sec. 16, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 17 to 30, inclusive.
 T. 50 N., R. 40 W.,
 secs. 1, 2 and 12, those parts lying north of the Ontonagon River.
 T. 50 N., R. 41 W.,
 sec. 6;
 sec. 7, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 51 N., R. 41 W.,
 T. 50 N., R. 42 W.,
 secs. 1 to 16, inclusive;
 sec. 17, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
 secs. 21 to 27, inclusive.

T. 51 N., R. 42 W.,
 T. 50 N., R. 43 W.,
 secs. 1 to 8, inclusive;
 sec. 9, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 10, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 11, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 12, N $\frac{1}{2}$.
 T. 51 N., R. 43 W.,
 T. 50 N., R. 44 W.,
 secs. 1 to 22, inclusive.
 T. 51 N., R. 44 W.,
 T. 45 N., R. 45 W.,
 Entire township except Lot 6 of Section 2.
 T. 46 N., R. 45 W.,
 T. 47 N., R. 45 W.,
 secs. 19 to 21, inclusive, and secs. 28 to 33, inclusive.
 T. 49 N., R. 45 W.,
 secs. 4 and 5.
 T. 50 N., R. 45 W.,
 secs. 1 to 20, inclusive;
 sec. 21, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 22, NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 24, NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 29, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 30 to 32, inclusive;
 sec. 33, S $\frac{1}{2}$.
 T. 45 N., R. 46 W.,
 T. 46 N., R. 46 W.,
 T. 47 N., R. 46 W.,
 secs. 21 to 29, inclusive, and secs. 31 to 35, inclusive;
 sec. 36, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 46 N., R. 47 W.,
 T. 47 N., R. 48 W.,
 secs. 1 and 2, those parts lying north of the Montreal River.
 T. 48 N., R. 48 W.,
 sec. 1;
 sec. 2, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 3 to 9, inclusive, secs. 11 to 36, inclusive.
 T. 49 N., R. 48 W.,
 T. 48 N., R. 49 W.,
 Entire township except Lot 2 of Section 10.

9. LANDS EXCLUDED FROM THE SHAWNEE NATIONAL FOREST, ILLINOIS

THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

T. 13 S., R. 4 E.,
 sec. 25, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 26, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 35, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 36, W $\frac{1}{2}$.
 T. 10 S., R. 5 E.,
 sec. 23;
 sec. 24, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 secs. 31, 32 and 33.
 T. 14 S., R. 5 E.,
 secs. 3, 4;
 sec. 5, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 6, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 7 to 10, inclusive;
 secs. 15 to 18, inclusive;
 sec. 19, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 20;
 sec. 21, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 22, NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 27, E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 28, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 29, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$;
 sec. 30, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 31 to 33, inclusive;
 sec. 34, W $\frac{1}{2}$.
 T. 15 S., R. 5 E.,
 secs. 2 to 11, inclusive, and secs. 13 to 18, inclusive.
 T. 10 S., R. 6 E.,
 secs. 19 to 24, inclusive.
 T. 15 S., R. 6 E.,
 secs. 19, 20, and secs. 28 to 33, inclusive.
 T. 10 S., R. 7 E.,
 sec. 4;
 sec. 5, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 6, N $\frac{1}{2}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 sec. 7;
 sec. 8, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 secs. 17 to 19, inclusive.

T. 12 S., R. 7 E.,
secs. 23 and 24;
sec. 25, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 26, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 35 and 36.
T. 13 S., R. 7 E.,
sec. 1.
T. 12 S., R. 8 E.,
secs. 13 and 14, secs. 19 to 22, inclusive;
sec. 23, N $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 24 to 33, inclusive.
T. 13 S., R. 8 E.,
secs. 4 to 8, inclusive.
T. 12 S., R. 9 E.,
secs. 1 to 4, inclusive, secs. 8 to 16,
inclusive;
sec. 17, N $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 18, E $\frac{1}{2}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 19;
sec. 20, W $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 21 to 23, inclusive.
T. 14 S., R. 1 W.,
sec. 7;
sec. 8, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 9;
secs. 16 to 18, inclusive.
T. 15 S., R. 1 W.,
secs. 7, 18, 19, and 30, those parts lying in
Alexander County.
T. 16 S., R. 1 W.,
secs. 6, 7, and 18, those parts lying in
Alexander County, except the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
of sec. 7.

T. 9 S., R. 2 W.,
sec. 19, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 20, N $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 12 S., R. 2 W.,
secs. 14, 23, 26, 30, 31;
sec. 35, N $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 13 S., R. 2 W.,
secs. 19, 24, 25;
sec. 30, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 31, N $\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 36, N $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 14 S., R. 2 W.,
sec. 36.
T. 15 S., R. 2 W.,
sec. 1, secs. 10 to 15, inclusive, secs. 22 to
25, inclusive;
sec. 26, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 27 and 28, secs. 31 to 35, inclusive;
sec. 36, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 16 S., R. 2 W.,
secs. 1 and 2;
sec. 12, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and that part of the
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ lying in Alexander County;
sec. 13, that part lying in Alexander
County.
T. 8 S., R. 3 W.,
secs. 20 and 33.
T. 9 S., R. 3 W.,
secs. 1, 2, 3;
sec. 6, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
secs. 7, 10, 11, 18, 19, and 30.
T. 10 S., R. 3 W.,
sec. 18, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 19, W $\frac{1}{2}$;

sec. 29, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 30, E $\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 32, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 11 S., R. 3 W.,
sec. 17, SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 20, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 29, NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 12 S., R. 3 W.,
sec. 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
sec. 12, S $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 14 S., R. 3 W.,
secs. 15, 21, 22, 28;
sec. 33, W $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 15 S., R. 3 W.,
secs. 4, 5, 8, 9, 16, 17, 20, 21, 25;
sec. 26, N $\frac{1}{2}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
secs. 27 and 28, and secs. 33 to 36, inclusive.
T. 8 S., R. 4 W.,
sec. 35, S $\frac{1}{2}$.
T. 9 S., R. 4 W.,
sec. 1;
sec. 2, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
sec. 12.
T. 8 S., R. 5 W.,
secs. 1 and 2;
sec. 3, that part lying in Jackson County;
sec. 4, that part of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ lying in Jack-
son County.

JOHN F. KENNEDY

THE WHITE HOUSE,
April 7, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3276; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
10:14 a.m.]

Rules and Regulations

Title 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

Chapter I—Farm Credit Administration

SUBCHAPTER B—FEDERAL FARM LOAN SYSTEM

PART 10—FEDERAL LAND BANKS GENERALLY

Interest Rates on Loans Through Associations

Section 10.41, interest rates on loans through associations, is hereby withdrawn from Subchapter B, Chapter I, Title 6 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

R. B. TOOTELL,
Governor,
Farm Credit Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3185; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:47 a.m.]

Chapter IV—Commodity Stabilization Service and Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[1958 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Reseal Loan Bulletin, Extension 2]

PART 421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

Subpart—1958-Crop Reseal Loan Programs for Barley, Corn, Grain Sorghums, Oats and Wheat

An extended reseat loan program has been announced for the 1958 crops of barley, corn, grain sorghums, oats and wheat for the 1961-62 storage period. The 1958 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1 and amendments thereto (23 F.R. 2663, 5257 and 8043) issued by Commodity Credit Corporation and containing the general requirements with respect to price support operations for grains and related commodities produced in 1958, supplemented for barley, corn, grain sorghums, oats and wheat containing the specific requirements for the 1958-crop price support programs for these commodities are hereby further supplemented as follows:

- Sec.
421.3571 Applicable sections of 1958 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1 and commodity supplements.
421.3572 Availability.
421.3573 Eligible producer.
421.3574 Eligible commodity.
421.3575 Approved storage
421.3576 Quantity eligible for extended reseat loan.
421.3577 Service charges.
421.3578 Transfer of producer's equity.
421.3579 Storage and track-loading payments.
421.3580 Maturity and satisfaction.

Sec.

- 421.3581 Support rates, premiums and discounts.
421.3582 Death, incompetency or disappearance.

AUTHORITY: §§ 421.3571 to 421.3582 issued under sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 101, 301, 401, 63 Stat. 1051, 1054, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 714c; 7 U.S.C. 1421, 1441, 1447.

§ 421.3571 Applicable sections of 1958 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1 and commodity supplements.

The following sections of the 1958 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, as amended, published (in 23 F.R. 2663, 5257 and 8043) shall be applicable to the 1958 extended reseat loan programs for barley, corn, grain sorghums, oats and wheat for the 1961-62 storage period: §§ 421.3001, 421.3008, 421.3010, 421.3011, 421.3013, 421.3014, 421.3015, 421.3016, 421.3017, 421.3019. Applicable sections of the individual commodity supplements are as follows: for barley, §§ 421.3080 and 421.3081 (23 F.R. 3492); for corn, §§ 421.3140 and 421.3141 (23 F.R. 5141); for grain sorghums, §§ 421.3230 and 421.3231 (23 F.R. 4401); for oats, §§ 421.3280 and 421.3281 (23 F.R. 3425); and for wheat, §§ 421.3040 and 421.3041 (23 F.R. 3485 and 6551). Other sections of the 1958 C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1 and supplements thereto for barley, corn, grain sorghums, oats and wheat shall be applicable to the extent indicated in this subpart.

§ 421.3572 Availability.

(a) *Area and scope.* This extended reseat loan program will be available in the following areas where ASC State committees determine that the commodity can be safely stored on farms for the period of the extended reseat loan and that it will be advantageous to producers and CCC to permit producers to obtain extended reseat loans, except that in the case of oats this program will be available only in States where the Executive Vice President, CCC, on the basis of recommendations from the ASC State committee approves such program:

Name of Commodity and Area

Barley, grain sorghums, oats and wheat: In all States in the continental United States where such 1958 crops are under reseat loan.

Corn: In all counties in the continental United States where 1958-crop corn is under reseat loan except in angoumois moth areas designated by the ASC State committee.

(b) *Time and source.* The producer who has a reseat loan and who desires to extend such loan for 1961-62 period must make application to the office of the county committee which approved his reseat loan before the final date for delivery specified in the delivery instructions issued to him by the office of the county committee.

(c) *New forms.* Where required by State law a new producer's note and

chattel mortgage shall be completed when a farm-storage loan is extended. Where new forms are not completed, extension of reseat loan for the 1961-62 period shall not affect the rights of CCC including its right to accelerate the maturity date of the note and the rights and responsibilities of the producer as set forth in this subpart and in the original forms completed by the producer.

§ 421.3573 Eligible producer.

An eligible producer shall be an individual, partnership, association, corporation, estate, trust, or other business enterprise, or legal entity, and wherever applicable, a State, political subdivision of a State, or any agency thereof producing barley, corn, grain sorghums, oats or wheat in 1958 as landowner, landlord, tenant, or sharecropper, who has in effect a farm-storage reseat loan on such crop. In the case of corn and wheat, a producer shall not qualify as an eligible producer unless he was in compliance with the requirements for eligibility for price support prescribed in 1958 Corn Bulletin A (23 F.R. 4719) and 1958 Wheat Bulletin A (23 F.R. 4525), respectively, and any amendments thereto. Executors, administrators, trustees or receivers who represent an eligible producer or his estate may qualify to participate in this program provided the reseat documents executed by them are legally valid. Where the county committee has experienced difficulties in setting farm-storage loans with a producer the county committee shall determine that he is not eligible for an extension of his reseat loan under this program.

§ 421.3574 Eligible commodity.

(a) *Requirements of eligibility.* The commodity (1) must be in farm storage presently under reseat loan and (2) must meet the following quality eligibility requirements:

Name of Commodity and Eligibility Requirements

Barley: The barley (1) must meet the requirements set forth in § 421.3078 (a) and (b); (2) must be of any class grading No. 4 or better (or No. 4 Garlicky or better) except that Western Barley shall have a test weight of not less than 40 pounds per bushel; (3) must not grade Tough Weevily, Stained if Western Barley, Blighted, Bleached, Ergoty or Smutty; and (4) must not contain mercurial compounds or other substances poisonous to man or animals.

Corn: The corn (1) must meet the requirements set forth in § 421.3138 (a), (b), (c), and (d); (2) must grade No. 3 or better or No. 4 on the factor of test weight only but otherwise No. 3 or better; (3) must contain not in excess of 16.0 percent moisture in the case of ear corn nor in excess of 14.0 percent moisture in the case of shelled corn; and (4) must not grade Weevily.

Grain Sorghums: The grain sorghums (1) must meet the requirements set forth in § 421.3228 (a) and (b); (2) must be of any class grading No. 4 or better, No. 4 Smutty or better, or No. 4 Discolored or better; (3) must not grade Weevily or contain mercurial com-

pounds or other substances poisonous to man or animals; and (4) must not be in excess of 13 percent moisture.

Oats: The oats (1) must meet the requirements set forth in § 421.3278 (a) and (b); (2) must grade No. 3 or better or No. 3 Garlicky or better under the Official Grain Standards of the United States for Oats effective prior to June 1, 1959; (3) must not be Feed Oats or Mixed Feed Oats; (4) must not grade Tough, Weevily, Smutty, Ergoty, Bleached or Thin; and (5) must not contain mercurial compounds or other substances poisonous to man or animals.

Wheat: The wheat (1) must meet the eligibility requirements set forth in § 421.3038 (a), (b), and (d); (2) must be of any class grading No. 3 or better or any class grading No. 4 or 5 on the factor of test weight and/or because of containing Durum and/or Red Durum, but otherwise grading No. 3 or better; (3) may be wheat of the class Mixed Wheat consisting of mixtures of grades of eligible wheat as stated above provided such mixtures are the natural products of the field; and (4) must not grade Tough, Weevily, Ergoty or Treated.

(b) **Inspection.** If a producer makes application to extend his resale loan, for the 1961-62 period, the loan inspector shall reinspect the commodity and the farm-storage structure in which the commodity is stored. If recommended by either the commodity loan inspector or the producer, a sample of the commodity shall be taken and submitted for grade analysis.

§ 421.3575 Approved storage.

The commodity must be stored in structures which meet the requirements for farm-storage loans as provided in § 421.3006(a). Consent for storage for any loans extended must be obtained by the producer for a period of 60 days following the applicable maturity date of the extended resale loan for the commodity, if the structure is owned or controlled by someone other than the producer or if the lease expires prior to 60 days following the maturity date of the extended resale loan.

§ 421.3576 Quantity eligible for extended resale loan.

The quantity of the commodity eligible for an extended resale farm-storage loan shall be the quantity shown on the original note and chattel mortgage less (a) any quantity delivered not including the quantity represented by overdelivery for which overrun payment is made and (b) the quantity redeemed.

§ 421.3577 Service charges.

When a resale loan is extended for the 1961-62 period the producer will not be required to pay an additional service charge.

§ 421.3578 Transfer of producer's equity.

The producer shall not transfer either his remaining interest in or his right to redeem the commodity mortgaged as security for an extended resale loan nor shall anyone acquire such interest or right. Subject to the provisions of § 421.3017 regarding partial redemption of loans, a producer who wishes to liquidate all or part of his loan by contracting for the sale of the commodity must obtain written prior approval of the county committee on Commodity Loan

Form 12 to remove the commodity from storage when the proceeds of the sale are needed to repay all or any part of the loan. Any such approval shall be subject to the terms and conditions set out in Commodity Loan Form 12, copies of which may be obtained by producers or prospective purchasers at the office of the county committee.

§ 421.3579 Storage and track-loading payments.

(a) **Storage payment for 1960-61 storage period.** (1) A producer who extends his resale loan for the 1961-62 period will at the time of such extension receive a payment for storage earned during the 1960-61 resale loan period. This payment will be disbursed by the ASC county office and will be computed as follows:

14 cents per bushel for barley, corn and wheat, 24 cents per hundredweight for grain sorghums and 10 cents per bushel for oats less any advance storage payments made to the producer under the provisions of § 421.3559(b) (3).

(2) Upon delivery of 1958-crop barley, corn, grain sorghums, oats or wheat to CCC, the actual quantity of such commodity held in farm storage under the extended resale loan program will be determined by weighing. The storage payments earned by the producer covering the 1959-60 and 1960-61 storage periods will be recomputed on the basis of the actual quantity determined to have been in storage during such periods. Any amount due the producer for such storage on the quantity delivered in excess of the quantity stated in the documents for the resale loans or any extension thereof will be regarded as an additional credit in effecting settlement with the producer. The amount of any overpayment which is determined to have been made to the producer at the time of any extension of the resale loan shall be collected from the producer.

(3) No storage payment will be made for the 1960-61 resale loan period (i) where the producer has made any false representation in the loan documents or in obtaining the loan, (ii) where during or prior to the 1960-61 resale loan period, the commodity has been abandoned or the commodity was damaged or otherwise impaired due to negligence on the part of the producer, or (iii) where during or prior to the 1960-61 resale loan period the commodity was converted by the producer or at any time subsequent thereto there was conversion of the commodity by the producer with intent to defraud CCC.

(b) **Storage payment for 1961-62 storage period.** A storage payment for the 1961-62 resale storage period will be made as follows:

(1) **Storage payment for full resale period.** A storage payment in the amount of 14 cents per bushel for barley, corn and wheat, 24 cents per hundredweight for grain sorghums and 10 cents per bushel for oats will be made to the producer on the quantity involved if he (i) redeems the commodity from the loan on or after the maturity date of the extended resale loan, (ii) delivers the commodity to CCC on or after the maturity date of the extended resale loan, or (iii) delivers the commodity to CCC prior to

the maturity date of the extended resale loan pursuant to demand by CCC for repayment of the loan solely for the convenience of CCC.

(2) **Prorated storage payment.** A storage payment determined by prorating the yearly rate according to the length of time the commodity was in store for the period beginning on the day subsequent to the maturity date applicable to the extended resale loan (March 31, 1961, for wheat and grain sorghums; April 30, 1961, for barley, and oats, except for barley in Arizona and California—March 10, 1961; and July 31, 1961, for corn) will be made to the producer, (i) in the case of loss assumed by CCC under the provisions of the loan program, (ii) in the case of the commodity redeemed from extended resale loan prior to the maturity date for such loan and (iii) in the case of the commodity delivered to CCC prior to the maturity date of the extended resale loan pursuant to CCC's demand and not solely for the convenience of CCC or upon the request of the producer and with the approval of CCC. The prorated storage payment will be computed at the daily rate of \$0.00038 per bushel for barley, corn and wheat; \$0.00066 per hundredweight for grain sorghums; and \$0.00027 per bushel for oats but not to exceed the amount specified in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph. In the case of losses assumed by CCC the period for computing the storage payment will end on the date of the loss; and in the case of redemptions, on the date of repayment.

(3) **No storage payments.** Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this paragraph, in no case will any storage payment be made for the 1961-62 storage period where the producer has made any false representation in the loan documents or in obtaining the loan or in deliveries or settlement under the loan, or where during or prior to such period, (i) the commodity has been abandoned, (ii) there has been conversion on the part of the producer or (iii) the commodity was damaged or otherwise impaired due to negligence on the part of the producer.

(c) **Track-loading payment.** A track-loading payment of 3 cents per bushel will be made to the producer on barley, corn, oats and wheat, and 6 cents per 100 pounds for grain sorghums delivered to CCC in accordance with instructions of the county committee, on track at a country point.

§ 421.3580 Maturity and satisfaction.

(a) Loans will mature on demand but not later than March 31, 1962, for wheat and grain sorghums; April 30, 1962, for barley and oats (March 10, 1962 for barley in Arizona and California); and July 31, 1962, for corn. The producer must pay off his loan, plus interest, on or before the maturity of the loan or deliver the mortgaged commodity in accordance with the instructions of the county office. If the producer desires to deliver the commodity he should, prior to maturity, give the county office notice in writing of his intention to do so. The producer may, however, pay off his loan and redeem his commodity at any time

prior to the delivery of the commodity to CCC or removal of the commodity by CCC. Credit will be given at the applicable settlement value according to the grade and quality for the total quantity eligible for delivery. Delivery of the commodity will be accepted only from the structure(s) in which the commodity under extended resale loan is stored, and the producer shall not include in the quantity of the commodity delivered in settlement of a loan any commodity which has been added to or substituted for the commodity covered by the loan documents. The provisions of § 421.3018 (a) and (d) shall be applicable to all commodities. The provisions for barley in § 421.3086 (a) (1), (b) (2), (3), and (4) and (e) and (g); for corn in § 421.3146 (a) (1), (d), and (f); for wheat in § 421.3046 (a) (1), (b) (2), (3), (4), and (5), (e) and (g); for oats in § 421.3286 (a) (1), (d) and (f); and for grain sorghums in § 421.3236 (a) (1), (b) (2), (3), and (4), (e) and (g) shall apply.

(b) The making of any fraudulent representation by the producer, in connection with settlement or deliveries under the loan shall render the producer personally liable, aside from any additional liability under criminal and civil frauds statutes, for the amount of the loan, for any additional amounts paid to the producer on the commodity, and for all costs which the Corporation would not have incurred had it not been for the producer's fraudulent representation, together with interest at the rate of 6 percent per annum on such amounts from the date of disbursement. For the purpose of establishing any deficiency remaining due in the event the producer has made any such fraudulent representation, the value of the commodity delivered to the corporation under the loan or removed by the Corporation, shall be the market value, as determined by the Corporation, on the date of delivery or removal, or the sales price if the commodity is sold by CCC in order to determine its market value.

§ 421.3581 Support rates, premiums and discounts.

(a) The support rate for an extended resale loan shall remain the same as for the original loan.

(b) The applicable discounts or premiums established for variation in quality as shown for barley in § 421.3083 (d) and (e); for corn in § 421.3143 (b) and § 421.3147 (b) (1) and (3); for oats in § 421.3283 (b) and (c) (1) and (3); for wheat in § 421.3043 (d) (3) and (4); and for grain sorghums in § 421.3233 (d) and (e) shall apply in settlement of loans on such commodities.

§ 421.3582 Death, incompetency or disappearance.

In case of the death, incompetency or disappearance of any producer who is entitled to the payment of any sum under the regulations, the payment of such sum shall be made to the person or persons who would be entitled to such producer's payment under the regulations contained in §§ 472.1051 to 472.1054 of

this chapter (Payment Program for Shorn Wool and Unshorn Lambs, 24 F.R. 649, January 30, 1959, as amended), upon proper application to the office of the county committee which made the loan. Application forms may be obtained from the office of the county committee.

Issued this 6th day of April 1961.

E. A. JÄENKE,
Acting Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3222; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:52 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter III—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

[P.P.C. 624, 7th Rev.]

PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

Subpart—Soybean Cyst Nematode

REVISED ADMINISTRATIVE INSTRUCTIONS DESIGNATING REGULATED AREAS

Pursuant to § 301.79-2 of the regulations supplemental to the soybean cyst nematode quarantine (7 CFR 301.79-2) under sections 8 and 9 of the Plant Quarantine Act of 1912, as amended, and section 106 of the Federal Plant Pest Act (7 U.S.C. 161, 162, 150ee), administrative instructions appearing as 7 CFR 301.79-2a are hereby revised to read as follows:

§ 301.79-2a Administrative instructions designating regulated areas under the soybean cyst nematode quarantine.

Infestations of the soybean cyst nematode have been determined to exist in the counties, other civil divisions, farms, and other premises, or parts thereof, listed below, or it has been determined that such infestation is likely to exist therein, or it is deemed necessary to regulate such localities because of their proximity to infestation or their inseparability for quarantine enforcement purposes from infested localities. Accordingly, such counties, other civil divisions, farms, other premises, and parts thereof, are hereby designated as soybean cyst nematode regulated areas within the meaning of the provisions in this subpart:

ARKANSAS

Clay County. All of the property owned by Byron Green in sec. 15, T. 19 N., R. 8 E.

All of the property owned by R. C. Green in sec. 21, T. 19 N., R. 8 E.

All of the property owned by G. L. Griffith in sec. 21, T. 19 N., R. 8 E.

All of the property owned by Mrs. Emma Harris in sec. 6, T. 19 N., R. 9 E.

All of the property owned by Harold G. Henderson in sec. 7, T. 19 N., R. 9 E.

All of the property owned by Conley Speer in sec. 21, T. 19 N., R. 8 E.

All of the property owned by Granville Speer in sec. 16, T. 19 N., R. 8 E.

All of the property owned by Ernest F. Wyss in sec. 4, T. 19 N., R. 9 E.

All of the property owned by Ernest Wyss in sec. 9, T. 19 N., R. 9 E.

All of the property owned by U. J. Wyss in sec. 9, T. 19 N., R. 9 E.

Craighead County. That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at the intersection of the eastern boundary of Craighead County with the Arkansas-Missouri State line and extending southward along the eastern boundary of Craighead County to the southern boundary line of Craighead County, thence westward along the southern boundary line of Craighead County to the St. Francis River, thence northward along the St. Francis River to the junction of the St. Francis River with the boundary line between Craighead County, Arkansas, and Dunklin County, Missouri, thence eastward along the northern boundary of Craighead County.

Crittenden County. The irregular portion on the eastern boundary of the county between the Mississippi River levee and the indeterminate Arkansas-Tennessee State line, bounded on the north by the Crittenden-Mississippi County line and on the south by an east-west line projected from the levee to the State line, lying one mile south of the intersection of a graded road and the levee at the head of Wapanocca Bayou.

All of the property owned by Ceylon B. Frazier in sec. 14, T. 6 N., R. 9 E.

All of the property owned by R. G. Hinkley in sec. 32, T. 6 N., R. 8 E.

All of the property owned by William F. Johnson Real Estate Company in sec. 19, T. 6 N., R. 9 E.

All of the property owned by Richard Moore in sec. 23, T. 5 N., R. 8 E.

All of the property owned by Wassell Randolph in sec. 15, T. 6 N., R. 9 E.

All of the property owned by Rock Island R.R. Company in sec. 18, T. 6 N., R. 9 E.

All of the property known as the Clarence Williams Farm, located in sec. 22, T. 5 N., R. 8 E.

All of the property owned by Jack Wilson in sec. 14, T. 5 N., R. 8 E.

Mississippi County. The irregular portion on the eastern boundary of the county lying between the Mississippi River levee and the indeterminate Arkansas-Tennessee State line.

That area bounded on the north by the Arkansas-Missouri State line; and further bounded by a line beginning at the intersection of the Mississippi River levee and the Arkansas-Missouri State line and extending southward along said levee to State Highway 119, thence westward along State Highway 119 to the intersection of State Highway 119 and U.S. Highway 61; thence northward along U.S. Highway 61 to the intersection of U.S. Highway 61 with the southern boundary of sec. 1, T. 12 N., R. 10 E., thence westward along the southern boundary lines of secs. 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, T. 12 N., R. 10 E., and secs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, T. 12 N., R. 9 E., and secs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, T. 12 N., R. 8 E. to the western boundary line of Mississippi County; thence north along the western boundary line of Mississippi County to the Arkansas-Missouri State line.

All of the property owned by Mrs. Charles Hale in sec. 19, T. 11 N., R. 11 E.

Poinsett County. That area bounded on the north by the Poinsett-Craighead County line, and further bounded by a line beginning at the intersection of the northern boundary line of Poinsett County with the eastern boundary line of Poinsett County and extending southward along the eastern boundary line of Poinsett County to the southern boundary line of sec. 1, T. 12 N., R. 7 E.; thence westward along the southern boundary lines of secs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, T. 12 N., R. 7 E., and secs. 1, 2, 3, and 4, T. 12 N., R. 6 E., to the St. Francis River; thence northward along the St. Francis River to the Poinsett-Craighead County line.

All of sec. 11, T. 11 N., R. 3 E.

All of secs. 9 and 10, T. 12 N., R. 3 E.

ILLINOIS

Pulaski County. The property owned and operated by H. W. and L. H. Parker, consisting of 20 acres being the E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 13, T. 16 S., R. 1 W.

KENTUCKY

Ballard County. The property owned by O. M. Alexander described as Land Parcel No. 3, 183 acres, Property Identification Map No. 29, Ballard County, Kentucky.

The property owned by Robert Harris described as Land Parcel No. 4, 88 $\frac{1}{2}$ acres, Property Identification Map No. 29, Ballard County, Kentucky.

Fulton County. The entire county.

MISSISSIPPI

De Soto County. Secs. 28, 29, 31, 32, and 33, T. 2 S., R. 1 W.

MISSOURI

Dunklin County. That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at a point where the northwest corner of sec. 7, T. 17 N., R. 8 E. intersects the St. Francis River and extending east along a line following the north line of said section and County Road P, which becomes State Highway 25, and continues as a gravel road to its intersection with No. 1 drainage ditch; thence southwest to its intersection with the Arkansas-Missouri State line; thence west along said State line to its intersection with the St. Francis River; thence northeast along said river to the point of beginning.

The property owned by A. L. Davidson and operated by Charlie Casey, located in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 35, T. 18 N., R. 9 E.

The property owned by Amiel Campbell and operated by Clarence C. Owens, located in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 31, T. 18 N., R. 10 E.

Mississippi County. The property owned and operated by W. C. Bryant, located between the levee and the Mississippi River in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 5, T. 22 N., R. 17 E.

New Madrid County. That portion of the county lying east and south of a line beginning at a point where State Highway B intersects the Pemiscot-New Madrid County line, and extending north approximately two and one-half miles to the E. B. Gee Cotton Gin corner, and thence northwestward on a gravel road, continuing northwestward to No. 1 drainage ditch, thence northeast along the No. 1 drainage ditch to the point where it intersects U.S. Highway 62 and thence east to the point where U.S. Highway 62 intersects U.S. Highway 61 and thence east on the section line common to secs. 12 and 13, T. 22 N., R. 13 E., and continuing directly east to the Mississippi River.

The property owned by H. E. Hunter and operated by T. C. Wiley, Claudie Harris, M. B. Young, and Roosevelt Walker, located on the north and south sides of a dirt road, at a point approximately 0.6 mile west of the junction of this dirt road with U.S. Highway 61 at Ristine.

The property owned by H. E. Hunter and operated by Claude Harris, located in the east part of survey 605 and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 8, and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 17, T. 23 N., R. 14 E.

The property owned by H. E. Hunter and operated by Hugh Faulkner, located in the east $\frac{1}{2}$ of survey 1174 in sec. 10, T. 23 N., R. 14 E.

The property owned by Fred Lee and operated by Forest Hackney in the west part of survey 605, T. 23 N., R. 14 E.

Pemiscot County. That portion of the county lying east and south of a line beginning at a point where State Highway B intersects the Pemiscot-New Madrid County line, and extending southward along State Highway B to the point where it joins State Highway 84; thence west along State Highway 84 to a point where the highway joins State Highway C; thence southward along State Highway C to the point where it meets

State Highway F; thence due south to the point where it intersects the Missouri-Arkansas State line.

The property owned by Joseph Kohn and operated by Bondy Grissom, consisting of the E $\frac{1}{2}$ sec. 35, T. 19 N., R. 11 E.

The property owned and operated by Royal Sanders, being the N $\frac{1}{2}$ sec. 24, and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 13, T. 17 N., R. 10 E.

The property owned and operated by Delmar Pritchard being in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 19, T. 18 N., R. 11 E.

Scott County. The property owned and operated by Jimmie Johnson in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 31, and the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 32, T. 29 N., R. 15 E.

Stoddard County. The property owned by Earnest Kellett and operated by Bern Abernathy, being the W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 16, T. 27 N., R. 12 E.

NORTH CAROLINA

Camden County. The property owned by Woodson Farrill and operated by Vernon Brown, located 1 mile east of Shiloh on the west side of a paved road connecting State Highway 343 and Riddle; the property being at a point 0.4 mile north of the junction of this paved road and State Highway 343.

The property owned and operated by Frank Sawyer, located at Tar Corner north of the Sharon-Tar Corner and the Moyock-Tar Corner road intersection.

The property owned by Dr. J. B. Sawyer and operated by J. W. Forbes, located on the east side of the Shawboro-Old Trap Road 0.1 mile south of Cow Creek, and 0.1 mile east of the Shawboro-Old Trap Road just north of a graded and drained road.

The property owned and operated by Mack L. Sawyer, located 0.3 mile west of Pearceville and 0.1 mile north of South Mills-Pearceville highway on both sides of a stone surfaced road.

Currituck County. The property owned by P. P. Gregory and operated by Charlie Anderson, located on the east side of the Shawboro-Old Trap Road 0.4 mile north of Indiantown Creek.

Gates County. That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at a point where State Highway 32 crosses the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence east along the State line to the Camden County line, thence in a southwesterly direction along the west edge of the Great Dismal Swamp to a point 1.4 miles east of Corapeake on Corapeake Highway, thence along said highway in a westerly direction to Corapeake, thence along State Highway 32 from Corapeake to the Virginia State line, the point of beginning, excluding the corporate limits of Corapeake.

That area bounded by a line beginning at a point where North Carolina secondary road 1305 junctions with the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence southeast along said road to its junction with North Carolina secondary road 1308, thence northwest along said road to its intersection with ACL Railroad, thence northeast along said railroad to the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence east along said State line to point of beginning.

The J. G. Lyles property, operated by W. J. Daniels, located on the northeast side of secondary road 1002, 1.8 miles northwest of Acron Hill.

New Hanover County. That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at a point where the ACL Railroad Bridge crosses the Northeast Cape Fear River and extending south along said railroad to State Highway 132, thence southeast along said highway to Smith Creek, thence west along said creek to the Northeast Cape Fear River, thence in a northwesterly and then easterly direction along said river to the ACL Railroad Bridge, the point of beginning, excluding all of New Hanover County Airport.

The property owned and operated by H. C. Johnson, located on the northeast side

of North Carolina secondary road 1327 and 0.6 mile northwest of its junction with U.S. Highway 17.

The property owned and operated by J. D. Murray, located at the end of North Carolina secondary road 1322 and 2.2 miles from its intersection with North Carolina Highway 132.

The property owned and operated by Alex Trask, located on the north side of North Carolina Secondary Road 1322 and east of North Carolina Highway 132 at the intersection of these two roads.

The H. C. Johnson farm located on the northeast side of North Carolina Secondary Road 1327 and 0.2 mile northwest of its junction with U.S. Highway 17.

The J. A. Yopp farm located on the south side of North Carolina Secondary Road 1322 and 1.2 miles east of its intersection with State Highway 132.

The H. C. Johnson farm located on the south side of North Carolina Secondary Road 1403 and 1.7 miles east of its junction with North Carolina Secondary Road 1407, said junction being 0.5 mile east of U.S. Highway 17.

The H. H. Horrell farm located on the north side of North Carolina Secondary Road 1335 and 0.1 mile east of its intersection with State Highway 132.

Pasquotank County. That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at the junction of State Secondary Road 1338 and U.S. Highway 17 and extending southeast along said highway to its junction with State Secondary Road 1343, thence south along said road to its junction with State Secondary Road 1332, thence southeast along said road to its junction with Knobbs Creek, thence northwest along said creek to its intersection with State Secondary Road 1338, thence northeast along said road to the point of beginning.

That area bounded by a line beginning at a point where State Secondary Road 1101 and U.S. Navy Railroad intersect and extending southeast along said railroad to its intersection with State Secondary Road 1169, thence southwest along said road to its intersection with State Secondary Road 1101, thence northwest and north along said road to the point of beginning.

The property owned and operated by Billy Bakerman, located on the west side of State Secondary Road 1101 and 0.5 mile southwest to its junction with State Secondary Roads 1123 and 1132.

The property owned and operated by E. L. Benton, located on the west side of State Secondary Road 1101 and 0.2 mile southwest of its junction with State Secondary Roads 1123 and 1132.

The property owned by E. L. Brothers and operated by George Hewitt, located on the northeast side of State Secondary Road 1360 and 0.6 mile northwest of its intersection with State Secondary Road 1361.

The property owned by the George Chapel Estate and operated by Moody Meads, located on the west side of State Secondary Road 1101 and 1 mile southwest of its junction with State Secondary Roads 1123 and 1132.

The property owned and operated by W. C. Combs, located on the east side of State Secondary Road 1101 and 0.5 mile southwest of its junction with State Secondary Roads 1123 and 1132.

The property owned and operated by George Hewitt, located on both sides of State Secondary Road 1360 and 0.8 mile northwest of its intersection with State Secondary Road 1361.

The property owned and operated by Joe Spence, located on the east side of State Secondary Road 1360 and 0.5 mile northwest of its intersection with State Secondary Road 1361.

The Carolina Virginia Amusement Company property, operated by Carson Davis,

located on the southwest side of North Carolina Secondary Road 1152, 0.5 mile south of its intersection with U.S. Highway 17.

Pender County. That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at a point where State Highway 210 crosses the Northeast Cape Fear River, thence north along said river to Pike Creek, thence northwest along said creek to the Ashton Road, thence west along said road, through Ashton, to its junction with a paved highway, thence south along said highway to Kellys Creek, thence southwest along said creek to Rileys Creek, thence south and west along said creek to its intersection with State Highway 40, thence east and south along said highway to U.S. Highway 117, thence south along said highway to the Northeast Cape Fear River, thence east and north along said river to the point of beginning, excluding the town of Rocky Point.

The property owned and operated by Mike Boryk, located on the west side of Burgaw-Long Creek Road 0.2 mile south of Burgaw city limits.

The property owned and operated by Henry Clark, located on the south side of State Highway 40 and 0.2 mile southeast of Bell's Crossroads.

The property owned and operated by Dr. J. D. Freeman, located on the south side of State Highway 210, 1.8 miles east of Northeast Cape Fear River.

The property owned and operated by P. Katalinic, located on the east and west sides of U.S. Highway 117 at the junction of Stag Park Road and U.S. Highway 117.

The property owned and operated by W. B. Keith, located on the west side of Clarks Landing Loop Road and one mile southwest of Bell's Crossroads.

The property owned and operated by Boney Wilson, located on the southwest side of State Highway 210 and approximately 0.2 mile northwest of Clark's Landing Highway.

Perquimans County. That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at the junction of the Perquimans-Pasquotank County line and State Secondary Road 1001, thence southwest along said road to its junction with State Secondary Road 1204, thence north and northwest along said road to its intersection with the Perquimans-Gates County line, thence east along said county line to its intersection with the Perquimans-Pasquotank County line, thence southeast along said county line to the point of beginning.

The property owned by the Cox Estate and operated by M. R. Winslow, located on the southeast side of State Secondary Road 1001 and 1 mile southwest of its intersection with the Perquimans-Pasquotank County line.

Tyrrell County. That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at the junction of Alligator Creek and North Carolina paved road 1209, thence extending northwest along said road to its junction with North Carolina stonesurfaced road 1221, thence southwest along said road to its junction with U.S. Highway 64, thence east along said highway to its junction with Alligator Creek, thence along said creek in an easterly and northerly direction to its junction with North Carolina paved road 1209, the point of beginning.

Union County. The property owned and operated by Clyde Edwards, located on the northeast side of North Carolina Highway 205 between North Carolina Secondary Roads 1006 and 1746.

TENNESSEE

Crockett County. The farm owned by J. T. Connell, known as the George Via farm, consisting of 44 acres located in Civil District 13 on the south side of the Friendship-Chestnut Bluff Road, 2.5 miles southwest of Friendship.

The farm owned by M. V. Williams, Jr., consisting of 75 acres located in Civil District 15 on the south side of a gravel road, 0.25 mile east of the Crockett-Dyer County line and 2 miles northwest of Elizabeth.

Dyer County. The entire county.

Gibson County. Civil Districts 10 and 24.

The farm owned by Walter Bates, known as the Adams Farm, consisting of 35 acres located in Civil District 19 between the Gibson-Obion County line and a dirt road, and 0.2 mile northeast of the junction of said dirt road and FAS Road 8008 at the Gibson-Obion County line.

The farm owned by Frank Patterson, consisting of 76 acres located in Civil District 6 on the south side of State Highway 104 and 3 miles northwest of Central.

The farm owned by Jake Ford, consisting of 100 acres located in Civil District 23 and 0.5 mile southeast of a point on a gravel road, said point being 0.5 mile east of China Grove.

Haywood County. Civil District 11.

Lake County. The entire county.

Lauderdale County. Civil Districts 1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13.

Obion County. Civil Districts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15.

Shelby County. That part of Shelby County known as President's Island.

That portion of Civil District 1 bounded by a line beginning at the intersection of U.S. Highway 51 and the Shelby-Tipton County line. Thence east along the county line 4.2 miles, thence north and east along said county line to its intersection with the Atoka road, thence south along said road to Rosemark, thence southwest along State Highway 14 to its intersection with the Loosahatchie River, thence west along said river to its intersection with U.S. Highway 51, thence northeast along said highway to the point of beginning.

Tipton County. All of Civil District 1.

The property owned by Herbert E. Baskin, known as the Old Jack Baskin place, consisting of 57 acres, located in Civil District 3 on the west side of Turkey Scratch Road, 2.2 miles southeast of the R. M. Burlison store. This store is located 2 miles west of Burlison Post Office on Highway 59.

The property owned by Mrs. O. H. Blankenship, known as the Old John Yount place, consisting of 70 acres, located in Civil District 3 on the west side of Turkey Scratch Road, 2.3 miles southeast of the R. M. Burlison store. This store is located 2 miles west of Burlison Post Office on Highway 59.

VIRGINIA

Isle of Wight County. The property owned by Claire W. Bittle, located on the south of U.S. Highway 58, 0.2 mile southwest of the junction of U.S. Highway 58 and State Road 630.

The property owned by James F. Bracey, Sr., and James F. Bracey, Jr., located on a private road, 0.3 mile south of U.S. Highway 58, said private road junctioning with U.S. Highway 58, 1.2 miles east of the junction of U.S. Highways 58 and 258.

The property owned by Mary Lee W. Bryant, located on the east side of U.S. Highway 258, one mile south of the junction of U.S. Highway 258 and State Road 611.

The property owned by the J. F. Duke, Sr., Estate, located on a private road 0.2 mile east of the junction of said road and State Road 632, said junction being 0.8 mile northeast of the junction of State Roads 632 and 1701.

The property owned by J. Causey Griffen, located on the southeast side of State Road 696, 0.5 mile northeast of the junction of State Roads 615 and 696.

The property owned by Wilson S. Holland, located on the east side of U.S. Highway 258, 0.3 mile south of the junction of U.S. Highway 258 and State Road 611.

The property owned by R. Phoebus Jones, located on the east side of State Road 615

at the junction of the Seaboard Airline Railway and said road.

The property owned by Alice L. Livsie, located at a point on the east side of U.S. Highway 258, and south of State Road 630 at the southern junction of said highways.

The property owned by Leon E. Outland, located on the south side of State Road 612, 0.5 mile southeast of the junction of State Roads 612 and 632.

The property owned by Wayland A. Perry, located on the north side of State Road 630 at the junction of State Roads 630 and 631.

The property owned by John C. Rose, located in Carrsville on the southeast side of State Road 632, 0.3 mile northeast of the junction of State Roads 632 and 1701.

The property owned by Elvin H. Whitley, located on the north side of State Road 611, 0.75 mile west of the junction of U.S. Highway 258 and State Road 611.

Nansemond County. That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at the junction of State Roads 32 and 678 and extending east on State Road 678 to the western boundary of the property owned by E. Hurley Brinkley, thence north and east along the boundaries of said property and continuing east along the northern boundary of the property owned by Willie C. Knight to State Road 604, thence south on State Road 604 to the northern boundary of the property owned by Raymond R. Brinkley, thence east along the northern boundary of said property to the Dismal Swamp, thence south along the Dismal Swamp to the North Carolina-Virginia State line, thence west along the State line to State Road 32, thence northward to the point of beginning.

That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at the junction of State Road 616 and the Nansemond-Isle of Wight County line, thence southeast to the junction of State Road 615, thence north along State Road 615 following the western and northern boundaries of the properties owned by C. E. Daughtery and Jasper W. Daughtery, thence along the western and northern boundaries of the property owned by Frank Holland and Mary L. Holland to the eastern boundary of this property, thence along the eastern boundary of the property owned by Lydia and J. E. Griffin to State Road 189, thence east along State Road 189 and south along the eastern boundaries of the properties owned by James E. Rawls and Samuel L. Hunter, thence along the southern boundary of the Samuel L. Hunter property to State Road 616, thence northwest along State Road 616 to include the property owned by Clifford D. Holland lying on both sides of State Road 616, and thence from the junction of the northern boundary of said farm and State Road 616 northwest along State Road 616 to the property owned by Helen I. Lawrence, thence along the eastern and southern boundaries of said property to State Road 189, thence along State Road 189 to include all of the property owned by R. Kermit Saunders on both sides of said road, thence in a northerly direction to the junction of State Roads 615 and 618, thence west along State Road 618 to the Nansemond-Isle of Wight County line, thence northeast along said county line, including that portion of the property owned by Carlton L. Cutchin in Isle of Wight County, to the point of beginning.

That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at the intersection of U.S. Route 58, and the Isle of Wight-Nansemond County line, thence northeast along said county line including that portion of the property owned by Elliott L. Johnson extending into Isle of Wight County, thence south along the eastern boundary of said property to the northern boundary of the property owned by Jasper Daughtrey, Jr., and Mildred B. Daughtrey, his wife, thence along the northern and eastern boundaries of said property, thence east along the

northern boundaries of the properties owned by Clarence T. Daughtrey and Mamie D. Duke, thence along the eastern and southern boundaries of the Mamie D. Duke property to the eastern boundary of the Clarence T. Daughtrey property, thence south along the eastern boundary of the Emmett L. Rawles property to U.S. Route 58, thence northwest on U.S. Route 58 to the southern boundary of the Emmett L. Rawles property, thence west along the southern boundaries of the Emmett L. Rawles and Jarvis L. Howell properties, thence north along the western boundaries of the Jarvis L. Howell and Elliott L. Johnson properties to the point of beginning.

That portion of the county bounded by a line beginning at a point where State Road 612 intersects the property owned by J. D. Rawles, 0.2 mile south of the junction of State Roads 612 and 661, and extending east and southeast along the boundaries of said property, thence southeast along State Road 612 to the southern boundary of the property owned by the W. Joe Smith Estate, thence along the southern boundary of said property to the eastern boundary of the property owned by Dr. W. John Norfleet, thence along the eastern and southern boundaries of said property to State Road 664, thence south and west along State Roads 664 and 667 to the western boundary of the property owned by David L. Rawles, Jr., thence along the western and northern boundaries of said property to State Road 616, thence north along the western boundary of the property owned by Dr. W. John Norfleet and continuing north along the western boundary of the property owned by Sue K. Jolly and the property owned by J. D. Rawles, thence east along the northern boundary of the said J. D. Rawles property to the point of beginning.

The property owned by Nancy F. Abernathy, located on the north side of State Road 653, one mile northwest of the junction of State Roads 653 and 612.

The property owned by Percy L. Artis located on State Road 679, one mile southeast of the junction of State Road 189.

The property owned by K. A. Asbell located on the southwest side of State Road 616, 0.1 mile southeast of the junction of said road and U.S. Route 13.

The property owned by W. M. Aston, Jr., located on the east side of State Road 608, 0.2 mile north of the junction of State Roads 608 and 644.

The property owned by Willis Elmer Austin, located on both sides of State Road 668, 0.5 mile west of the junction of said road and U.S. Route 13.

The property owned by Hurley B. Aswell and the property owned by Gurney C. Hare, located at the junction of State Roads 642 and 673, and the adjacent property owned by the M. Gay Taylor Estate and Priscilla Vann on State Road 673. Also the adjacent property owned by R. H. Brinkley located on the west side of State Road 642 at the junction of State Road 678.

The property owned by Rudolph C. Badger, located at the junction of State Roads 642 and 674; the adjoining property to the south owned by the Julius E. Baines Estate located on the west side of State Road 642; the adjacent property on the south owned by John H. Parker located on both sides of State Road 642; and the property owned by Rudolph C. Badger, lying on the east side of State Road 642 between two sections of the John H. Parker property and extending southeast to the Dismal Swamp.

The property owned by Shirley M. Baines, located on both sides of State Road 684 at the junction of said road and the North Carolina State line.

The property owned by Shirley M. Baines, located on the east side of State Road 642 at the northern junction of State Roads 642

and 678, and the adjoining property to the east owned by Pearl Brinkley.

The property owned by James F. Bracey, Jr., and Joyce S. Bracey, his wife, lying on the east side of State Road 612 at the northern junction of State Roads 661 and 612.

The property owned by Floyd J. Brinkley, lying on the east side of State Road 673 at the junction of State Roads 675 and 673.

The property owned by J. M. Brinkley, located on the west side of State Road 32, one-quarter mile north of the junction of State Roads 678 and 32.

The property owned by C. W. Britton and Louise B. Britton, located on a private road 0.25 mile west of State Road 653, said private road junctioning with State Road 653 at a point 1.1 miles south of the junction of said road and State Road 664.

The properties owned by Reginald E. Brothers, Carrie B. Knight and Willie C. Knight, located at the junction of State Roads 675 and 642.

The property owned by Robert D. Butler, located on the east side of State Road 614 at the Nansemond-Isle of Wight County line.

The property owned by Emma Byrd, located on the east side of State Road 643, one mile southwest of the junction of State Roads 643 and 663.

The property owned by Wesley Byrd, located on the northwest side of State Road 643, 0.5 mile northeast of the junction of State Roads 643 and 662.

The property owned by James A. Carr, Jr., located on the east side of State Road 653 at the junction of State Roads 653 and 664.

The property owned by Clifton S. Carr, Jr., located on the east side of State Road 653 at the junction of State Roads 653 and 664.

The property owned by James Alfred Carr, Jr., located on the west side of State Road 612 at the junction of State Roads 612 and 651.

The property owned by Amos M. Carter, located on the south side of State Road 661 at the junction of State Roads 661 and 612.

The property owned by Mike L. Carter and Mary Elizabeth Duke Carter, his wife, located on the north side of State Road 616, 0.6 mile east of the junction of State Roads 616 and 664.

The property owned by Alfred W. Copeland, located on the east side of State Road 649, 0.5 mile north of the junction of State Roads 649 and 662.

The property owned by Christopher C. Copeland, Jr., located on the east side of State Road 662 at the junction of said road and State Road 663.

The property owned by Julius E. Copeland, located at the junction of State Roads 664 and 642 and lying on the north side of State Road 642.

The property owned by Thurman G. Copeland, located on the west side of State Road 662, 0.2 mile north of the junction of said road and State Road 643.

The property owned by Thurman G. Copeland, located on the southwest side of State Road 662 at the junction of said road and State Road 663.

The property owned by William J. Copeland, located on the south side of State Road 661, 0.1 mile east of the junction of State Roads 661 and 613.

The property owned by Harry W. Davidson, located on both sides of State Road 616, 0.6 mile west of the junction of State Roads 616 and 613.

The property owned by Isaac Demiel, located on the northwest side of State Road 685, 1.2 miles northeast of the junction of State Roads 685 and 647.

The property owned by James H. Eley, located on the southeast side of State Road 643, at the junction of State Roads 643 and 662.

The property owned by Isaac O. Ellis, located on the east side of State Road 660, one mile south of the junction of State Roads 660 and 616.

The property owned by John Robert Ellis and Jacqueline F. Ellis, his wife, located on the west side of State Road 660 at the junction of State Roads 660 and 616.

The property owned by John Robert Ellis, located on both sides of State Road 612, 0.3 mile northwest of the junction of State Roads 616 and 612.

The property owned by Lloyd Ellis, located on a private road 0.25 mile west of State Road 612, said private road junctioning with State Road 612 at a point 0.71 mile southwest of the junction of State Roads 612 and 680.

The property owned by W. L. Faulk, located on both sides of State Road 668, 1.2 miles northeast of the junction of said road and State Road 616.

The property owned by John E. Felton, located on the east side of State Road 643, 0.1 mile north of the junction of said road and State Road 671.

The property owned by John E. Felton, located on the west side of State Road 643, 0.1 mile north of the junction of said road and State Road 671.

The property owned by T. H. Fowler, located on both sides of U.S. Route 13, at the junction of said route and State Road 670.

The property owned by Joe Henry Gardner, located on both sides of State Road 664, 0.1 mile west of the junction of State Roads 664 and 648.

The property owned by Joe H. Gardner, located on a private road, 0.3 mile southwest of the junction of said road and State Road 662, said junction being 0.6 mile southeast of the junction of State Roads 662 and 664.

The property owned by Lloyd H. Gardner, located on a private road, 0.5 mile southwest of the junction of said road and State Road 662, said junction being 0.6 mile southeast of the junction of State Roads 662 and 664.

The property owned by H. P. Gomer, located on both sides of State Road 643 at the junction of State Roads 643 and 616.

The property owned by J. Stanley Gomer, located on a private road, 0.1 mile southwest of State Road 616, said private road junctioning with State Road 616, 0.5 mile southeast of the junction of said road and State Road 643.

The property owned by Jessie S. and Mamie B. Griffin, located on both sides of State Road 678, one mile west of the junction of State Roads 642 and 678.

The property owned by Arnie N. Harcum, located on the east side of State Road 613, 0.4 mile south of the junction of State Roads 613 and 661.

The property owned by James A. Harcum, located on the east side of State Road 613, 0.6 mile south of the junction of State Roads 613 and 661.

The property owned by J. L. Hare Estate, located on both sides of State Roads 648 and 664 at the junction of said roads.

The property owned by Charles C. Harrell, located on both sides of State Road 32, 0.5 mile north of the junction of State Roads 675 and 32.

The property owned by L. Whidby Harrell, located on the south side of State Road 661, 0.1 mile west of the junction of State Roads 661 and 680.

The property owned by Marion J. Harrell, located on the south side of U.S. Highway 58 at the junction of U.S. Highway 58 and State Road 610.

The property owned by Claudie Hedgebeth, located on the east side of State Road 660, 0.2 mile north of the junction of State Roads 660 and 653.

The property owned by the R. E. Hedgebeth Estate, located on the north side of State Road 653, 0.5 mile northwest of the junction of State Roads 653 and 664.

The property owned by W. L. Hedgebeth, located on the south side of U.S. Highway 58, 0.2 mile east of the junction of U.S. Highway 58 and State Road 647.

The property owned by F. H. Hedgebeth, located on the north side of U.S. Highway 58 at the junction of State Road 647 and U.S. Highway 58.

The property owned by Annie Holland, located at the junction of State Roads 610 and 662, lying on both sides of State Road 610.

The property owned by Ayler J. Holland, located on both sides of State Road 189 at the junction of State Roads 189 and 613.

The properties owned by D. Hurley Holland, located at the junction of State Roads 664 and 649 and extending east on both sides of State Road 664.

The property owned by D. Hurley Holland, located on the south side of State Road 664, 0.2 mile east of the junction of said road and State Road 649.

The property owned by Edna C. Holland, located on the west side of State Road 660, 0.3 mile north of the junction of State Roads 660 and 653.

The property owned by Ella L. Holland and Linwood W. Holland, located on the west side of State Road 661, 0.4 mile south of the junction of State Roads 661 and 679.

The property owned by E. L. H. and Preston G. Holland, located on both sides of State Road 680, 0.3 mile northwest of the junction of State Roads 680 and 661.

The property owned by Guss R. Holland, located at the junction of State Roads 661 and 613 and lying on the north side of State Road 661.

The property owned by Ima S. Holland, located on both sides of State Road 660, 0.5 mile south of the junction of State Roads 660 and 664.

The property owned by Morris C. Holland and Florence P. Holland, located on the east side of State Road 649 at the junction of State Roads 649 and 689.

The property owned by Nurney H. Holland, located on the east side of State Road 660 at the junction of State Roads 660 and 650.

The property owned by Paul C. Holland, Jr., located on the south side of the Southern Railway 0.1 mile south of U.S. Highway 58, on a private road, the junction of said road and U.S. Highway 58 being at a point 0.3 mile west of the junction of U.S. Highway 58 and State Road 660.

The property owned by Robert W. Holland, located at the junction of State Roads 651 and 612, lying on both sides of State Road 651.

The property owned by Dempsey D. Horton, located on the south side of U.S. Route 13, 0.5 mile west of the junction of said Route and State Road 670.

The property owned by J. Lewis Horton, located on the south side of State Road 647 at the junction of State Road 647 and U.S. Highway 13.

The property owned by Leonard F. Horton, located on the north side of State Road 664, 0.3 mile east of the junction of State Roads 664 and 643.

The property owned by Delaware Howell, located on both sides of State Road 613, 0.3 mile southeast of the junction of State Roads 613 and 189.

The property owned by Dewey H. Howell, located on the north side of State Road 667, 0.6 mile southwest of the junction of said road and State Road 666.

The property owned by E. J. Howell, located on the west side of State Road 615 at the junction of State Roads 615, 687, and 189.

The property owned by W. H. Howell, located 0.5 mile southwest of the village of Ellwood.

The property owned by L. L. Jernigan, located on the south side of State Road 668,

0.1 mile east of the junction of said road and State Road 669.

The property owned by Mary Hamilton Johnson, located on the northwest side of State Road 664, 0.5 mile northeast of the junction of State Roads 664 and 612.

The property owned by Edward Jones, located on a private road 0.1 mile southwest of the junction of said road and State Road 613, said junction being at a point 0.5 mile south of the junction of State Roads 613 and 661.

The property owned by the J. Floyd Jones Estate, located on the west side of State Road 673, one mile northwest of the junction of State Roads 673 and 642.

The property owned by Lee Jones, located on the south side of State Road 667, 1.25 miles northeast of the junction of State Roads 667 and 666.

The property owned by Ruby Parker Jones and the property owned by Lawrence F. Jones, located at the junction of State Roads 666 and 615.

The property owned by Eddie A. Kelly, located on State Road 678 one mile west of its junction with State Road 32.

The property owned by Dora King, located on the north side of State Road 664, 0.3 mile west of the junction of State Roads 664 and 642.

The property owned by W. C. Knight, located on the east side of State Road 642, 0.7 mile north of the junction of State Roads 642 and 32.

The property owned by Willie C. Knight, located on a private road 0.2 mile east of State Road 32, said private road joining State Road 32 at a point 0.3 mile southeast of the junction of State Roads 642, 32, and 616.

The property owned by Melvin Langston, located on the east side of State Road 643, 0.5 mile north of the junction of said road and State Road 616.

The property owned by Robert E. Langston, located on both sides of State Road 643, 0.3 mile north of the junction of State Roads 643 and 616.

The property owned by Robert E. Langston, located on the east side of State Road 664, 0.8 mile south of the junction of said road and State Road 667.

The property owned by Robert E. Langston, located on the west side of State Road 643 at the junction of State Road 662.

The property owned by Rachel Lassiter, located on State Road 674, 0.5 mile east of the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad tracks.

The property owned by J. E. March Estate, located on the north side of State Road 616 at the junction of State Roads 616 and 653.

The property owned by the J. E. March Estate, located on the east side of State Road 660, 0.3 mile north of the junction of said road and State Road 616.

The property owned by Tommie Milteer lying between State Roads 32 and 646 at the junction of State Roads 646 and 674.

The property owned by A. W. Moore, located on the north side of State Road 647, 200 feet west of the junction of State Roads 647 and 685.

The property owned by Clarence A. Morgan, located on the east side of State Road 643, 0.6 mile south of the junction of said road and State Road 663.

The property owned by Clarence A. Morgan, located on both sides of State Road 643, 0.2 mile south of the junction of said road and State Road 663.

The property owned by Clarence A. Morgan, located on the west side of State Road 643, 0.7 mile south of the junction of said road and State Road 663.

The property owned by Clarence A. Morgan, located at the town of Leesville on the south side of State Road 664 at its junction with State Road 643.

The property owned by G. W. Morgan, located on the east side of U.S. Route 13, 0.5

mile north of the junction of said Route and State Road 647.

The property owned by H. A. Morgan, located on the west side of State Road 660, 0.2 mile north of the junction of State Roads 660 and 616.

The property owned by Thomas W. Morgan, Jr., and Louise S. Morgan, located on the south side of State Road 616, at the junction of State Road 653.

The property owned by Howard W. Overton lying south of State Road 675 and west of State Road 32 at the junction of State Roads 32 and 675 and extending north on the west side of State Road 646.

The property owned by Frank E. Owen, located on both sides of State Road 643, 0.5 mile northeast of the junction of State Roads 643 and 664.

The property owned by George W. Parker, located on both sides of State Road 664, 0.5 mile west of the junction of State Roads 664 and 673.

The property owned by Linwood Parker, located on State Road 604, 0.5 mile southeast of State Road 642.

The property owned by Rufus Peele, located on a private road 0.3 mile west of the junction of said road and State Road 643, said junction being at a point 200 feet north of the junction of State Roads 643 and 645.

The property owned by Willie S. Peele, located on the south side of State Road 645, 0.2 mile east of the junction of State Roads 645 and 643.

The property owned by Frank A. Perry, located on both sides of State Roads 668 and 616 at the junction of said roads.

The property owned by Frank A. Perry and Judith Anne Perry, his wife, located on the south side of State Road 650, 0.5 mile east of the junction of State Roads 650 and 660.

The property owned by Miss Judith A. Perry, located on the southwest side of State Road 647, 0.25 mile northwest of the junction of State Road 647 and U.S. Highway 13.

The property owned by C. E. Piland, located on the southeast side of State Road 664, at the junction of State Roads 664 and 660.

The property owned by Cyrus E. Piland and Irene C. Piland, his wife, located on the southeast side of State Road 664, 0.3 mile southwest of the junction of State Roads 664 and 660.

The property owned by Irene C. Piland, located on the west side of State Road 664, at the junction of State Roads 664 and 653.

The property owned by Penelope Piland, located on the northwest side of State Road 664, 0.1 mile southwest of the junction of State Roads 664 and 660.

The property owned by the William Porter Estate, located between State Roads 615 and 666, at the junction of said roads.

The property owned by George D. Privott, located on both sides of State Road 32, 0.5 mile south of the junction of said road and U.S. Route 13.

The property owned by Boyd Edward Quate, Martha Alice Quate and Martha Holland Quate, located on the south side of State Road 651, 0.5 mile west of the junction of State Roads 651 and 612.

The property owned by Estelle C. Rawles, located on both sides of State Road 649, at the junction of State Roads 649 and 650.

The property owned by J. D. Rawles, located on the west side of State Road 649, at the junction of State Roads 649 and 650.

The property owned by Jethro E. Rawles, located on the west side of State Road 643, at the junction of State Roads 643 and 616.

The property owned by Shirley H. Rawles, located on the west side of a private road, 0.2 mile north of State Road 668, said private road junctioning with State Road 668, at a point 1.4 miles southwest of the junction of said road and U.S. Route 13.

The property owned by Ernest J. Reid, Ellen Reid Burwell and James W. Reid, located on the west side of State Road 643, 0.4 mile north of the junction of said road and State Road 616.

The property owned by McCoy J. Reid and Lillian B. Reid, located on the northeast side of a private road, 0.1 mile southeast of State Road 643, said private road junctioning with State Road 643, at a point 0.5 mile south of the junction of said road and State Road 663.

The property owned by Ruth Knight Rice, located on the south side of State Road 675, 0.5 mile east of the intersection of State Road 675 and the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad.

The property owned by Vernon and Nettie L. Riddick, located on a private road, 0.2 mile south of State Road 678, said private road junctioning with State Road 678, 0.5 mile southeast of the junction of State Roads 678 and 673.

The property owned by the David R. Roberts Estate, located on the north side of State Road 616, at the junction of said road and State Road 660.

The property owned by Gerald C. Rountree, located on both sides of State Road 643, 0.5 mile south of the junction of State Roads 643 and 616.

The property owned by Minnie D. Rountree, located on the east side of State Road 660, 1.1 miles south of the junction of said road and State Road 616.

The property owned by R. Kermit Saunders, located on the east side of State Road 661 at the junction of State Roads 661 and 679 and extending north to the junction of State Roads 661 and 616.

The property owned by C. F. Savage, located on both sides of State Road 634, 0.4 mile northwest of the junction of State Roads 634 and 644.

The property owned by Walter W. Simons, located on the south side of State Road 664, 0.5 mile northwest of the junction of State Roads 664 and 643.

The property owned by the W. Joe Smith Estate, located on the west side of State Road 612 at the junction of State Roads 612 and 664.

The property owned by John Burgess Stephenson, located on both sides of State Road 616, 0.2 mile southeast of the junction of said road and State Road 643.

The Lloyd Stephenson property, located on the north side of State Road 675, 0.2 mile west of the junction of State Roads 675 and 32.

The property owned by Cora L. Sumner, Leroy Langston, Susie L. Doles, Irma L. Skeeter, and Beulah L. Copeland, located on a private road, 0.2 mile east of State Road 643, said private road junctioning with State Road 643, at a point 0.7 mile north of the junction of said road and U.S. Route 13.

The property owned by Cortez H. Tomlin and Marion A. Tomlin, his wife, located on both sides of State Road 680, 0.6 mile southeast of the junction of State Roads 680 and 612.

The property owned by Jesse F. Turner, located on the north side of State Road 673, on a private road which junctions with State Road 673, 0.5 mile south of the junction of State Roads 673 and U.S. Route 13, and the adjoining property to the northeast owned by William T. Harrell.

The property owned by Charles H. Vaughn, located on both sides of State Road 616, 0.7 mile northwest of the junction of said road and U.S. Route 13.

The property owned by Willis W. Walden located on the east side of State Road 661, 0.6 mile south of the junction of State Roads 661 and 679.

The property owned by C. C. Ward, located on both sides of State Road 677, at the Virginia-North Carolina State line.

The property owned by Cecil T. Ward, located on a private road 0.1 mile east of State

Road 677, said private road junctioning with State Road 677, 0.3 mile north of the Virginia-North Carolina State line.

The property owned by M. S. Ward, located on the north side of State Road 616, at its junction with State Road 677.

The property owned by Littleton West, located on the north side of State Road 616, 0.6 mile west of the junction of State Roads 616 and 613.

The property owned by W. Jape West, located on the south side of State Road 616, 0.7 mile west of the junction of State Roads 616 and 613.

The property owned by William White and Celia White, his wife, located on the west side of State Road 660 and extending west to State Road 667, 0.3 mile north of the junction of State Roads 660 and 667.

The property owned by Willis L. Whitfield and Junious O. H. Whitfield, located on the south side of State Road 664, one mile east of the junction of State Roads 664 and 643.

The property owned by Cora Wiggins, located on the south side of State Road 671, 0.5 mile east of the junction of said road and State Road 643.

The property owned by Doss Wiggins, located on both sides of State Road 616, 300 feet west of the junction of State Roads 616 and 613.

The property owned by the Willis J. Wiggins Estate, located 0.5 mile north of the junction of State Roads 666 and 661 and lying on the west side of State Road 661.

The property owned by Lonnie J. Wilkins, located at the junction of State Roads 612 and 661 and lying on the west side of State Road 612.

The property owned by Mrs. Nettie Wilkins Winslow, located on a private road, 0.7 mile south of State Road 616, said private road junctioning with State Road 616, at its junction with State Road 612.

The property owned by W. J. Winslow, located on the west side of State Road 13, 0.4 mile north of the junction of State Roads 13 and 647.

The property owned by Mamie Holland Worrell, located on the east side of State Road 612, 0.6 mile north of the junction of State Roads 612 and 653.

The property owned by Sam Jimmie Worrell and Inez S. Worrell, his wife, located on the east side of State Road 612, 0.8 mile north of the junction of State Roads 612 and 653.

The property owned by James H. Wright, located on a private road, 0.1 mile northwest of State Road 649, said private road junctioning with State Road 649, 0.1 mile north of the junction of State Roads 649 and 650.

The property owned by William Frank Wright, located on a private road 0.2 mile northwest of the junction of said private road and State Road 649, said junction being 0.1 mile north of the junction of State Roads 649 and 650.

Southampton County. The property owned by John M. Camp, Jr., Olive Camp Johnson, and Virginia Camp Smith, located on the east side of U.S. Highway 258 at the junction of U.S. Highway 258 and State Road 690.

The property owned by Mrs. Clarys McClenney Lawrence, located on the west side of State Road 714, 1.5 miles northwest of the junction of State Roads 714 and 189.

(Sec. 9, 37 Stat. 318, sec. 106, 71 Stat. 33; 7 U.S.C. 162, 150ee. Interprets or applies sec. 8, 37 Stat. 318, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 161; 19 F.R. 74, as amended, 7 CFR 301.79-2)

These administrative instructions shall become effective April 11, 1961, when they shall supersede P.P.C. 624, 6th Rev., 7 CFR 301.79-2a, effective September 9, 1960.

This revision extends the soybean cyst nematode regulated area in Crittenden

County, Arkansas; Fulton County, Kentucky; Dunklin County, Missouri; Gates, New Hanover, and Pasquotank Counties, North Carolina; Dyer, Gibson, Haywood, Lauderdale, Obion, Shelby, and Tipton Counties, Tennessee; and Isle of Wight and Nansemond Counties, Virginia. It also places under regulation for the first time parts of Clay County, Arkansas; Mississippi and Scott Counties, Missouri; Union County, North Carolina; and Crockett County, Tennessee.

These instructions impose restrictions supplementing soybean cyst nematode quarantine regulations already effective. They must be made effective promptly in order to carry out the purposes of the regulations. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the foregoing administrative instructions are impracticable and good cause is found for making the effective date thereof less than 30 days after publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of April 1961.

D. R. SHEPHERD,
Acting Director,
Plant Pest Control Division.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3220; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:51 a.m.]

Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

[Lemon Reg. 893, Amdt. 1]

PART 953—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

Findings. 1. Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 53, as amended (7 CFR Part 953), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.; 68 Stat. 906, 1047), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

2. It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication hereof in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, is insufficient, and this amendment relieves restriction on the handling

of lemons grown in California and Arizona.

Order, as amended. The provisions in paragraph (b) (1) (ii) of § 953.1000 (Lemon Regulation 893, 26 F.R. 2743) are hereby amended to read as follows:

(ii) District 2: 241,800 cartons.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 801-874)

Dated: April 6, 1961.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3179; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 9—ANIMALS AND ANIMAL PRODUCTS

Chapter I—Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER C—INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF ANIMALS AND POULTRY

PART 74—SCABIES IN SHEEP Interstate Movement

Pursuant to the provisions of sections 1 and 3 of the Act of March 3, 1905, as amended, sections 1 and 2 of the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended, and sections 4 and 5 of the Act of May 29, 1884, as amended (21 U.S.C. 111-113, 120, 121, 123, 125), §§ 74.2 and 74.3 of Part 74, Subchapter C, Chapter I, Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, as amended, are hereby amended in the following respects:

1. Subparagraph (3) of paragraph (a) of § 74.2 is amended to read:

(3) All Counties in New Mexico except Bernalillo, Chaves, Eddy, Lea, and San Miguel.

2. Subparagraph (2) of paragraph (a) of section 74.3 is amended to read:

(2) The following Counties in New Mexico: Bernalillo, Chaves, Eddy, Lea, and San Miguel.

(Secs. 4, 5, 23 Stat. 32, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 32 Stat. 791-792, as amended, secs. 1, 3, 33 Stat. 1264, as amended, 1265, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 111-113, 117, 120, 121, 123, 125. Interpret or apply secs. 6, 7, 23 Stat. 32, as amended, secs. 2, 4, 33 Stat. 1264, as amended, 1265, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 115, 117, 124, 126, 19 F.R. 74, as amended)

Effective date. The foregoing amendment shall become effective upon issuance.

The amendment deletes the County of Lea in New Mexico from the free areas and adds such County to the infected areas and to the eradication areas, as sheep scabies is known to exist in such County. Hereafter, the restrictions pertaining to the interstate movement of sheep from, into, and through infected and eradication areas as contained in 9 CFR Part 74, as amended, will apply to this County.

The amendment imposes certain restrictions necessary to prevent the spread of scabies, a communicable disease of

sheep, and must be made effective immediately in order to accomplish its purpose in the public interest. Accordingly, under section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), it is found upon good cause that notice and other public procedure with respect to the amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and good cause is found for making the amendment effective less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of April 1961.

M. R. CLARKSON,
Acting Administrator,
Agricultural Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3219; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:51 a.m.]

Title 10—ATOMIC ENERGY

Chapter I—Atomic Energy Commission

PART 40—LICENSING OF SOURCE MATERIAL

Unimportant Quantities of Source Material

On January 14, 1961, the Atomic Energy Commission caused to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER an over-all revision of its regulations 10 CFR Part 40, "Licensing of Source Material". These revised regulations became effective February 13, 1961. The regulations in 10 CFR 40 theretofore in effect contained therein an exemption from licensing with respect to rare earth metals and compounds, mixtures and products containing not more than 0.25% by weight thorium, uranium, or any combination of these. This exemption was not included in the revised regulations which became effective February 13, 1961.

On March 6, 1961, American Potash and Chemical Corporation filed a petition with the Commission requesting the re-establishment of this exemption. In its petition the Corporation described, among other things, the industrial processes and commercial products in which such rare earth chemicals are used and the absence of justification for licensing from the standpoint of the health and safety of the public.

The Commission has given careful consideration to the petition filed by American Potash and Chemical Corporation. Based on radioactivity exposure data furnished by the Corporation with respect to its rare earth material manufacturing operations since 1956, and on other data available to the Commission, the Commission has found that possession and use in the United States of source material in the materials and products for which the exemption is sought are not of significance to the common defense and security and that such activities can be conducted without any unreasonable hazard to life or property.

The Commission has also found that since the following amendment would relieve from rather than impose restrictions under regulations currently in

effect and will not adversely affect the public health and safety, general notice of proposed rule making and public procedure thereon are unnecessary and good cause exists for making the amendment effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The Commission will welcome suggestions and comments from interested persons regarding the following amendment. Such comments should be submitted within thirty days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER and should be addressed to the Secretary, United States Atomic Energy Commission, Washington 25, D.C., Attention: Director, Division of Licensing and Regulation. The Commission will reconsider this amendment if comments received within the designated period should warrant such action.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, the following amendment is published as a document subject to codification and is effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER:

Section 40.13(c) (1) of 10 CFR Part 40 is amended to read:

(1) Any quantities of thorium contained in (i) incandescent gas mantles; (ii) vacuum tubes, (iii) welding rods; or (iv) rare earth metals and compounds, mixtures and products containing not more than 0.25% by weight thorium, uranium or any combination of these.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 5th day of April 1961.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

WOODFORD B. MCCOOL,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3186; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 13—BUSINESS CREDIT AND ASSISTANCE

Chapter I—Small Business Administration

[Amdt. 1 (Rev. 1)]

PART 108—LOANS TO STATE AND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT COMPANIES

Miscellaneous Amendments

The loans to State and Local Development Companies Regulation (Revision 1) (26 F.R. 1822) is hereby amended by:

1. Adding a new paragraph (h) to § 108.501-1 as follows:

§ 108.501-1 Section 501 loans.

* * * * *

(h) Assistance in Areas of Substantial Labor Surplus. On and after April 5, 1961, section 501 loans may be made to a State development company for the purpose of making long-term loans to small business concerns which will use such assistance in the establishment or operation of their businesses located in an area which at the time of disbursement to such small business concerns shall be an Area of Substantial Labor

Surplus, as such area is defined in part 121 of this chapter; subject to the other applicable provisions in § 108.501-1 except as such provisions are modified by the following:

(1) The State development company shall agree that, within 30 days after disbursement of the section 501 loan made for the purpose stated in this paragraph, it will make and maintain portfolio loans, to such small business concerns, having a stated outstanding principal value equal to no less than 100 percent of the unpaid principal of such section 501 loan, which portfolio loans shall have been made at a rate of interest at least one percent per annum less than the established or customary rate of interest charged by the State development company for long-term loans, unless another rate is approved in writing by SBA.

(2) Interest on section 501 loans made for the purpose stated in this paragraph shall be at the rate of four percent per annum.

2. Adding a new sentence at the end of paragraph (h) of § 108.502-1, which new sentence reads as follows:

§ 108.502-1 Section 502 loans.

(h) *Interest rate.* * * * Where the section 502 loan is made subsequent to April 4, 1961, to a State or local development company for the benefit of a small business concern which will use the Plant in the establishment or operation of its business located in an Area of Substantial Labor Surplus, as such area is defined in part 121 of this section, the interest rate on such a direct section 502 loan to a development company and on SBA's share of such a section 502 loan made in participation with another lending institution shall be four percent per annum.

Dated: April 5, 1961.

JOHN E. HORNE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3242; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:52 a.m.]

[Amdt. 3]

**PART 120—LOAN POLICY
STATEMENT**

Business Loans

The Small Business Administration Loan Policy Statement (23 F.R. 10513) is hereby further amended by: Renumbering § 120.4-3(b) (2) (iii) to be § 120.4-3(b) (2) (iv) and adding a new § 120.4-3(b) (2) (iii):

§ 120.4-3 Terms and conditions of loans.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) * * *

(iii) Area of Substantial Labor Surplus:

Where the small-business concern to be benefited by assistance authorized pursuant to section 7(a) of the Act agrees to use such assistance in the es-

tablishment or operation of its business located in an Area of Substantial Labor Surplus, as such area is defined in Part 121 of this section, the interest rate on SBA's share of such assistance shall not exceed 4 percent per annum. The provisions of this subdivision shall apply to all loans disbursed subsequent to April 4, 1961. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the provisions of this subdivision shall not apply to assistance authorized pursuant to section 7(a) (5) of the Act (group corporation loans).

(iv) Interest on SBA's share of loans, whether direct or participation loans, which may be made to group corporations shall be at the rate of 5 percent per annum. Subject to the approval of SBA, banks or other lending institutions may establish such rate of interest on their share of participation loans as shall be legal and reasonable.

Effective date: This amendment shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: April 4, 1961.

JOHN E. HORNE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3243; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:52 a.m.]

[Revision 2, Amdt. 4]

**PART 121—SMALL BUSINESS SIZE
STANDARDS**

Miscellaneous Amendments

The Small Business Size Standards Regulation (Revision 2) (26 F.R. 812) as amended, (26 F.R. 1441, 1963) is hereby further amended by:

1. Deleting § 121.3-2(1) and substituting the new § 121.3-2(1) as follows:

§ 121.3-2 Definition of terms used in this part.

* * * * *

(1) "Reconsideration" means a review by a Regional Director or by the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards, on written request by an interested party of a prior size determination made by such Regional Director based on new information furnished with the request or upon error of such Regional Director in making such determination.

2. Deleting § 121.3-3 *Organization* and substituting in lieu thereof new § 121.3-3 as follows:

§ 121.3-3 Organization of the Office of Small Business Size Standards.

(a) *Authority.* The Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards shall:

(1) Develop and recommend small business size standards to the Administrator for promulgation;

(2) Determine the size status of concerns and issue, modify and revoke Small Business Certificates;

(3) Conduct industry hearings pertaining to size matters;

(4) Issue interpretations of the Size Standards Regulations;

(5) Consider and determine written petitions objecting to or requesting amendment or rescission of a published size standard;

(6) Establish procedures for the implementation of all size programs; and
(7) Perform such other related functions as may be appropriate to effectuate the SBA size program.

(b) *Delegation of functions.* The Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards, may delegate to SBA Regional Directors authority to make size determinations.

3. Deleting § 121.3-4 *Application for size determination and Small Business certificate* and substituting in lieu thereof new § 121.3-4 as follows:

§ 121.3-4 Application for size determination and Small Business certificate.

(a) Applications for size determinations or Small Business Certificates shall be submitted on SBA Form 355, in duplicate, to any SBA field office serving the area in which the applicant's principal office is located. SBA Form 355 shall be completed and supporting materials shall be attached thereto. Applications for size determinations made by either a Small Business Investment Company or an applicant for assistance from such an Investment Company, shall be submitted on SBA Form 480 together with SBA Form 355. Detailed instructions for completing SBA Form 355 and SBA Form 480 are attached thereto. Copies of such forms may be obtained from any SBA field office or from the Small Business Administration, 811 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C.

(b) In cases where the Regional Director has been delegated authority to determine the size status of a concern, the Regional Director shall cause the application to be reviewed to insure that all facts are stated adequately. He shall, if necessary, cause an appropriate investigation to be made of the size status of the concern. The Regional Director thereafter shall determine the status of the concern and shall promptly notify the applicant of his decision in writing.

(c) In cases where the Regional Director has not been delegated authority to determine the size status of a concern, the Regional Director shall cause the application to be reviewed to insure that all facts are stated adequately. He shall, if necessary, cause an appropriate investigation to be made of the size status of the concern. The Regional Director thereafter shall transmit the application and all supporting documents, together with his recommendation concerning the size status of the concern to the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards. The Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards, shall thereafter review the application and all pertinent information and shall determine the size status of the concern. He shall promptly notify the appropriate parties of his decision in writing.

(d) *Reconsideration.* (1) If the size determination is one authorized to be made in the first instance by a Regional Director and the determination has been so made, the applicant or other interested party may request reconsideration of this determination by filing such request, together with additional information to support a change in the determination, with the Regional Director.

The Regional Director, shall, if such additional information so warrants, reverse his original determination. If no new information is provided or if such additional information does not warrant reversal of the decision of the Regional Director, he shall submit the request for reconsideration to the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards, who shall decide the request for reconsideration and promptly notify the appropriate parties of his decision in writing.

(2) If the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards, has made the size determination, whether initially or after an adverse reconsideration by the Regional Director pursuant to subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, such determination shall be final except that an appeal from such determination may be taken by following the procedure set forth in § 121.3-6.

4. Deleting § 121.3-6 *Appeals* and substituting in lieu thereof new § 121.3-6 as follows:

§ 121.3-6 Appeals.

(a) *Appeals organization.* (1) The Size Appeals Board is the representative of the Administrator for reviewing and deciding size appeals.

(2) The Size Appeals Board shall consist of three members designated by the Administrator, one of whom shall be designated as Chairman. Alternate members shall also be designated by the Administrator. There shall be a Secretary to the Board, but he shall not have a vote. The Size Appeals Board is authorized to conduct such proceedings as it determines to be necessary to decide appeals taken to it.

(b) *Method of appeal*—(1) *Who may appeal.*

An appeal may be taken by any concern or other interested party which has:

(i) Protested the small business status of a concern pursuant to § 121.3-5 and whose protest has been denied by the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards;

(ii) Upon reconsideration, been denied small business status by a determination of the Director pursuant to § 121.3-4;

(iii) Been denied a Small Business Certificate pursuant to § 121.3-4; or

(iv) Been adversely affected by a decision of the Director pursuant to §§ 121.3-4 and 121.3-5 of this part.

(2) *Where to appeal.* Written Notices of Appeal shall be addressed to the Secretary, Size Appeals Board, Small Business Administration, Washington 25, D.C.

(3) *Time for appeal.* Because of the urgency of pending procurements, appeals in such cases shall be mailed or delivered to the Secretary, Size Appeals Board, within five (5) days after receipt of the determination of the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards. All other appeals shall be so mailed or delivered within thirty (30) days after receipt of such determination. Extension of the period within which appeals can be filed may be granted in the discretion of the Secretary of the Size Appeals Board, but only for good cause.

No. 68—3

(4) *Grounds for appeal.* Jurisdiction of the Board shall extend only to consideration of allegations that the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards, has misapplied published size standards regulation. The Board shall not consider appeals based on an allegation that a published regulation should be changed. Requests for a change in such regulation shall be made to the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards, Small Business Administration, Washington 25, D.C.

(5) *Notice of Appeal.* No particular form is prescribed for the Notice of Appeal. The following information shall be included therein to avoid time consuming delays and necessity for further correspondence:

(i) Name and address of concern on which the size determination was made;

(ii) The character of the determination from which appeal is taken and its date;

(iii) If applicable, the IFB or contract number and date, and the name and address of the contracting officer;

(iv) A concise and direct statement of the reasons why the decision of the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards, is alleged to be erroneous;

(v) Documentary evidence in support of such allegations; and

(vi) Action sought by the appellant.

(c) *Notice to interested parties.* The Size Appeals Board shall promptly acknowledge receipt of the Notice of Appeal and shall send a copy of such Notice of Appeal to the Director, Office of Small Business Size Standards, the contracting officer if a pending procurement is involved, and other interested parties.

(d) *Statement by interested parties.* After receipt of a copy of appellant's Notice of Appeal, interested parties may file in duplicate with the Board, a statement as to why the appeal should or should not be denied. Such statement shall be accompanied by appropriate evidence. Copies of such statements and appropriate evidence will be furnished to the appellant. Such statements and supporting evidence shall be mailed or delivered to the Secretary, Size Appeals Board, Small Business Administration, Washington 25, D.C., within five (5) days of the receipt of the copy of Notice of Appeal unless an extension is for cause granted by the Secretary of the Size Appeals Board.

(e) *Consideration by the Size Appeals Board.* The Size Appeals Board shall consider the appeal on the written submissions of the appellant, or may, in its discretion, permit oral presentations by interested parties. Decision on the appeal shall be made in executive session.

(f) Notification of Decision of the Size Appeals Board, together with reasons therefor, shall be communicated in writing to the appellant and interested parties as appropriate.

Effective date: April 3, 1961.

JOHN E. HORNE,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3244; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:52 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 61-KC-11]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF THE CONTINENTAL CONTROL AREA, CONTROL AREAS, CONTROL ZONES, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Alteration of Control Zone and Control Area Extension

The purpose of these amendments to §§ 601.1425 and 601.2407 of the regulations of the Administrator is to reduce the time of designation of the Alpena, Mich., control zone and control area extension.

The Alpena control zone and control area extension are designated annually from June 11 to September 4. The Department of the Air Force has requested this period of time be reduced to July 1 through August 20. Such action is taken herein.

Since these actions reduce the time of designation of the controlled airspace now designated, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, these amendments will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582), the following actions are taken:

1. In the text of § 601.1425 (14 CFR 601.1425, 25 F.R. 4349) "June 11, 1960 to 0001 e.s.t., September 4, 1960," is deleted and "July 1, 1961, through 0001 e.s.t., August 21, 1961," is substituted therefor.

2. In the text of § 601.2407 (25 F.R. 6923) "June 11 to September 4," is deleted and "July 1 through August 20," is substituted therefor.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., June 1, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3171; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 60-FW-115]

PART 601—DESIGNATION OF CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, REPORTING POINTS, POSITIVE CONTROL ROUTE SEGMENTS, AND POSITIVE CONTROL AREAS

Revocation and Alteration of Control Zones

On January 28, 1961, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the

FEDERAL REGISTER (26 F.R. 918) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to revoke the Killeen, Tex., control zone and alter the Ft. Hood, Tex., control zone.

No adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendments.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rules herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposed amendments having been published, therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated in the notice, the following actions are taken:

1. Part 601 (14 CFR 601) is amended by revoking § 601.2423 *Killeen, Tex., control zone*.

2. In the text of § 601.2465 (25 F.R. 12174) "Restricted Area (R-219) and the Killeen, Tex., control zone (§ 601.2423)." is deleted and "Restricted Area R-6302." is substituted therefor.

These amendments shall become effective 0001 e.s.t., June 1, 1961.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3192; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

Title 21—FOOD AND DRUGS

Chapter I—Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

SUBCHAPTER B—FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS

PART 120—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Copper Sulfate Pentahydrate; Exemption from Requirement of a Tolerance

No comment having been received to the proposal of the Commissioner of Food and Drugs published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 11, 1961 (26 F.R. 1223), with reference to exempting spray residues of copper sulfate pentahydrate from the requirement of a tolerance when such residue occurs from application of the copper compound to growing crops in accordance with good agricultural practice, and no request having been received for referral of the proposal to an advisory committee: *It is ordered*, That the regulations for tolerances for pesticide chemicals in or on raw agricultural commodities (21 CFR 120.6) be amended by inserting in alphabetical order, the item "copper sulfate pentahydrate" to the list of exempted copper compounds in paragraph (b) (1). As amended, § 120.6(b) (1) reads as follows:

§ 120.6 Exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance.

(b) * * *

(1) The following copper compounds: Bordeaux mixture, copper acetate, basic copper carbonate (malachite), copper-lime mixtures, copper oleate, copper oxychloride, copper silicate, copper sulfate basic, copper sulfate monohydrate, copper sulfate pentahydrate, copper-zinc chromate, cuprous oxide, tetra copper calcium oxychloride.

Any person who will be adversely affected by the foregoing order may at any time prior to the thirtieth day from the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington 25, D.C., written objections thereto. Objections shall show wherein the person filing will be adversely affected by the order and specify with particularity the provisions of the order deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought. Objections may be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof. All documents shall be filed in quintuplicate.

Effective date. This order shall be effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 408 (b), (e), 68 Stat. 511, 514; 21 U.S.C. 346a (b), (e))

Dated: March 31, 1961.

[SEAL] JOHN L. HARVEY,
Deputy Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3182; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 18—CONSERVATION OF POWER

Chapter I—Federal Power Commission

[Statement of General Policy No. 61-1, Amdt. 3]

PART 2—GENERAL POLICY AND INTERPRETATIONS

Area Price Levels for Natural Gas Sales by Independent Producers

Correction

In F.R. Document 61-2999, appearing in the issue for Wednesday, April 5, 1961, at page 2824, the table should read as follows:

Area	Initial service rates/Mcf (cents)	Increased rates/Mcf (cents)
Texas Railroad District No. 5	14.50	14.50
Texas Railroad District No. 7-b	14.50	11.50
Texas Railroad District No. 9	14.50	14.50

Title 15—COMMERCE AND FOREIGN TRADE

Chapter III—Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Department of Commerce

SUBCHAPTER B—EXPORT REGULATIONS

[9th Gen. Rev. of Export Regs., Amdt. 51¹]

PART 368—MUTUAL ASSISTANCE ON U.S. IMPORTS AND EXPORTS (AS APPLIED TO SELECTED U.S. IMPORTS)

PART 371—GENERAL LICENSES

PART 372—PROVISIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL AND OTHER VALIDATED LICENSES

PART 373—LICENSING POLICIES AND RELATED SPECIAL PROVISIONS

PART 379—EXPORT CLEARANCE AND DESTINATION CONTROL

Miscellaneous Amendments

§ 368.1 [Amendment]

1. Section 368.1 *Import certificate and delivery verification on selected imports into the United States*, paragraph (b) *United States Import Certificate*, subparagraph (2) *Where to file* is amended to read as follows:

(2) *Where to file.* (i) Except as noted in subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph, all requests for certification and validation of Import Certificates or requests for amendments of Import Certificates may be filed with the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Operations Division, Washington 25, D.C., or with any of the following field offices of the Department of Commerce:

Boston.	Miami.
Buffalo.	New Orleans.
Chicago.	New York.
Cincinnati.	Philadelphia.
Cleveland.	Pittsburgh.
Dallas.	Portland.
Detroit.	San Francisco.
Houston.	Savannah.
Jacksonville.	Seattle.
Los Angeles.	

(Blank forms are obtainable at the same offices or any other field office.)

(ii) Where importation into the United States of foreign excess property is involved, a request for certification and validation of a United States Import Certificate, Form FC-826, shall be submitted direct to the Operations Division (FC-2650), Bureau of Foreign Commerce, United States Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D.C. However, if a request for such certification is made at the same time as an Application for FEP Import Determination, the United States Import Certification Form, FC-826, and the Application for Import Determination, Form FEPF-1, may be sent together to the Foreign Excess Property Officer, Business and Defense Services Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D.C.

¹ This amendment was published in Current Export Bulletin 847, dated April 1, 1961.

The Foreign Excess Property Officer will refer the Form FC-826 to the Operations Division for appropriate action. A United States Import Certificate, Form FC-826, for foreign excess property, shall be filled out and executed in triplicate. With specific reference to items 2, 3, and 4(b) of the Form, the following special information should be shown:

(a) Exported (item 2)—The name and address of the individual or firm in the exporting country handling the transaction for the importer, or the importer's name and the name and address of the United States military disposal installation from which the commodities were obtained;

(b) Country of exportation (item 3)—The name and address of the United States military disposal installation; and

(c) Commodity description (item 4 (b))—a complete description of the commodity(ies) being imported, as well as the contract number and lot numbers. The number of an approved United States Import Certificate covering foreign excess property will be suffixed by the code "USMS."

(iii) The Import Certificate may be presented for validation either in person or by mail. The duly validated form will be returned to the United States importer and shall be dispatched by him to the foreign exporter or otherwise disposed of in accordance with the regulations of the exporting country. In accordance with international practice, the issuing office upon request will stamp the Import Certificate with a triangular symbol. This symbol is a notification to the government of the exporting country that the importer is uncertain whether the commodities will be imported into the United States or that he knows that the commodities will not be imported into the United States. However, a triangular Import Certificate will not be issued covering foreign excess property sold abroad by the United States Department of Defense. An Import Certificate bearing a triangle is a representation that the commodities will not be delivered to any destination except in accordance with the United States export regulations. The placing of a triangular symbol on a United States Import Certificate is not, in and of itself, an approval by the Bureau of Foreign Commerce to transfer or sell commodities to a foreign consignee. (See subparagraph (7) of this paragraph for method of obtaining such approval.)

2. Section 371.24 *General License GLSA; Shipments of certain commodities to specified Subgroup A destinations* is amended to read as follows:

§ 371.24 *General License GLSA; shipments of certain commodities to specified Subgroup A destinations.*

A general license designated GLSA is hereby established authorizing the exportation of the commodities bearing the symbol "S", in the listing shown in § 371.51. This general license may be used for shipments to the following destinations only: Albania, Bulgaria, Czech-

oslovakia, East Germany (Soviet Zone of Germany and the Soviet Sector of Berlin), Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Outer Mongolia, Rumania, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics except the Pacific region of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics which comprises Primorskiy Kray, Khabarovskiy

Kray, the Island of Sakhalin, and the Kuril Islands.¹

§ 371.51 [Amendment]

3. Section 371.51 *Supplement 1; commodities subject to General License GHK or GLSA* is amended by adding the following entries:

Commodity description	Department of Commerce Schedule B No.	Symbol
Office machines, the following only, and specially fabricated parts and accessories therefor: Adding machines, non-listing; card punch equipment; collating machines; Coxhead-liners; cylinder shaving machines; cylinders for dictating machines; discs for recording machines; Formaliners; headliners; listing-adding machines; photo composing machines; and pocket adding machines	77799	H
Insulin, all forms	81226	H
Prednisolone (including derivatives), and preparations, all forms, n.e.c.	81230	H
Hydrocortisone (including derivatives), and preparations, all forms, n.e.c.	81232	H
Prednisone (including derivatives), and preparations, all forms, n.e.c.	81234	H
Cortisone, and Acth (including derivatives), and preparations, all forms, n.e.c.	81236	H
Sulfonamide drugs, n.e.c., dosage forms only	81251	H
Penicillin, dosage forms	81257	H
Streptomycin, dosage forms only	81260	H
Dihydrostreptomycin, dosage forms	81266	H
Bacitracin, dosage forms only	81269	H
Antibiotics, n.e.c., dosage forms	81295	H
Compounds or mixtures containing antibiotics and/or sulfonamides, dosage forms only	81354	H
Parenteral solutions, including ampoules (exclusive of biologics, enzymes, glandular products, sulfonamides, antibiotics, and narcotics), except vitamin preparations	81395	H
Medicinal chemicals, bulk, the following only: Allantoin; alloxane; aloin; alumidyn; Amigen; antiseptic oil; antisepticum; apiol; apolysin; Apolamine tablet granulation; arrhenal; Benadryl; benzoated lard; cafumal; colace; delcos; glyceric acid of hydrogen peroxide; glycerophosphates; glycerophosphoric acid and salts; guacamphol; guaiacol glyceryl ether; guaiamar; guayacol hydrate; histamine; histamine phosphate; khellin; kola astier; Lyxanthine; Mafarside; Mandelamine powder; Neo-Synephrine hydrochloride powder; Neo-Synephrine crystals; podophyllin; propenpyridamine maleate; Protolysate; pyranisamine maleate; pyribenzamine base; pyridium bulk; pyrilamine maleate; rhubarb extract; senaga root extract, solid; Syntropan; tannalbin; Tannigen; Tapal; thymol; thymol blue; thymol iodide; Vipeptolac; wintodon granulation; and Wyamine sulfate	81398	H
Antibiotic feed supplements containing not less than 100,000 units of penicillin or 100,000 units of bacitracin per pound or not less than 1/10 gram of any other antibiotic per pound, and vitamin feed supplements, n.e.c.	81432	H
Veterinary medicinals and preparations, n.e.c.	81435	H
Malaria, chill, and fever remedies, all forms	81570	H
Drugs and medicinal preparations in dosage form, the following only: Acalo; acordis tablets; Aegopodium; Alcola; alkarom; alkeme; Altepose tablets; ammonium and methenamine chloride tablets; ammonium mandelate; Anachloric tablets; Andron prophylactic; Anolysen tablets; Antepar sirup; Antiscidula; apiol and ergot capsules; apioline; apiolining; Avi-tab; Avi-ton; Becker's diuretic pills; chlorophyll; chlorophyll tablets; Crema Vermifuga; Cystex; Dawes' Ad-Seal-In; delbiase; dental medicinals; dioxigen; Dobell solution tablets; ear drops; Florquin powder and tablets; Fostex cake; Gastrogen tablets; Gelfoam surgical sponges, dissolving type; Haarlem oil; Haarlem oil capsules; khellin; kidney pills; Mandelamine; Mandelico sirup; McCoy's tablets; McCoy's special formula pills; menthol; Mother Sill's seasick remedy; Pabst's Special Okay; Pabutole; Phisoderm; Phisohex; pine extract; Poloris poultices; Posta-Cola; Pyridium; Q-zeen; S-Y tablets; saccharin, soluble and tablets; Sanmetto; santal-MIDY; selun sulfide suspension; Sereonium tablets; smelling salts; Sucaryl sodium; Surgeons' lubricating jelly; topocaine dental anesthetics; and vermifuge	81800	H
Motion picture screens, and specially fabricated parts and accessories, n.e.c.	90220	H
Photographic prints, the following only: black and white; colored prints; photographs, framed or unframed; Van Dykes	91340	H

§ 372.7 [Amendment]

4. Section 372.7 *License applications for ship stores, plane stores, supplies and equipment*, paragraph (b) *Preparation of license applications*, subparagraph (3) *Operating vessels and aircraft* is amended to read as follows:

(3) *Operating vessels and aircraft.* An application for a license to export commodities, including ship or plane stores, supplies and equipment (except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section), to an operating vessel or aircraft, whether in operation or being repaired, shall be prepared on Form FC-419 in accordance with the instructions contained in § 372.5, with the following modifications:

(i) *Country of ultimate destination.* Show country where the vessel or aircraft will take on the commodities. If it is uncertain at the time of filing the license application as to where the vessel or aircraft will take on the commodity (ies), one of the following statements shall be entered in the space entitled

"Country of Ultimate Destination," depending on the type of commodity(ies) that are intended for shipment:

(a) *Petroleum or petroleum products.* If the application includes petroleum or petroleum products, enter the statement:

Uncertain; however, shipment(s) will not be made to Hong Kong, Macao, Poland (including Danzig), a Subgroup A destination, Dominican Republic, or Cuba.

An export license issued under this circumstance will bear the following destination restriction:

Shipment(s) may be made to the named (vessel) (aircraft) at any port in any country except Hong Kong, Macao, Poland (including Danzig), a Subgroup A destination, Dominican Republic, or Cuba.

(b) *Other commodities.* If the application does not include petroleum or petroleum products, enter the statement

¹ Shipments under General License GLSA are not permitted to move in transit via any Subgroup A destination other than those listed in § 371.24.

shown in (a) of this subdivision, except that "Dominican Republic" should be deleted from the statement. An export license issued under these circumstances will bear the destination restrictions shown in (a) of this subdivision, except the destination "Dominican Republic" will be omitted.

(ii) *Ultimate consignee in foreign country.* Show name of owner and port or place where commodities will be taken aboard. Also, if a vessel, show name of vessel. If the port or place where the commodities will be taken aboard is unknown, enter the statement shown in (a) or (b) of subdivision (i) of this subparagraph, as appropriate. In this case, the export license will also bear the applicable destination restriction as shown in (a) or (b) of subdivision (i) of this subparagraph.

(iii) *Commodity description.* For a vessel under 40 feet in length, include a statement as to the length of the vessel. For a vessel 40 feet in length or over, show the following information in this item or on an attachment to the application:

- (a) Type of vessel.
- (b) Business address of owner and his nationality.
- (c) Country of registry.
- (d) Name of charterer and the terms and type of charter, if under charter.
- (iv) *Identification of parties to transaction.* In each case, all parties to the transaction, including the United States or foreign purchaser, must be identified with a clear statement of the capacity or function of each, as provided in § 372.4(c).

§ 372.12 [Amendment]

5. Section 372.12 *Prohibited and permitted reexportations*, paragraph (c) *Request for authority to reexport after exportation from the United States*, is amended by adding the following countries to the list of countries in subdivision (ii) of subparagraph (2):

Congo, Republic of the (Leopoldville) ¹
(formerly known as the Belgian Congo).
Ruanda-Urundi.

§ 373.3 [Amendment]

6. Section 373.3 *Statement by foreign importer of aircraft or vessel repair parts* is amended in the following respects:

a. Paragraph (a) *Definitions*, subparagraph (1) *Foreign importer of aircraft or vessel repair parts* is amended to read as follows:

(a) *Definitions*—(1) *Foreign importer of aircraft or vessel repair parts.* As used in this section, the term "foreign importer of aircraft or vessel repair parts" means a person or firm which is located in any foreign country except a Subgroup A country, Poland (including Danzig), or Cuba, and which is either (i) engaged in the repair, maintenance or servicing of aircraft or vessels, either exclusively or as part of a related business; or (ii) engaged in supplying United

States origin parts, accessories, equipment, or components directly to aircraft or vessels for use on such aircraft or vessels, either exclusively or as part of a related business. Such foreign person or firm need not maintain an aircraft repair hangar or ship repair yard provided that he is engaged in one of the activities set forth in this procedure.

b. Paragraph (b) *General*, subparagraph (3) is amended to read as follows:

(3) A foreign importer qualifying under this procedure is authorized to use the United States origin parts in the repair, maintenance or servicing of any vessel or aircraft, provided the vessel or aircraft is not registered in, or not owned, operated, or controlled by, or not chartered or leased to a Subgroup A country, Poland (including Danzig), Cuba, or any national of any of these countries, and provided the vessel or aircraft is not owned, operated or controlled by, or not chartered or leased to, the Armed Forces of the Dominican Republic.

c. Paragraph (c) *Request for qualification* is amended to read as follows:

(c) *Request for qualification.* In order to qualify as an approved foreign importer, any person or firm meeting the terms of the definition set forth in paragraph (a) (1) of this section shall submit to the Bureau of Foreign Commerce four completed copies of Form FC-43,¹ Revised April 1, 1961. Copies bearing an earlier printing date are not acceptable. All items on the form shall be completed and the foreign importer shall signify agreement to the conditions and obligations set forth on the form by signature of an official of the foreign firm.

d. A new paragraph (g) is added to read as follows:

(g) *Extension of validity period of Form FC-43.* (1) If there are no changes with respect to the facts and commitments set forth in the Form FC-43 previously approved by the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, a foreign importer may request the extension of an expiring Form FC-43 by submitting a letter to the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, in an original and three copies, which includes the certification set forth below. However, if there will be a change, to take effect upon validation of the extension, with respect to the facts previously certified on the previously approved Form FC-43, a new Form FC-43 must be submitted to the Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

Certification: I (We) certify that:

(1) I (We) have reread the Form FC-43 which was executed on _____, and which expires on _____.

¹ Copies of Form FC-43, filed as part of the original document, may be obtained at all Department of Commerce Field Offices and from the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D.C. Foreign importers may obtain copies of this form from their United States exporter or from United States diplomatic and consular offices.

(ii) The facts contained in that Form have not changed to date and accurately and completely reflect the intended use and disposition of the commodities to be imported under Station No. _____ for the period ending _____.

(June 30 of next year unless an earlier termination date is desired)

(iii) The commodities imported will not be used in the maintenance, repair, or servicing of vessels or aircraft under the registry, ownership, operation, charter, lease or control of any country in the Soviet Bloc, Communist China, North Korea, the Communist-controlled area of Viet-Nam, Poland (including Danzig), or Cuba, or a national of any of these countries, or vessels or aircraft owned, operated, or controlled by or chartered or leased to the Armed Forces of the Dominican Republic, unless prior approval is received from the Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

(iv) I (We) will maintain records, in the detail set forth in the United States Export Regulations, of commodities imported from the United States and supplied to vessels or aircraft, for a period of three years from the date the commodities are supplied to such vessel or aircraft. These records will be available for inspection, upon demand by the Bureau of Foreign Commerce or by a United States Foreign Service Post.

(v) I (We) shall obtain the approval of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce with respect to any change in the facts or intentions which may occur after the signing of this certification.

(Name of foreign firm)	(Date of signing)
(Signature of official of foreign firm)	(Typed or printed signature)

(2) If the request for extension is approved, one copy of the foreign importer's letter will be returned to him imprinted with the seal of the U.S. Department of Commerce. Where the request for extension is not approved, the foreign importer will be advised by letter.

§ 379.4 [Amendment]

7. Section 379.4 *Authentication of Declaration*, paragraph (c) *Commodity descriptions*, subparagraph (2) *Distinguishing characteristics or specifications* is amended to read as follows:

(2) *Distinguishing characteristics or specifications.* When shipment of a commodity is being made under a general license as a non-Positive List commodity and there is an entry on the Positive List for the same type of commodity and under the same Schedule B number, but with different specifications, capacities or other characteristics, the description of the commodity on the Declaration shall contain the distinguishing specification, capacity, or other characteristic, such as: rated horsepower, pressure, kilowatts, operating voltage, kilovolt amperes, etc. Such description shall be complete in all respects, including the exact specifications and/or composition of the commodity; for example: one diffusion vacuum pump, 10 inches in diameter, Schedule B No. 77086. If it is impractical to give the exact specifications and/or composition of the commodity, Collectors, at their discretion, may authorize exporters,

¹ This destination does not include the Republic of Congo (Brazzaville) which was formerly known as the French Congo.

in lieu thereof, to place the following certification on the Declaration:

Commodity not on Positive List, page _____, dated _____.

This amendment shall become effective as of April 1, 1961.

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7; 50 U.S.C. App. 2023. E.O. 9630, 10 F.R. 12245, 3 CFR, 1945 Supp., E.O. 9919, 13 F.R. 59, 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

LORING K. MACY,
Director,

Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3160; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

[9th Gen. Rev. of Export Regs., Amdt. 52]

PART 371—GENERAL LICENSES

1. Section 371.15 *General License GLC; exportations of commercial vehicles by certain civil airlines and by private or common carriers*, paragraph (a) *Air carriers* is amended to read as follows:

(a) *Air carriers*. Civil aircraft operating under an Air Carrier Operating Certificate, Commercial Operating Certificate, or Air Taxi Operating Certificate issued by the Federal Aviation Agency may depart from the United States for any destination other than a destination in Subgroup A; except that United States registered aircraft shall not depart for the purpose of sale, resale, lease, charter, or any other disposition to a foreign country or any national thereof, and except that the aircraft's United States registration shall not be changed while abroad.

2. Section 71.18 *General License GLR; return of commodities imported into the United States*, paragraph (a) *Commodities sent to the United States for inspection, testing, calibration or repair*, subparagraph (2) is amended by adding a new subdivision (iii), so that as amended subparagraph (2) will read as follows:

(2) The provisions of this paragraph do not apply to:

(i) Exportations to Hong Kong, Macao, Poland (including Danzig), a Subgroup A destination, or Cuba.

(ii) Commodities disposed of by United States Government agencies under foreign excess property disposal program.

(iii) Exportations to the Dominican Republic of (a) automotive vehicles except passenger cars, busses, trailers, and off-the-road vehicles, (b) parts and accessories for any automotive vehicles, and (c) any commodities consigned to, or known to be for, the military (Armed Forces).

This amendment shall become effective as of April 6, 1961.

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7; 50 U.S.C. App. 2023. E.O. 9630, 10 F.R. 12245, 3 CFR, 1945 Supp., E.O. 9919, 13 F.R. 59, 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

JOHN C. BORTON,
Acting Director,

Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3161; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 17—COMMODITY AND SECURITIES EXCHANGES

Chapter I—Commodity Exchange Authority (Including Commodity Exchange Commission), Department of Agriculture

SUPERSEDURE OF CERTAIN REGULATIONS

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 61-3095, appearing at page 2968 of the issue for Friday, April 7, 1961, the entry for Cotton in § 15.03 should read:

Commodity	Quantity
Cotton	5,000 bales.

Title 22—FOREIGN RELATIONS

Chapter I—Department of State

PART 46—CONTROL OF ALIENS DEPARTING FROM THE UNITED STATES

Miscellaneous Amendments

Part 46, Chapter I, Title 22 of the Code of Federal Regulations is being amended to authorize the Administrator of the Bureau of Security and Consular Affairs and the Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization to exercise the functions relating to the control of aliens departing from the United States which were formerly reserved to the Secretary of State and the Attorney General:

1. Paragraphs (e) and (f) of § 46.5 are amended to read as follows:

§ 46.5 Hearing procedure before special inquiry officer.

(e) Notwithstanding any other provision of this part, the Administrator of the Bureau of Security and Consular Affairs referred to in section 104(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, or such other officers of the Department of State as he may designate, after consultation with the Commissioner, or such other officers of the Immigration and Naturalization Service as he may designate, may at any time permit the departure of an individual alien or of a group of aliens from the United States if he determines that such action would be in the national interest. If the Administrator specifically requests the Commissioner to prevent the departure of a particular alien or of a group of aliens, the Commissioner shall not permit the departure of such alien or aliens until he has consulted with the Administrator.

(f) In any case arising under §§ 46.1 to 46.7, the Administrator shall, at his request, be kept advised, in as much detail as he may indicate is necessary, of the facts and of any action taken or proposed.

2. Paragraph (a) of § 46.6 is amended to read as follows:

§ 46.6 Departure from the Canal Zone, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or outlying possessions of the United States.

(a) In addition to the restrictions and prohibitions imposed by the provisions of this part upon the departure of aliens from the United States, any alien who seeks to depart from the Canal Zone, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or an outlying possession of the United States shall comply with such other restrictions and prohibitions as may be imposed by regulations prescribed, with the concurrence of the Administrator of the Bureau of Security and Consular Affairs and the Commissioner, by the Governor of the Canal Zone, the High Commissioner of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or by the governor of an outlying possession of the United States, respectively. No alien shall be prevented from departing from such zone, territory, or possession without first being accorded a hearing as provided in §§ 46.4 and 46.5.

3. Section 46.7 is amended to read as follows:

§ 46.7 Instructions from the Administrator required in certain cases.

In the absence of appropriate instructions from the Administrator of the Bureau of Security and Consular Affairs, departure-control officers shall not exercise the authority conferred by § 46.2 in the case of any alien who seeks to depart from the United States in the status of a nonimmigrant under section 101(a) (15) (A) or (E) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, or in the status of a nonimmigrant under section 11(3), 11(4), or 11(5) of the Agreement between the United Nations and the United States of America regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations (61 Stat. 756): *Provided*, That in cases of extreme urgency, where the national security so requires, a departure-control officer may preliminarily exercise the authority conferred by § 46.2 pending the outcome of consultation with the Administrator, which shall be undertaken immediately. In all cases arising under this section, the decision of the Administrator shall be controlling: *Provided*, That any decision to prevent the departure of an alien shall be based upon a hearing and record as prescribed in this part.

Effective date. The regulations contained in this order shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U.S.C. 1003) relative to notice of proposed rule making are inapplicable to this order because the regulations contained therein involve foreign affairs functions of the United States.

Dated: February 27, 1961.

DEAN RUSK,
Secretary of State.

Concurred in: April 6, 1961.

ROBERT F. KENNEDY,
Attorney General.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3198; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:49 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 968]

[Docket No. AO-173-A12]

MILK IN WICHITA, KANSAS, MARKETING AREA

Notice of Extension of Time for Filing Exceptions to the Recommended Decision on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and to Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given that the time for filing exceptions to the recommended decision with respect to the proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement, and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Wichita, Kansas, marketing area, which was issued March 29, 1961 (26 F.R. 2752), is hereby extended to April 22, 1961.

Dated: April 6, 1961, Washington, D.C.

F. R. BURKE,

Acting Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3218; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

Agricultural Research Service

[9 CFR Part 17]

SMOKED HAMs AND OTHER PORK PRODUCTS UNDER FEDERAL MEAT INSPECTION

Notice of Additional Public Hearings on Moisture Content

On March 28, 1961, the United States Department of Agriculture issued, for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (26 F.R. 2756), a notice of public hearings to be held in five specified locations on the moisture content of smoked hams and other pork products prepared under the Meat Inspection Act, as amended (21 U.S.C. 71 et seq.) and the regulations thereunder (9 CFR Parts 1-28).

Because of widespread interest in this matter two additional hearings have been scheduled to begin at 10:00 a.m., local time, as follows:

Minneapolis, Minn.: Judge Devitt's Court Room, Fourth Floor, U.S. Federal Courts Building, Third and Marquette Streets; Saturday, May 6, 1961.

Los Angeles, Calif.: Court Room No. 9, U.S. Post Office and Court House; Friday, May 12, 1961.

Any interested person may present any views, facts, or arguments he wishes to offer orally at the hearings, or may

submit his comments in writing to the Presiding Officer at the hearings, or may send a written statement of comments to the Administrator, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C. Comments sent to the Administrator will be considered if received in his office on or before May 22, 1961.

It will facilitate the hearings if persons who wish to make their comments orally will notify the Administrator as soon as possible to that effect, stating at which hearing or hearings they wish to testify and how long a time they would like to have to present their testimony. However any person who wishes to testify at the hearings will be afforded opportunity to do so, whether he has given such advance notice to the Administrator or not.

The further procedures specified in the March 28 notice will apply to these two additional hearings.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of April 1961.

M. R. CLARKSON,

Acting Administrator,

Agricultural Research Service.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3221; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:52 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDU- CATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 15]

CEREAL FLOURS AND RELATED PROD- UCTS; DEFINITIONS AND STAND- ARDS OF IDENTITY

Wheat Flour and Related Products; Proposal to List Acetone Peroxides as Optional Ingredient

Notice is given that a petition has been filed by J. R. Short Milling Company, 20 North Wacker Drive, Chicago 6, Illinois, proposing an amendment of the identity standards for flour, enriched flour, bromated flour, enriched bromated flour, self-rising flour, enriched self-rising flour, and phosphated flour (21 CFR 15.1, 15.10, 15.20, 15.30, 15.50, 15.60, 15.70) to add acetone peroxides to the list of optional ingredients permitted for bleaching and artificial aging effects. The proposed amendment would be effected by adding to § 15.1(a), a new subparagraph, reading as follows:

(____) Acetone peroxides (complying with the provisions of § 121.1023 of this chapter).

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 401, 701, 52 Stat. 1046, 1055, as amended 70 Stat. 919, 72 Stat. 948; 21 U.S.C. 341, 371) and in accordance with the authority delegated to the Commissioner of

Food and Drugs by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (25 F.R. 8625), all interested persons are invited to submit their views in writing regarding this proposal. Such views and comments should be submitted in quintuplicate, addressed to the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington 25, D.C., prior to the thirtieth day following the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: March 31, 1961.

[SEAL]

J. K. KIRK,

*Assistant to the Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.*

[F.R. Doc. 61-3181; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[17 CFR Part 275]

[Release 113]

INVESTMENT ADVISERS

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given that the Securities and Exchange Commission has under consideration a proposal to adopt Rule 206(4)-1 defining certain advertisements by investment advisers to be fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative within the meaning of section 206(4) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.

Since the adoption of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, section 206 of the Act has made it unlawful for registered investment advisers to engage in fraudulent or deceptive activities. The effectiveness of these provisions were limited, however, because the Commission did not have the power to define specific activities to be fraudulent or deceptive.

In September 1960 the Act was amended in many important respects. Section 206 was made applicable to all investment advisers whether registered or not. In addition, a new subsection (4) of section 206 prohibits any investment adviser from engaging in any act, practice or course of business which is fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative and gives the Commission the power, by rules and regulations, to define and to prescribe means reasonably designed to prevent such acts, practices and courses of business.

Since the amendment of the Act the Commission has accelerated its regulatory program with respect to investment advisers. One important phase of this program involves a study of the acts and practices of investment advisers which may be considered to be fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative, with a view to adopting rules and regulations designed to prevent such acts and practices. The present proposal is the first

step in implementing this phase of the program.

The proposed Rule 206(4)-1 would prohibit an investment adviser from publishing or circulating, or from causing any other person to publish or circulate, any advertisement which the rule defines to be fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative.

In considering the specific provisions of the rule several important considerations should be borne in mind. Investment advisers are generally required to adhere to a stricter standard of conduct than that applicable to ordinary merchants, securities are "intricate merchandise", and clients or prospective clients of investment advisers are frequently unskilled and unsophisticated in investment matters. Since it is to such persons that a substantial amount of investment advisory advertising is directed, the proposed rule is intended to implement the statutory mandate by foreclosing the use of practices which have a tendency to mislead or deceive such persons.

The first subparagraph of the proposed rule would prohibit advertisements containing testimonials of any kind concerning the investment adviser or any advice, analysis, report or other service rendered by the investment adviser. Subparagraph (2) would prohibit the use of advertisements which call attention to past recommendations of the investment adviser which were or would have been profitable to any person. Such advertisements are misleading because by their very nature they emphasize the comments and activities favorable to the investment adviser and ignore those which are unfavorable.

Subparagraph (3) would prohibit an investment adviser from using an advertisement which represents, directly or indirectly, that any graph, chart, formula, method, system or other device being offered can in and of itself be used to determine which securities to buy or sell, or when to buy or sell them. This same subparagraph would also prohibit an advertisement which represents that any such graph, chart, etc., being offered will enable or assist any person to make his own decisions as to which securities to buy or sell or when to buy or sell them without fully disclosing the limitations and difficulties with respect to its use.

Subparagraph (4) of the rule would prohibit an advertisement from representing that any report, analysis, or

other service will be obtained free or without charge unless it is in fact entirely free and subject to no conditions or obligations.

Subparagraph (5) contains a more general provision to make it unlawful for an investment adviser to use any advertisement if it contains any untrue statement of a material fact, or is otherwise false or misleading.

It has been suggested that there are many other areas in which it may be appropriate to adopt rules defining certain practices to be fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative. As pointed out above, the Commission has power not only to define such acts or practices, but also to adopt rules designed to prevent them.

Section 204 of the Act, as amended, gives the Commission the power to require investment advisers subject to registration to maintain books and records prescribed by the Commission and to inspect such books and records. The Commission has published, in Investment Advisers Act Release No. 111, its proposed rule to prescribe the books and records to be maintained. Its inspection program should furnish valuable information indicating some areas which might be the subject of regulation to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative practices. However, the Commission would like to have the suggestions of all informed persons (including those engaged in business as investment advisers, and those who have employed the services of such advisers in any capacity) concerning other rules and regulations which might be adopted to prevent such practices.

The text of proposed § 275.206(4)-1 (Rule 206(4)-1) discussed above, defining certain advertisements as fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative, is as follows:

§ 275.206(4)-1 Prohibited advertisements by investment advisers.

(a) It shall constitute a fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative act, practice or course of business within the meaning of section 206(4) of the Act, for any investment adviser, directly or indirectly, to publish, circulate or distribute any advertisement:

(1) Which refers, directly or indirectly, to any testimonial of any kind concerning the investment adviser or concerning any advice, analysis, report or other service rendered by such investment adviser; or

(2) Which refers, directly or indirectly, to past recommendations of such investment adviser which were or would have been profitable to any person; or

(3) Which represents, directly or indirectly, that any graph, chart, formula, method, system or other device being offered can in and of itself be used to determine which securities to buy or sell, or when to buy or sell them; or which represents, directly or indirectly, that any graph, chart, formula, method, system or other device being offered will enable or assist any person to make his own decisions as to which securities to buy or sell, or when to buy or sell them, without fully disclosing, in close juxtaposition and with equal prominence, the limitations and difficulties with respect to its use; or

(4) Which contains any statement to the effect that any report, analysis, or other service will be furnished free or without charge, unless such report, analysis or other service actually is or will be furnished entirely free and without any condition or obligation, directly or indirectly; or

(5) Which contains any untrue statement of a material fact, or which is otherwise false or misleading.

(b) For the purpose of this section the term "advertisement" shall include any notice, circular, letter or other communication, written or oral, which offers (1) any analysis, report, or publication, or (2) any graph, chart, formula, method, system or other device intended to be used in connection with making any determination as to when to buy or sell any security, or which security to buy or sell, or (3) any other investment advisory service.

(Sec. 206, 54 Stat. 852, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 80b-6; Sec. 211, 54 Stat. 855, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 80b-11)

All interested persons are invited to submit their views and comments on proposed Rule 206(4)-1 and their suggestions with respect to other rules which should be adopted to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, on or before May 15, 1961. All such communications will be available for public inspection.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

APRIL 4, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3197; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:49 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[T.D. 55360]

TUNA FISH

Tariff-Rate Quota

APRIL 5, 1961.

Pursuant to Presidential Proclamation No. 3128 of March 16, 1956 (T.D. 54051), it has been determined that 57,114,714 pounds of tuna may be entered for consumption or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption during the calendar year 1961 at the rate of 12½ per centum ad valorem under paragraph 718(b), Tariff Act of 1930, as modified. Any tuna classifiable under paragraph 718(b) of the tariff act which is entered, or withdrawn, for consumption during the current calendar year in excess of this quota will be dutiable at the full rate of 25 per centum ad valorem.

The above quota is based on the United States pack of canned tuna during the calendar year 1960, as reported by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

[SEAL]

PHILIP NICHOLS, JR.,
Commissioner of Customs.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3199; Filed Apr. 10, 1961;
8:49 a.m.]

CERTAIN PLASTIC BATHROOM FIXTURES

Tariff Classification

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 61-3069, appearing at page 2991 of the issue for Friday, April 7, 1961, the parenthetical phrase beginning in the sixth line of the second paragraph should read: "(paragraph 1559 (a).)".

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

[Aberdeen Area Office Redesignation Order
2, Amdt. 10]

SUPERINTENDENTS AND OTHER DESIGNATED EMPLOYEES

Redesignation of Authority With Respect to Credit Functions

Order 2 (19 F.R. 8756), as amended (20 F.R. 6304; 21 F.R. 3973, 8369, 9388; 22 F.R. 4258; 23 F.R. 6841; 24 F.R. 6371, 9402; 25 F.R. 5351), is further amended by the addition of a new part to read as follows:

PART 3—AUTHORITY OF SPECIFICALLY DESIGNATED EMPLOYEES

FUNCTIONS RELATING TO CREDIT MATTERS

SEC. 3.120 *Loan agreements.* The Superintendent, Standing Rock Agency, may approve applications for loans to in-

dividuals and partnerships by the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe (subject to the availability of funds) pursuant to 25 CFR, Part 91, and to a declaration of policy approved by the Commissioner to govern the Tribe's credit program, where the total indebtedness of the applicant to the Tribe does not exceed \$2,500, except loans to Federal employees, loans for educational purposes, and any other types of loans specified in the declaration as outside the Superintendent's approval.

SEC. 3.127 *Modifications of loan agreements.* The Superintendent, Standing Rock Agency, may approve modifications of loans to individuals and partnerships by the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe pursuant to 25 CFR, Part 91, and to a declaration of policy approved by the Commissioner to govern the Tribe's credit program, where the total indebtedness of the applicant to the Tribe does not exceed \$2,500, except modifications of loans to Federal employees, loans for educational purposes, modifications involving extension of repayment terms, and modifications of any types of loans specified in the declaration as outside the Superintendent's approval.

MARTIN N. B. HOLM,
Area Director.

Approved: April 4, 1961.

JOHN O. CROW,
Acting Commissioner.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3172; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

Bureau of Land Management ALASKA

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey and Order Providing for Opening of Public Lands

APRIL 4, 1961.

1. Plat of the extension survey of the lands described below will be officially filed in the Anchorage Land Office, Anchorage, Alaska, effective at 10:00 a.m., May 1, 1961.

SEWARD MERIDIAN

T. 18 N., R. 2 W.,

Sec. 12: All;
Sec. 13: All;
Sec. 14: All;
Sec. 15: All;
Sec. 19: All;
Sec. 20: All;
Sec. 21: All;
Sec. 22: All;
Sec. 23: All;
Sec. 24: All;
Sec. 25: All;
Sec. 26: All;
Sec. 27: All;
Sec. 28: All;
Sec. 29: All;
Sec. 30: All;
Sec. 31: All;
Sec. 32: All;
Sec. 33: All;
Sec. 34: All;
Sec. 35: All;
Sec. 36: All.

Containing 12,880.28 acres.

2. The bottom land of the Susitna River flood plain and the mountain slopes to the north are covered with mature stands of birch, cottonwood and spruce. The area south of the river is covered with pure stands of spruce on the bench land, around the lakes and in the low drainage courses. The higher, well drained ridges usually have a heavy stand of small white birch and aspen.

3. The public lands affected by this order are hereby restored to the operation of the public land laws, subject to any valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, and the requirements of applicable law, rules and regulations in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws and applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws may be presented to the Manager, Anchorage Land Office, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications, selections, and mineral leasing offers will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraph:

(1) Applications by person having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws, other than those mentioned under paragraph (1) above, and applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws, presented prior to 10:00 a.m., on July 29, 1961, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by time of filing.

The lands will also be open to mining location at that date and hour.

4. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their applications, setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

5. Applications for these lands, which shall be filed in the Land Office at Anchorage, Alaska, shall be acted upon in accordance with the regulations contained in § 295.8 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations to the extent such regulations are applicable. Applications under the homestead and homestead laws shall be governed by the regulations contained in Parts 64, 65, and

166 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

6. Inquiries concerning these lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Anchorage Land Office, Anchorage, Alaska.

WARNER T. MAY,
Manager, Anchorage Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3173; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

PROPERTY OF CALIFORNIA RANCHERIAS AND OF INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS THEREOF

Termination of Federal Supervision

Notice is hereby given that the Indians named under the Rancherias listed below are no longer entitled to any of the services performed by the United States for Indians because of their status as Indians, and all statutes of the United States which affect Indians because of their status as Indians shall be inapplicable to them, and the laws of the several states shall apply to them in the same manner as they apply to other citizens or persons within their jurisdiction. Title to the lands on these Rancherias has passed from the United States Government under the distribution plan of each Rancheria.

Strawberry Valley Rancheria, Lot 12 in Block B of the Townsite of Strawberry Valley, Yuba County, Calif.

Name	Date of birth	Address
Sophia O. Wyman.....	6-16-13	Berry Creek, Calif.

Cache Creek Rancheria, 160 acres, NW/4 NW/4, E/2 NW/4, and NE/4 SW/4 of sec. 25, T. 14 N., R. 7 W., M.D.M., Lake County, Calif.

Name	Date of birth	Address
Charlie McKay.....	11-13-04	Clearlake Oaks, Calif.
Mabel McKay.....	1-10-10	Do.
Marshall C. McKay.....	(1)	Do.

Unknown.

Buena Vista Rancheria, 67.5 acres in sec. 19, T. 5 N., R. 10 E., M.D.B.M., Amador County, Calif.

Name	Date of birth	Address
Louie Oliver.....	4-30-89	Rt. 1, Box 59, Ione, Calif.
Annie Oliver.....	12-18-97	Do.

Paskenta Rancheria, 260 acres in sec. 12, T. 23 N., R. 7 W., M.D.M., Tehama County, Calif.

Name	Date of birth	Address
William Freeman.....	9-23-03	Paskenta, Calif.
Ann Raglin.....	11-11-98	Gerber, Calif.

Ruffeys Rancheria, 441 acres, SW/4 NE/4, Lot 4 of NW/4, S/2 NW/4, SW/4, NW/4 SE/4, and S/2 SE/4, sec. 5, T. 41 N., R. 9 W., M.D.B.M., Siskiyou County, Calif.

Name	Date of birth	Address
Roy Abernathy.....	12-19-87	Etna, Calif.
Fanny Abernathy.....	9- 7-89	Do.
Edmund Abernathy.....	12-23-92	Do.
Bessie Abernathy Lippen.....	5-17-89	Do.

Mark West Rancheria, 35.13 acres, S/2 NE/4, sec. 25, T. 8 N., R. 8 W., M.D.B.M., Sonoma County, Calif.

Name	Date of birth	Address
William B. Steele.....	9-17-12	126 Scott St., Santa Rosa, Calif.
Ida Steele.....	10- 1-10	Do.
Suzanne Steele.....	5-23-40	Do.
William B. Steele, Jr.....	5-13-43	Do.
Sylvia Steele.....	9- 9-45	Do.

Table Bluff Rancheria, 20 acres in sec. 35, T. 4 N., R. 2 W., H.B.M., Humboldt County, Calif.

Name	Date of birth	Address
Robert Johnson.....	2-23-29	Box 33, Loleta, Calif.
Geraldine Johnson.....	8-25-35	Do.
Robert Johnson, Jr.....	1- 6-54	Do.
Dee Ann Inez Johnson.....	1-11-57	Do.
Albert James, Jr.....	3-29-24	RFD Box 34, Loleta, Calif.
Beverly James.....	10-28-31	Do.
Jerry James.....	12-27-50	Do.
Lois James.....	1- 6-50	Do.
Marilyn James.....	7-17-52	Do.
Albert James, III.....	9-10-53	Do.
George Buckley.....	6-14-15	Box 35, Loleta, Calif.
Loleta Buckley.....	2-14-20	Do.
Darlene Buckley.....	8-22-44	Do.
George Buckley, Jr.....	7- 3-46	Do.
Lavina Seidner.....	11-11-11	Loleta, Calif.
Melvin James.....	9-19-25	Box 226, Loleta, Calif.
Edna James.....	3-19-29	Do.
Melvin James, Jr.....	7-20-53	Do.
Pamela James.....	1- 8-56	Do.
Alzada James.....	5-12-57	Do.
Gary James.....	6-17-58	Do.
Hazel James.....	9-19-25	Do.
Hansen Sherman.....	4- 9-92	General Delivery, Loleta, Calif.
Wesley Seidner.....	1-19-31	Do.
Wilbur Seidner.....	3-31-33	Do.
William Seidner.....	6-23-14	Do.
Loreta Seidner.....	8- 8-18	Do.
Mairan Seidner.....	11-21-41	Do.
Melva Seidner.....	6-21-43	Do.
Cheryl Seidner.....	6- 1-50	Do.
William Seidner, Jr.....	4- 6-36	Do.
Leona E. Seidner.....	3-21-39	Do.
Herman Brown.....	11-27-13	Box 75, Loleta, Calif.
Thomas Kibby.....	11-26-93	Loleta, Calif.
Helen Kibby Evenson.....	3-22-18	Do.
Edward T. Evenson.....	12-28-42	Do.
Charles A. Evenson.....	11-19-43	Do.
Carol A. Evenson.....	11-19-43	Do.
Harry J. Evenson.....	6-12-46	Do.
Kenneth P. Evenson.....	10- 4-48	Do.
Richard A. Evenson.....	11-22-49	Do.
Franklin L. Evenson.....	8- 1-56	Do.
Roland E. Johnson.....	3- 7-26	General Delivery, Loleta, Calif.
Linwood Ward.....	2-23-07	2921 Q Street, Eureka, Calif.
Alberta McDonald.....	3-19-24	Box 226, Loleta, Calif.
Clinton McGuire.....	8-27-11	General Delivery, Loleta, Calif.

This notice is issued pursuant to the Act of August 18, 1958 (72 Stat. 619) and

becomes effective as of the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

STEWART L. UDALL,
Secretary of the Interior.

APRIL 4, 1961.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3132; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Foreign Commerce

[File No. 23-665]

IRVING ROBERT ROSS ET AL.

Order Temporarily Denying Export Privileges

In the matter of Irving Robert Ross, Cadillac Electronic Corporation, Reserve Electronics Corporation, Seaboard Import Company, Super Electronics Corporation, Superior Electronics Corporation, Superior Manufacturing Company, U.S. Transistor Corporation, 18 Salem Ridge, Huntington, Long Island, New York, and, 149 Eileen Way, Syosset, Long Island, New York, respondents; File No. 23-665.

The Director, Investigation Staff, Bureau of Foreign Commerce, U.S. Department of Commerce, pursuant to the provisions of § 382.11 of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce Export Regulations (Title 15, Chapter III, Subchapter B, Code of Federal Regulations), has applied to the Compliance Commissioner for an order temporarily denying to Irving Robert Ross of Huntington, Long Island, New York, and Syosset, Long Island, New York, a respondent herein, all United States export privileges pending the continued investigation of the facts giving rise to this application and the commencement of such administrative proceedings as may be deemed proper against him. In the activities which have resulted in the issuance of this order, Ross has used, from time to time, one or another companies or trade styles of doing business as set forth in the report of the Compliance Commissioner herein.

The Compliance Commissioner, having considered the evidence submitted in support of said application, has reported the facts upon which the application is based and has recommended that the application be granted. After careful consideration of the report and the evidence submitted together therewith, it is found that the evidence reasonably supports the conclusion that the respondent, Irving Robert Ross, has been and is engaged in a series of elaborate schemes to procure from manufacturers and exporting firms in the United States significant quantities of highly strategic electronic equipment ostensibly for export from the

United States. These schemes involved during the period under investigation a number of transactions which involved specific violations of U.S. Export Regulations, which included concealment of material facts, false representations by Ross or his agents to U.S. officials, and financial malpractices with U.S. suppliers, and forwarding and shipping concerns, that resulted in losses by several firms of substantial sums of money. It appears from the evidence that unless an order is entered and published denying temporarily all Ross's export privileges, he may attempt to obtain such commodities by new and varied devices and may export or transship commodities so obtained in violation of applicable Export Control Regulations. Now, having concluded that it is necessary to further the foreign policy of the United States and because of the significance to the national security of the respondent's conduct, and believing that the public interest requires that this temporary order be issued: *It is hereby ordered:*

(1) The respondent, Irving Robert Ross, in his own name and under whatever other name or style he may adopt, his agents, servants, and employees, the corporations and firms listed above, and all persons and firms associated with him and them, are hereby denied all privileges of participating directly or indirectly in any manner, form, or capacity in any exportation of any commodity or technical data from the United States to any foreign destination, including Canada. Without limitation of the generality of the foregoing, participation in an exportation shall include and prohibit respondent's participation (a) as party to or as representative of a party to any validated export license application; (b) in the obtaining or using of any validated or general export license or other export control document; (c) in the receiving, ordering, buying, selling, delivering, or disposing of any commodities in whole or in part exported or to be exported from the United States; and (d) in the financing, forwarding, transporting, or other servicing of exports from the United States;

(2) Such denial of export privileges shall apply not only to the said respondent, but also to any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization with which the respondent may be now or hereafter related by ownership, affiliation, control, position of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of trade which may involve exports from the United States or services connected therewith;

(3) This order shall take effect forthwith and shall remain in effect for forty-five days from the date hereof unless it is hereafter amended, modified, or vacated;

(4) No person, firm, corporation, or other business organization, within the United States or elsewhere, and whether or not engaged in trade relating to exports from the United States, without prior disclosure of the facts to, and specific authorization from the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, shall directly or indirectly in any manner, form, or capacity (a) apply for, obtain, transfer, or use any license, shipper's export declaration,

bill of lading, or other export control document relating to any exportation of commodities from the United States, or (b) order, receive, buy, sell, use, deliver, dispose of, finance, transport, forward, or otherwise service or participate in an exportation from the United States, or in a re-exportation of any commodity exported from the United States, with respect to which any of the persons or companies within the scope of paragraphs (1) and (2) hereof may receive any benefit or have any interest or participation of any kind or nature, direct or indirect;

(5) A certified copy of this order shall be served upon the respondent.

(6) In accordance with the provisions of § 382.11(c) of the Export Regulations, the respondent may move at any time to vacate or modify this temporary denial order by filing an appropriate motion therefor, supported by evidence, with the Compliance Commissioner and may request oral hearing thereon, which, if requested, shall be held before the Compliance Commissioner at Washington, D.C., at the earliest convenient date.

Dated: March 22, 1961.

FRANK W. SHEAFFER,
Director, Office of Export Supply.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3180; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

PAUL BUTLER

Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests as reported in the FEDERAL REGISTER in the last six months.

- A. Deletions: Skymotive Sales, Inc.
- B. Additions: Butler Flying School.

This statement is made as of February 1, 1961.

PAUL BUTLER.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3241; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:52 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-180]

GENERAL DYNAMICS CORP.

Notice of Issuance of Utilization Facility Export License

Please take notice that no request for a formal hearing having been filed following filing of a notice of receipt of the application with the Office of the Federal Register, the Atomic Energy Commission has issued License No. XR-40 to General Dynamics Corporation authorizing export of a nuclear research reactor to Kauppa-Ja Teollisuusministerio (Ministry of Trade and Industry), Finland for installation at the Teknillinen Korkeakoulu (Institute of Tech-

nology) in Otaniemi, Finland. The notice of filing of the application, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 11, 1961 (26 F.R. 1231), described the reactor as a 100 kilowatt TRIGA Mark II nuclear research reactor.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 5th day of April 1961.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

R. L. KIRK,
Deputy Director, Division of
Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3163; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 12291, Order No. E-16614]

ALLEGHENY AIRLINES, INC.

In the Matter of a Routing Between Hartford/Springfield and Washington; Order of Investigation and Suspension

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 5th day of April 1961.

By tariff revision filed for effect April 9, 1961, Allegheny Airlines, Inc. (Allegheny) proposes to permit application of its present fare between Washington and Hartford/Springfield on routings via Providence. This routing provision was once before proposed by Allegheny, although then in conjunction with a proposal to reduce the fare applicable between Washington and Providence to the level of that to/from Hartford/Springfield, and investigation and suspension thereof was ordered by the Board in Order E-16172 of December 23, 1960. The tariff revision here before the Board proposes no change in the carrier's Washington-Providence fare.

American Airlines, Inc. (American) and Eastern Air Lines, Inc. (Eastern) have filed complaints requesting investigation of the tariff revision and suspension pending decision therein. In summary, the complainants assert that the considerations which prompted the Board's earlier action are equally pertinent here and that the proposed routing provision would, in practical application, accomplish the commonrating of Hartford/Springfield and Providence since there would be nothing to prevent a traveler from purchasing a ticket to/from Hartford and enplaning or deplaning at Providence.

Upon consideration of the tariff and all relevant matters, the Board finds that the proposal may be unjust or unreasonable or unjustly discriminatory or unduly preferential or unduly prejudicial and should be investigated. The routing provision here under consideration is precisely that previously proposed by the carrier and, as noted in Order E-16172, entails a total circuitry of approximately 35 percent. Furthermore, while Allegheny proposes no change in its published fare between Washington and Providence, it would appear that the routing provision might have substantially the effect noted by the complain-

ants. Accordingly, since the issues here raised are substantially those presented by the earlier proposal, the Board has concluded to suspend the operation of the instant tariff proposal and the use thereof pending investigation.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, and particularly sections 204(a) and 1002 thereof: *It is ordered, That:*

1. An investigation be and hereby is instituted to determine whether Routing No. 30, a rule or regulation affecting the fares between Hartford and Washington on 18th Revised Page 26 of Agent C. C. Squire's C.A.B. No. 44, is, or will be, unjust or unreasonable, or unjustly discriminatory, or unduly preferential, or unduly prejudicial, or otherwise unlawful and if found to be unlawful to determine and prescribe the lawful routing.

2. Pending investigation, hearing and decision by the Board, Routing No. 30 appearing in connection with the fares between Hartford and Washington on 18th Revised Page 26 of Agent C. C. Squire's C.A.B. No. 44 is hereby suspended and its use deferred to and including July 7, 1961, unless otherwise ordered by the Board, and that no changes be made therein during the period of suspension except by order or special permission by the Board.

3. The proceeding ordered herein be assigned for hearing before an examiner of the Board at a time and place hereafter to be designated.

4. The complaints of American Airlines, Inc. and Eastern Air Lines, Inc. in Dockets 12255 and 12253, respectively, are consolidated herein.

5. Copies of this order shall be filed with the tariff and shall be served upon Allegheny Airlines, Inc., American Airlines, Inc., and Eastern Air Lines, Inc., which are hereby made parties to this proceeding.

This order will be published in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL]

JAMES L. DEEGAN,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3200; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[OE Docket No. 61-KC-6]

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF TELEVISION ANTENNA STRUCTURE

Notice of No Airspace Objection

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

Mid-Continent Telecasting, Inc., Pittsburg, Kansas, operator of television station KOAM-TV, proposes to construct a television antenna structure near Pittsburg, Kansas, at latitude 37°13'15" north, longitude 94°42'25" west. The overall height of the antenna structure

would be 2,049 feet above mean sea level (1,159 feet above ground).

This proposal was considered at the FAA Informal Airspace Meetings held on December 15, 1960, at Kansas City and on February 2, 1961, at Washington, D.C., but for a site at 37°13'19" N., 94°42'17" W. (4" latitude and 8" longitude from the final proposed site). At the Washington Informal Airspace Meeting, the sponsor stated that the proposed antenna structure would be located approximately 400 feet west of the existing KOAM-TV antenna structure. Subsequent to this meeting, the sponsor advised the Agency that the corrected geographic coordinates of the proposed structure would be latitude 37°13'15" north, longitude 94°42'25" west. The aeronautical study disclosed that this slight difference in location made no appreciable difference in the effect the structure would have on aeronautical operations.

Discussion of the proposed structure at the Washington Meeting resulted in aeronautical objections as follows:

1. The Air Transport Association (ATA), the Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association (AOPA) and the National Business Aircraft Association (NBAA) objected to the proposed structure because it would require an increase from 2,500 feet to 3,000 feet MSL in the procedure turn altitude of the ILS and ADF Standard Instrument Approaches to the Joplin, Missouri, Airport. Representatives of these organizations stated that their objection would be withdrawn if the procedure turns for these approaches were changed from the southwest side to the northeast side of the ILS localizer 310° magnetic course.

2. The Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association interposed a general objection to the proposed structure in conformance with the Association's policy of objecting to any structure which would exceed 1,000 feet above ground level.

The aeronautical study by the Agency determined that the effect of the proposed structure on the utilization of airspace would be as follows:

1. It would be located approximately 12.3 statute miles northwest of the Joplin Airport and would penetrate the outer conical surface of the "Joint Industry/Government Tall Structures Committee Final Report" criteria by 204 feet. This factor is not in itself disqualifying, but indicates a requirement for an aeronautical study. In this instance, the study revealed that there would be no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations.

2. It would require an increase in the minimum en route IFR altitudes from 2,500 feet MSL to 3,000 feet MSL on Victor Airway 132 south alternate between the McCune and Waco intersections, and on Victor Airway 190 between the Oswego, Kansas, VOR and the Waco intersection. The Agency study disclosed that these factors would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations.

3. It would require an increase in the IFR minimum obstruction clearance altitude (MOCA) from 2,300 feet MSL to 2,500 feet MSL on Victor Airway 88 between the Vinita and Waco inter-

sections. The Agency study disclosed that this factor would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations.

4. It would require an increase in the procedure turn altitudes from 2,500 feet MSL to 3,000 feet MSL of the ADF and ILS standard instrument approaches to the Joplin Airport. The study disclosed that a change in the procedure turns from the southwest to the northeast side of the 310° magnetic course of the Joplin Airport ILS localizer would have no adverse effect on instrument approaches and would permit retention of the altitude of 2,500 feet. The Agency agrees to initiate the above described modifications to the standard instrument approach procedures in the event the proposed structure is erected.

The aeronautical study also revealed that, even though the overall height of the proposed structure would exceed 1,000 feet above ground level, it would have no substantial adverse effect upon VFR aeronautical operations since the Agency's records reflect no substantial volume of VFR flight activity in proximity to the proposed structure site.

Therefore, I find that this proposed structure at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure will be obstruction marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards and provided further that the present Station KOAM-TV antenna structure 1,468 feet MSL (574 feet above ground) at latitude 37°13'15" north, longitude 94°42'22" west is dismantled within 90 days after broadcasting programming is commenced from the proposed antenna structure.

This finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

JAMES T. PYLE,
Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3164; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:45 a.m.]

[OE Docket No. 61-KC-11]

CONSTRUCTION OF TELEVISION ANTENNA TOWER

Notice of No Airspace Objection

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

Topeka Broadcasting Association, Inc., Topeka, Kansas, operator of television station WIBW-TV, proposes to erect a television structure near Maple Hill, Kansas, at a latitude 39°00'18.5" north, longitude 96°02'57.5" west. The overall height of the proposed structure would be 2,549 feet above mean sea level (1,255 feet above ground). They are

[OE Docket No. 61-NY-3]

CONSTRUCTION OF TELEVISION ANTENNA STRUCTURE

Notice of No Airspace Objection

presently operating from a tower located near Topeka, Kansas, at latitude 39°03'51" north, longitude 95°45'05" west with a height of 2,031 feet above mean sea level. The proponent agreed to dismantle the existing tower if the proposed tower is approved and constructed.

Objections were received in response to the circularization based upon conclusion of the objectors that the structure would be within the geographical limits of VOR Federal airway No. 4 and would thereby require an increase from 3,000 feet MSL to 3,500 feet MSL in the Instrument Flight Rules minimum en route altitude on the segment of Victor 4 between the Fort Riley, Kans., TVOR and the Topeka, Kans., VOR. This would result in the loss of the use of the "cardinal" altitude of 3,000 feet MSL for aircraft operating in accordance with Instrument Flight Rules along this airway segment. During the aeronautical study by the Agency, the Coast and Geodetic Survey was requested to compute the distance between the proposed tower and the centerline of Victor 4. This computation indicates that the tower would be located outside the geographical limits of Victor 4; therefore, the proposed tower would not affect the minimum en route altitude for the airway. The proponent's engineer stated that the tower site is 5.2 miles from the centerline of Victor 4.

The proposal was also opposed on the basis that aircraft departing Forbes Air Force Base and utilizing the "Hutchinson Departure Procedure" would be required to attain an altitude of 4,000 feet MSL prior to reaching the proposed tower. It was determined that this departure procedure is rarely used and that the use of radar procedures would obviate delay to aircraft operations as a result of the proposed tower.

All substantial objections were withdrawn and the Agency study revealed that the proposed structure would result in no substantial adverse effect upon air traffic operations.

Therefore, I find that this proposed structure at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein, would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that it will be obstruction marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards and provided that the existing antenna structure at a height of 2,031 feet above mean sea level located at latitude 39°03'51" north, longitude 95°45'05" west be dismantled within 90 days after scheduled programming is commenced from the new tower.

This finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

JAMES T. PYLE,
Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3165; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:45 a.m.]

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

The Hildreth Stations (WABI-TV), Bangor, Maine, proposes to erect a new television antenna structure to be located on Pickard Mountain, Dixmont, Maine, at latitude 44°42'15" north, longitude 69°04'42" west. The overall height of the structure would be 1,462 feet above mean sea level (241 feet above ground level). WABI-TV is operating from an existing tower located on Copeland Hill, Holden, Maine, at latitude 44°44'16" north, longitude 68°42'00" west with a height of 920 feet above mean sea level (120 feet above ground level).

In response to the circularization, an aeronautical objection was received from the State of Maine Aeronautics Commission based on the following factors:

1. The proposed structure would require an increase from 2,300 feet above mean sea level to 2,500 feet above mean sea level in the Instrument Flight Rules minimum en route altitude on the segment of VOR Federal airways No. 3 and 93 between the Augusta, Maine, VOR and the Bangor, Maine, VOR.

2. The proposed structure would not conform to the applicable criteria of the "Memorandum of Agreement between the Broadcast and Aviation Interests Regarding the Erection of Tall Structures" of the Joint Industry/Government Tall Structures Committee, dated July 12, 1957, as applied to Dow Air Force Base, Bangor, Maine.

The aeronautical study by the Agency determined that the effect of the proposed structure on the utilization of airspace would be as follows:

1. It would require an increase from 2,300 feet above mean sea level to 2,500 feet above mean sea level in the Instrument Flight Rules minimum en route altitude on the segment of VOR Federal airways Nos. 3 and 93 between the Augusta, Maine, VOR and the Bangor, Maine, VOR. However, this increase in minimum en route altitude would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations as the "cardinal" altitude of 3,000 feet above mean sea level would be retained.

2. It would require an increase from 2,300 feet above mean sea level to 2,500 feet above mean sea level in the Initial Approach Altitude for aircraft making Standard Instrument approaches to the Dow Air Force Base, Bangor, Maine, on Victor airways Nos. 3 and 93 from the southwest, and to the State Airport, Augusta, Maine, on Victor airways Nos. 3 and 93 from the northeast.

The Agency study disclosed that such an increase in Initial Approach altitudes for these airports would have no substantial adverse effect upon Instrument Flight Rules operations.

3. It would increase Standard Instrument approach quadrantal Minimum

Safe Altitude from 2,200 feet MSL to 2,500 feet MSL within 25 nautical miles in the southwest quadrants for the following approaches:

(a) USAF, AL-39-TACAN, Dow Air Force Base.

(b) USAF, AL-39-ADF-1, Dow Air Force Base.

(c) USAF, AL-39-VOR, Dow Air Force Base.

Present Minimum Safe Altitude in other quadrants for these approaches is also 2,500 feet MSL. The study disclosed that this increase in minimum safe altitudes would have no substantial adverse effect upon Instrument Flight Rules operations.

4. It would be located approximately 14.6 statute miles southwest of the Dow Air Force Base and would penetrate the outer conical surface of the "Joint Industry/Government Tall Structures Committee Final Report" criteria for this airport by approximately 273 feet and in addition, it would penetrate the outer conical surface of the Department of the Air Force Manual No. 86-8 "Airfield and Airspace Criteria," dated July 14, 1960, by approximately 489 feet. These factors are not disqualifying, but indicate a requirement for aeronautical study. In this instance the study revealed that there would be no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes. The Air Force did not object to the structure's exceeding their "Airfield and Airspace Criteria." The terrain at the antenna site location exceeds this criteria by approximately 248 feet.

5. It would not have a substantial adverse effect upon Visual Flight Rules air traffic operations.

Therefore, I find that this proposed structure at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein, would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure will be marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards, and provided further that the proponent's existing antenna tower structure at latitude 44°44'16" north, longitude 68°42'00" west be dismantled within 90 days after scheduled programming is commenced from the new antenna structure.

This finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

JAMES T. PYLE,
Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3166; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961; 8:46 a.m.]

[OE Docket No. 61-KC-19]

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF RADIO ANTENNA STRUCTURE

Notice of No Airspace Objection

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the

aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

The Montana-Dakota Utilities Company, Minneapolis, Minnesota, proposes to construct a radio antenna structure near Dickinson, North Dakota, at latitude 46°50'56" north, longitude 102°-47'18" west. The overall height of the antenna structure would be 2,712 feet above mean sea level (194 feet above ground).

No substantial objections were received as a result of the circularization. The aeronautical study by the Agency disclosed that the proposed structure would exceed the criteria of the Agency's Technical Standard TSO-N18. This factor is not in itself disqualifying, but requires an aeronautical study. In this instance, however, the study revealed that there would be no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes.

Therefore, I find that this proposed structure at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure be obstruction marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards.

This finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3167; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

[OE Docket No. 61-KC-17]

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF RADIO TOWER

Notice of No Airspace Objection

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

Springfield Television, Inc., Station KYTV, Springfield, Missouri, proposes to construct a television antenna structure near Fordland, Missouri, at latitude 37°10'11" north, longitude 92°56'30" west. The overall height of the structure would be 3,174 feet above mean sea level (1,609 feet above ground). The present station KYTV antenna structure at a height of 1,835 feet above mean sea level (550 feet above ground) is located at latitude 37°10'59" north, longitude 93°18'11" west. Upon approval of the proposed structure, the sponsor agrees to reduce the present antenna structure to an overall height not exceeding 1,575 feet MSL (290 feet above ground).

As initially circularized, the proposal was for an overall height of 3,170 feet

above mean sea level (1,600 feet above ground) at latitude 37°09'31" north, longitude 92°57'30" west. However, subsequent to the circularization, the sponsor corrected the site location for the proposed structure to latitude 37°10'11" north, longitude 92°56'30" west and the overall height to 3,174 feet MSL (1,609 feet above ground).

Aeronautical objections were stated in response to the circularization because the proposed antenna structure would exceed Agency obstruction criteria; the structure's overall height would exceed 1,000 feet above ground; and the structure would be objectionable from a general aviation standpoint.

The aeronautical study by the Agency disclosed that the proposed structure would be located more than ten miles from the centerline of all Federal airways and off-airway routes, and more than five miles from all terminal transition routes. Furthermore, the structure would be located outside of control area; would not penetrate any surfaces of the Agency or the "Joint Industry/Government Tall Structures Committee" criteria; and would have no adverse effect upon IFR minimum en route altitudes or procedures. The study further showed that, even though the top of the structure would extend more than 1,000 feet above ground, it would have no substantial adverse effect upon Visual Flight Rules aeronautical operations. The Agency's records reflect no substantial volume of VFR flight activity in proximity to the proposed structure site.

Therefore, I find that this proposed structure at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure will be obstruction marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards, and provided further that the present Station KYTV antenna structure now extending to a height of 1,835 feet above mean sea level (550 feet above ground) at latitude 37°10'59" north, longitude 93°18'11" west, will be reduced to an overall height not to exceed 1,575 feet above mean sea level (290 feet above ground) within 90 days after broadcast programming is commenced from the proposed structure.

This finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

JAMES T. PYLE,
Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3168; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

[OE Docket No. 61-LA-5]

CONSTRUCTION OF AIRPORT TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER

Notice of No Airspace Objection

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the

aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

The Monterey Peninsula Airport District, Monterey, California, proposes to erect an airport traffic control tower on the Monterey Peninsula Airport at latitude 36°35'15" north, longitude 121°-51'08" west. The overall height of the structure would be 281 feet above mean sea level (66 feet above ground).

No aeronautical objections were received in response to the circularization. The aeronautical study by the Agency disclosed that the proposed structure, to be located on the Monterey Peninsula Airport approximately 850 feet southwest of runway 10-28 and 850 feet southeast of runway 6-24, would penetrate the transitional surface criteria of FAA Technical Standard Order TSO-N18 by approximately 61 feet as applied to runway 10-28 and by approximately 35 feet as applied to runway 6-24. The 66-foot above ground height of the structure has been determined to be the minimum height at which unobstructed view of all of the airport runways and taxiways would be possible from the tower. The study revealed that the structure would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes.

Therefore, I find that the proposed structure, at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein, would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure be lighted in accordance with applicable standards.

This finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3187; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

[OE Docket No. 61-FW-21]

CONSTRUCTION OF RADIO ANTENNA STRUCTURE

Notice of No Airspace Objection

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

WIDU Broadcasting, Inc., Fayetteville, North Carolina, proposes to erect a radio antenna structure near Asheboro, North Carolina, at latitude 35°44'05" north, longitude 79°48'08" west. The overall height of the structure would be 926 feet above mean sea level (186 feet above ground).

No substantial aeronautical objections were made in response to the circularization. The aeronautical study revealed

that the structure would exceed criteria of the Agency and of the "Joint Industry/Government Tall Structures Committee" as applied to the Hinshaw Airport, Asheboro, North Carolina. The site is approximately 1.2 statute miles southeast of the Hinshaw Airport. The proposed structure would: penetrate the TSO-N18 conical surface criteria by approximately 10 feet and the JIGTSC horizontal surface criteria by approximately 76 feet.

These factors are not in themselves disqualifying, but indicate a requirement for aeronautical study. The terrain in the vicinity of the proposed structure site exceeds the above criteria. The structure would be located in a valley and would be shielded by terrain directly southeast of the proposed site. The Agency study disclosed that the proposed structure would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes.

Therefore, I find that this proposed structure at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein, would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure be obstruction marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards.

This finding will be effective upon the date of publication in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3188; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

[OE Docket No. 61-FW-15]

CONSTRUCTION OF RADIO ANTENNA STRUCTURE

Notice of No Airspace Objection

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

The El Paso Natural Gas Company, El Paso, Texas, proposes to erect a radio antenna structure near Iraan, Texas, at latitude 30°53'20" north, longitude 101°55'15" west. The overall height of the structure would be 3,219 feet above mean sea level (280 feet above ground).

No aeronautical objection was received as a result of the circularization.

The aeronautical study by the Agency disclosed that the proposed structure would be located approximately 1.9 statute miles southwest of the approximate center of the Iraan Municipal Airport and would penetrate the inner conical surface of the "Joint Industry/Government Tall Structures Committee"

criteria as applied to that airport by approximately 816 feet. This factor is not in itself disqualifying, but indicates a requirement for aeronautical study. The terrain at the proposed antenna site would exceed this criteria by approximately 536 feet. The structure would not be located in the approach areas of the Iraan Municipal Airport. In this instance, the study revealed that the proposed structure would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes.

Therefore, I find that the proposed structure, at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein, would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure be obstruction marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards.

This finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3189; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

[OE Docket No. 61-HO-1]

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF RADIO ANTENNA TOWER

Notice of No Airspace Objection

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

50th State Electronics, Inc., Honolulu, Hawaii, proposes to erect a radio antenna structure near Lihue, Kauai, Hawaii, at latitude 21°58'50.8" north, longitude 159°23'18.6" west. The overall height of the structure would be 610 feet above mean sea level (300 feet above ground).

No aeronautical objections were received in response to the circularization. The aeronautical study by the Agency disclosed that the proposed structure would penetrate the horizontal surface of the "Joint Industry/Government Tall Structures Committee" criteria as applied to the Lihue Airport by 312 feet. This factor is not in itself disqualifying, but indicates a requirement for an aeronautical study. In this instance, the study disclosed that the site is located on terrain that rises rapidly away from the airport, and therefore, the proposed structure would have no adverse effect upon airport traffic patterns or instrument approach procedures.

Therefore, I find that this proposed structure at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein would have no adverse effect upon aeronautical

operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure will be obstruction marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards.

This finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3190; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

[OE Docket No. 61-FW-16]

CONSTRUCTION OF TV ANTENNA TOWER

Notice of No Airspace Objection

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

The University of Texas, Austin, Texas, proposes to erect a television antenna structure near San Marcos, Texas, at latitude 29°49'40" north, longitude 98°07'03" west. The overall height of the structure would be 2,047 feet above mean sea level (999 feet above ground).

No aeronautical objections were received as a result of the circularization. The aeronautical study by the Agency disclosed that the proposed structure would require an increase from 2,500 feet above mean sea level to 3,000 feet above mean sea level in the Instrument Flight Rules minimum en route altitude on the segment of VOR Federal airway No. 17 east alternate between the San Antonio, Texas, VOR and the Elroy Intersection. However, the study disclosed that this increase in minimum en route altitude would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations as the primarily important "cardinal" altitude of 3,000 feet above mean sea level would be retained.

Therefore, I find that this proposed structure at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein, would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure be obstruction marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards.

This finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the **FEDERAL REGISTER**.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Bureau of
Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3191; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

[OE Docket No. 61-FW-13]

**PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF
RADIO TOWER****Notice of No Airspace Objection**

The Federal Aviation Agency has circularized the following proposal to the aviation industry for comment and has conducted an aeronautical study to determine its effect upon the utilization of airspace:

Radio Summerville, Inc., Summerville, South Carolina, proposes to construct a radio antenna structure near Summerville, South Carolina, at latitude 33°-01'56" north, longitude 80°11'58" west. The overall height of the structure would be 326 feet above mean sea level (256 feet above ground).

Aeronautical objections were received, as a result of the circularization, on the basis that the proposed structure would require an increase in altitude from 1,200 MSL to 1,300 MSL in the following IFR flight operations at Charleston, South Carolina, AFB/Municipal Airport:

1. Procedure turn altitude of the ADF, ILS and VOR Standard Instrument Approaches.

2. Minimum holding pattern altitude at the Charleston Outer Marker.

3. Transition altitude from the Charleston VOR and low frequency radio range to the Charleston Outer Marker.

The aeronautical study by the Agency confirmed the above effects of the proposed structure on the utilization of airspace; however, the study disclosed that these increases in flight operations altitudes from 1,200 MSL to 1,300 MSL would result in no substantial adverse effect.

Therefore, I find that this proposed structure at the location and mean sea level elevation specified herein would have no substantial adverse effect upon aeronautical operations, procedures or minimum flight altitudes and conclude that no objection thereto from an airspace utilization standpoint be interposed by the Agency, provided that the structure will be obstruction marked and lighted in accordance with applicable rules and standards.

The finding will be effective upon the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 4, 1961.

JAMES T. PYLE,
Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3169; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:46 a.m.]

Aurora Corporation of Illinois, Bell Intercontinental Corporation, File No. 812-1375.

Notice is hereby given that The Equity Corporation ("Equity"), New York, New York, a registered closed-end non-diversified management investment company, Sterling Precision Corporation ("Sterling"), Aurora Corporation of Illinois ("Aurora"), and Bell Intercontinental Corporation ("Bell"), formerly Bell Aircraft Corporation, have filed a joint application pursuant to section 17(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") for an order exempting from the provisions of section 17(a) of the Act the amendment of the terms and partial prepayment of a note of Aurora held by Sterling, the guarantee by Bell of a bank loan to Aurora, and the transfer of the assets of Bell's wholly-owned subsidiary, The W. J. Schoenberger Company ("Schoenberger"), to Aurora in exchange for common stock of Aurora.

Equity owns 12.43 percent of the outstanding voting securities of Sterling, and convertible securities of Sterling which, if the conversion rights were exercised, would make Equity the owner of a total of 29.80 percent of Sterling's outstanding voting securities. Sterling is the holder of a note of Aurora, described more fully hereinafter, under the terms of which Sterling has the right to designate three of the seven members of the board of directors of Aurora, and one additional member designated by Aurora must be acceptable to Sterling. None of the voting securities of Aurora are owned by Sterling. Applicants stipulate that for purposes of the application Equity may be considered to be a person controlling Sterling within the meaning of the Act because of its ownership of securities of Sterling, and Sterling may be considered to be a person controlling Aurora within the meaning of the Act because of the terms of the Aurora note. Equity also controls Bell through the ownership of approximately 50 percent of its outstanding common (voting) stock. Bell owns all of the outstanding stock of Schoenberger.

Aurora, an Illinois corporation, manufactures and sells to piano manufacturers, through its Wood and Brooks Division, piano and organ keys and keyboards and piano actions. It also owns all of the outstanding stock of Chicago, Aurora and Elgin Railroad Corporation, an interurban electric railroad line which has suspended operations. Following the suspension of such operations, the assets and business of the Wood and Brooks Division were acquired by Aurora as a means of conducting another business. The acquisition was made as of September 30, 1959, from Sterling in exchange for a note (the "Aurora Note") of Aurora, due April 1, 1967, evidencing Aurora's obligation to pay to Sterling a minimum of \$3,000,000, plus interest of 5 percent per annum, and a maximum of \$5,500,000 including interest. The Aurora Note agreement provides that equal semiannual fixed payments of \$242,299 (in addition to an initial payment of \$80,999) are to be made, which are sufficient to pay the \$3,000,000 minimum price and interest thereon, over the 7½-year period. Additional pay-

ments are to be made semiannually over such period in amounts sufficient to bring the total of all payments (both fixed and additional) then and theretofore made to an amount equal to 80 percent of the aggregate net income of the Wood and Brooks Division earned from the date of its acquisition by Aurora to the date of payment. The minimum fixed portion of the Aurora Note in the amount of \$3,000,000 has been reduced to approximately \$2,377,000 as a result of repayments made by Aurora.

The Aurora Note agreement contains various limitations and restrictions, including prohibitions against the incurrence of any debt except short-term bank borrowings made in the ordinary course of business, the sale of any stock or options on stock, and the acceptance of any contribution to capital. The agreement permits stock to be issued by Aurora only to prepay the Aurora Note, in whole but not in part, but only at a premium of 16 percent of the amount of the obligations and valuing common stock delivered by Aurora in prepayment at \$6 per share irrespective of its market price at the time of prepayment.

The electric interurban railway line owned by Aurora's subsidiary, which formerly ran between the Chicago loop and the western suburbs of Chicago, Illinois, had a history of unprofitable operation, until suspension of passenger service in 1957 and freight service in 1959. Operations other than the maintenance of properties are not now being conducted. The management of Aurora, while indicating the difficulty of a determination of the amount to be realized upon disposition of the railway property, has estimated a net realization of about \$1,250,000 from disposition of the property, which has a net book value of approximately \$8,200,000. The losses which may be sustained upon disposition of the railway property, plus railway operating losses of \$1,714,250 carried forward from prior years, will, in the opinion of Counsel for Aurora, be available as offsets to the consolidated taxable net income of Aurora in present and future periods.

Aurora has owned the Wood and Brooks Division only since September 30, 1959. However, based on historical income of that Division and the current level of expenses and interest charges, net income of Aurora, before Federal income taxes, would have averaged approximately \$391,000 annually for the 6 years ended May 31, 1960, and would have approximated \$656,000 for the 12 months ended that date. Under the present capital structure, 80 percent of the net income of the Wood and Brooks Division, the only present source of Aurora's income, would be required to be devoted until April 1, 1967, or such earlier time as the maximum obligation under the Aurora Note shall have been paid, to repayment of the note.

In addition to the Aurora Note, Aurora has outstanding 479,658 shares of common stock which are held by approximately 1,300 stockholders. The stock is traded in the over-the-counter market. The month-end bid and asked quotations for the common stock since January 1960 have ranged from 5¼ to 7¼.

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION**

[File No. 812-1375]

EQUITY CORP. ET AL.**Notice of Filing of Application for
Order Exempting Transactions Be-
tween Affiliates**

MARCH 31, 1961.

In the matter of the Equity Corpora-
tion, Sterling Precision Corporation,

Schoenberger, a Delaware corporation, manufactures and sells to gas appliance manufacturers valves, parts and accessories for domestic and commercial gas appliances used for cooking, central heating and space heating purposes. Annual net income of Schoenberger for the six years 1955 to 1960 averaged approximately \$618,000 before Federal income taxes and \$301,000 after income taxes. Net income after income taxes was \$303,558 in the year 1959 and \$185,600 in 1960 when industry sales of gas appliances declined with reduced building activity. Schoenberger's outstanding securities consist of common stock, all of which is owned by Bell.

The application proposes that Sterling and Aurora amend the Aurora Note agreement to divide Aurora's debt to Sterling into two separate instruments, one of which, the "Fixed Payment Note," will evidence Aurora's fixed obligation to pay amounts to Sterling having a current unpaid balance of approximately \$2,377,000, and the other of which, the "Contingent Payment Note," will represent Aurora's contingent obligation to pay funds to Sterling out of earnings of the Wood and Brooks Division. No change will be made in the amount of the presently existing fixed or contingent obligations. The Aurora Note agreement will also be revised to permit the refinancing of the Fixed Payment Note and the acquisition by Aurora of the assets of Schoenberger in exchange for stock of Aurora. This revision will remove the restrictive covenants which prohibit the incurring of debt and the issuance of stock by Aurora and would remove the obligation of Aurora to elect directors designated by Sterling. The right of Aurora to pay the Aurora Note in stock will also be eliminated. The Contingent Payment Note will be subordinated to a bank loan to be incurred by Aurora to repay the Fixed Payment Note.

In consideration for Sterling's agreement to the amendment of the terms of the Aurora Note, Aurora will satisfy the Fixed Payment Note in cash, so that the only obligation to Sterling remaining outstanding will be the Contingent Payment Note. The funds for this purpose will be borrowed from a bank on an unsecured note in the amount of \$2,400,000, bearing interest at the rate of 5½ percent per annum, and payable \$100,000 semi-annually in the first 12 months from the date of the note, and the balance in 18 months after the date thereof. Repayment of the note will be guaranteed by Bell, which will also receive an option from the bank to purchase the note at the principal amount plus accrued interest.

Simultaneously with the consummation of the transactions described above, all of the assets of Schoenberger will be transferred to Aurora in exchange for 482,713 shares of common stock of Aurora and the assumption by Aurora of all the liabilities of Schoenberger. Upon the consummation of the transfer, Schoenberger will be dissolved, resulting in the ownership by Bell of approximately 50.16 percent of the then outstanding 962,371 shares of common stock of Aurora.

The application states that the proposed transactions will be beneficial to all the applicants by modifying the restrictive terms of Aurora's indebtedness to Sterling, which presently prevent the growth and progress of Aurora, and enabling Aurora to refinance the fixed portion of its debt and to acquire additional income producing assets in exchange for its common stock. It is stated that this will benefit all stockholders of Aurora by making earnings available for dividends and reinvestment and materially improving the financial condition of Aurora by increasing the company's equity and the assets and earnings support for its debt, the amount of which will not be increased. The application also states that the proposal will enable Sterling to receive prepayment of the fixed portion of the Aurora Note and to retain its existing right to contingent payments, and that Bell will guarantee repayment of the bank loan to place Aurora in a position to issue its stock in exchange for the assets of Schoenberger.

The valuation of the common stock equity of Schoenberger for purposes of determining the basis of exchange for shares of Aurora was developed by an independent study made by Ebasco Services Incorporated, a management consulting firm. Ebasco concluded on the basis of its study of Schoenberger and comparison with gas range and appliance manufacturers that the value of the equity of Schoenberger is \$3,300,000, equivalent to 11 times Ebasco's estimate of earning power of \$300,000, and a yield basis of 5.46 percent on estimated dividends of \$180,000. On the basis of this valuation, the 482,713 shares of common stock to be issued in exchange are valued at approximately \$6.84 per share which is within the range of the average month-end bid and asked prices of the Aurora stock since January 1960.

Section 17(a) of the Act prohibits an affiliated person of a registered investment company, or an affiliated person of such a person, from selling to or purchasing from such registered company or any company controlled by such registered company, any security or other property, subject to certain exceptions, unless the Commission upon application pursuant to section 17(b) grants an exemption from the provisions of section 17(a), after finding that the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned, that the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned, as recited in its registration statement and reports filed under the Act, and is consistent with the general purposes of the Act.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than April 13, 1961, submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication

should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. At any time after said date, as provided by Rule O-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein may be issued by the Commission upon the basis of the showing contained in said application, unless an order for hearing upon said application shall be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3193; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:48 a.m.]

[File No. 70-3945]

SOUTHERN ELECTRIC GENERATING CO. ET AL.

Notice of Filing of Declaration Regarding Purchase by Subsidiary Company From Holding Companies of Shares of Subsidiary's Capital Stock

MARCH 31, 1961.

In the matter of Southern Electric Generating Company, Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, File No. 70-3945.

Notice is hereby given that Alabama Power Company ("Alabama") of Birmingham, Alabama, and Georgia Power Company ("Georgia") of Atlanta, Georgia, public-utility companies and subsidiary companies of The Southern Company, a registered holding company, and Southern Electric Generating Company ("Segco") of Birmingham, Alabama, a public-utility company and a subsidiary company of Alabama and of Georgia, have filed a joint declaration, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), regarding a proposal by Segco to purchase, from time to time, shares of its capital stock from Alabama and Georgia. The joint declaration designates sections 6(a)(2), 7, 12(c), 12(d) and 12(f) of the Act and Rules 42 and 43 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions.

All interested persons are referred to the joint declaration on file at the office of the Commission for a statement of the proposed transactions and related facts which are summarized as follows:

Segco proposes to purchase, from time to time, from Alabama and Georgia and they propose to sell to Segco, shares of the latter's capital stock. All such purchases of shares of its capital stock are to be charged by Segco to the capital, or paid-in, surplus to be created by a reduction in the par value of its outstanding shares of capital stock. Segco also requests the approval of the Commission, as permitted by the dividend covenant in the mortgage indenture of Segco, dated June 1, 1959, to the First National City Bank of New York, as trustee, securing the first mortgage bonds of Segco, to modify the dividend covenant therein which provides, among other things, that (a) cash dividends may not be paid

on the capital stock of Segco, or distributions made, or capital stock purchased, in an aggregate amount which exceeds Segco's earned surplus accumulated after December 31, 1957, plus such additional amounts as may be approved by this Commission; and (b) the aggregate of the capital stock and capital, or paid-in, surplus shall not become less than (i) 50 percent of the outstanding construction fund bonds, as defined, and (ii) 66 2/3 percent of the outstanding bonds other than construction fund bonds. The proposed modification would permit Segco to purchase any of its shares of capital stock if, after giving effect to any such acquisition, the aggregate of the capital, or paid-in, surplus accounts of Segco, shall not be less than the sum of (i) 50 percent of the total of construction fund bonds, as defined, then outstanding under the mortgage, and (ii) 66 2/3 percent of the total amount of bonds then outstanding under the mortgage other than construction fund bonds.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than April 19, 1961, request in writing that a hearing be held in respect of such matters, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of law or fact which he desires to controvert, or he may request that he be notified should the Commission order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. At any time after such date the Commission may permit the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, to become effective in the manner provided by Rule 24 promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules and regulations under the Act, as provided by Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof, or take such other action as it deems appropriate; and

It is ordered, That a copy of this notice shall be published by declarant on or prior to April 5, 1961, in a newspaper of general circulation in the cities of Chicago, Illinois; Dallas, Texas; New York, New York; and San Francisco, California.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3194; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:49 a.m.]

[File No. 1-4252]

UNITED INDUSTRIAL CORP.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

APRIL 5, 1961.

The Common Stock, \$1 par value of United Industrial Corporation (Delaware) being listed and registered on the New York Stock Exchange and the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange, and admitted to unlisted trading privileges on the Detroit Stock Exchange; and

The Series A Convertible Preferred Stock \$8.50 par value of United Industrial Corporation (Delaware) being listed and registered on the New York Stock

Exchange and the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange; and

The Warrants to Purchase Common Stock of United Industrial Corporation (Delaware) being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange and the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in each such security on such Exchanges and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspensions are necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c) (2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of any of such securities, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19 (a) (4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said securities on the American Stock Exchange, the New York Stock Exchange, the Detroit Stock Exchange and the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, April 6, 1961, to April 15, 1961, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3195; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:49 a.m.]

[File No. 812-1384]

VORNADO, INC., AND INVESTORS DIVERSIFIED SERVICES, INC.

Notice and Order for Hearing on Application for Order Exempting Transactions Between Affiliates

INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940

APRIL 3, 1961.

Notice is hereby given that Vornado, Inc. ("Applicant"), of Garfield, New Jersey, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Kansas, has filed an application pursuant to section 17(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"), requesting an order of exemption from the provisions of section 17(a) of the Act so as to permit Applicant to sell, by exchange, certain securities to, and purchase certain securities from, Investors Diversified Services, Inc. ("IDS"), of Minneapolis, Minnesota, a registered face-amount certificate company and an affiliated person of Applicant.

Applicant is engaged in the retail merchandising of consumer items and in the production of various electrical appliances. Applicant has outstanding

1,216,372 shares of its common stock of which 160,000 shares (13.2%) are owned by IDS.

Pursuant to an agreement, dated December 20, 1960, between Applicant and IDS, it is proposed that Applicant exchange for the 160,000 shares of its stock now held by IDS, its \$2,340,000 principal amount 3.10 percent Junior Subordinated Notes due May 1, 1976, and Warrants to purchase, on or before April 27, 1967, 42,000 shares of Applicant's common stock at a price of \$16.00 per share. The exchange is stated to be based on a valuation of \$10.00 per share for Applicant's common stock or an aggregate price of \$1,600,000 which is also stated to be the value of the notes.

The application discloses that IDS acquired 208,434 shares of Applicant in exchange for certain shares of preferred stock of Applicant and a \$2,000,000 cash investment made by IDS, in connection with a merger of Applicant and another company. In connection with such merger and investment, Bache & Co. ("Bache") agreed, among other things, to purchase part or all of the 208,434 shares at a price of \$10.50 per share when "put" by IDS prior to December 30, 1960. The "put" price was reduced to \$10.00 per share, and the number of shares deliverable thereunder was increased upon declaration and payment of a 5 percent stock dividend by Applicant. Pursuant to such agreement IDS, in December 1960, sold to Bache 58,885 shares at \$10.00 per share, reserving the right and agreeing to repurchase the same at \$10.25 per share in the event the exchange of the remaining shares as herein proposed is consummated prior to April 28, 1961.

It is stated that at the time the negotiations between Applicant and IDS were being conducted in November and December 1960, the market price of Applicant's stock ranged from a low of 9 1/8 to a high of 13 1/8. The closing market price of Applicant's shares on March 29, 1961, was \$27 1/2 per share.

The proposed transaction is stated to be in the best interest of IDS because it reduces the large common stock position of IDS, on which no dividends have been paid and replaces it with an interest bearing debt security more consistent with IDS' investment portfolio and policies. Applicant states that the 160,000 shares of its stock are to be reacquired for the purpose of their resuance to certain corporations controlled by one Murray J. Siegel, a former director of Applicant, as part of the purchase price to be paid by Applicant for certain fixtures and soft goods inventory.

IDS owns 13.2 percent of the outstanding voting securities of Applicant, and for this reason the two companies are affiliated persons of each other as defined in section 2(a) (3) of the Act. Section 17 (a) of the Act prohibits, among other things, the sale of securities to, or the purchase of securities from, a registered investment company by an affiliated person of such registered investment company. Section 17(b) of the Act provides that the Commission shall issue an order of exemption upon application, if evidence establishes that the terms of the

proposed transactions, including the consideration to be paid or received are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned, and that the proposed transactions are consistent with the policy of the registered investment company concerned and consistent with the general purposes of the Act.

It appearing to the Commission that it is appropriate in the public interest and in the interest of investors that a hearing be held with respect to the application pursuant to section 17(b):

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 40(a) of the Act, that a hearing on the aforesaid application under the applicable provisions of the Act and of the rules of the Commission thereunder be held on the 13th day of April 1961, at 10:00 a.m., in the offices of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 425 Second Street NW., Washington 25, D.C. At such time the Hearing Room Clerk will advise as to the room in which such hearing will be held. Any person desiring to be heard or otherwise wishing to participate in the proceedings is directed to file with the Secretary of the Commission his application as provided by Rule 9(a) of the Commission's rules of practice, on or before the date provided in that Rule setting forth any issues of law or fact which he desires to controvert or any additional issues which he deems raised by this notice and order or by such application.

It is further ordered, That Robert N. Hislop, or any officer or officers of the Commission, designated by it for that purpose, shall preside at said hearing. The officer so designated is hereby authorized to exercise all the powers granted to the Commission under sections 41 and 42(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and to a hearing officer under the Commission's rules of practice.

The Division of Corporate Regulation having advised the Commission that it has made a preliminary examination of the application, and that upon the basis thereof the following matters and questions are presented for consideration, without prejudice to its specifying additional matters and questions upon further examination:

(1) Whether the consideration for the proposed repurchase by Applicant of its common stock and sale to IDS of its notes and warrants is reasonable and fair in light of the value of such securities.

(2) Whether in light of all the circumstances, including related transactions entered into by Applicant or other persons directly or indirectly interested in the proposed transactions, the proposed transactions do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned.

(3) Whether, generally, the proposed transactions meet the standards of section 17(b) of the Act.

It is further ordered, That at the aforesaid hearing attention be given to the foregoing matters and questions.

It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall give notice of the aforesaid hearing by mailing a copy of this notice and order by regis-

tered mail to Vornado and IDS and that notice to all other persons be given by publication of this notice and order in the FEDERAL REGISTER and that a general release of this Commission in respect of this notice and order be distributed to the press and mailed to the mailing list for releases.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBois,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3196; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[FCC 61-444¹]

STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION, DELEGATIONS OF AUTHORITY AND OTHER INFORMATION

Creation of a Data Processing Division

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 29th day of March 1961;

The Commission having under consideration the above-captioned matter; and

It appearing that a study of the feasibility of processing segments of data in the Commission by means of an electronic computer has been completed and has shown the possibilities for computer applications in some areas of operations in the Commission, and, that detailed analysis of data processing systems in the Commission is under way and will continue; and

It further appearing that efficient management requires the establishment of a Data Processing Division in the Office of Administration to handle the functions of study and administration of computer operations; and

It further appearing that the Data Processing Group of the Organization and Methods Division and the Tabulating Branch of the Administrative Services Division should be transferred on a temporary basis to the Data Processing Division;

It is ordered, That a Data Processing Division be established on a temporary basis in the Office of Administration; and

It is further ordered, That the functions and personnel of the Data Processing Group of the Organization and Methods Division and the Tabulating Branch of the Administrative Services Division be transferred temporarily to the Data Processing Division; and

It is further ordered, That these actions become effective March 29, 1961.

Released: April 6, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL]

BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3201; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

¹ Supersedes F.R. Doc. 61-3060, published April 7, 1961.

[Docket No. 13989, 13990; FCC 61M-593]

BAR NONE, INC., AND INDEPENDENT BROADCASTING CORP.

Order for Prehearing Conference

In re applications of Bar None, Inc., Dishman, Washington, Docket No. 13989, File No. BP-12909; Independent Broadcasting Corporation, Spokane, Washington, Docket No. 13990, File No. BP-13243; for construction permits.

A prehearing conference in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on Friday, April 14, 1961, beginning at 10:00 a.m. in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C. This conference is called pursuant to the provisions of § 1.111 of the Commission's rules and the matters to be considered are those specified in that section of the rules.

It is so ordered, This the 4th day of April 1961.

Released: April 5, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL]

BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3202; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13977-13979; FCC 61M-592]

BIG HORN COUNTY MUSICASTERS ET AL.

Order Continuing Hearing Conference

In re applications of D. Gene Williams and Delbert Bertholf d/b as Big Horn County Musicasters, Hardin, Montana, Docket No. 13977, File No. BP-13399; Elizabeth H. Brown, Kenneth Nybo, Charles B. Sande, Ben N. Forbes, Weymouth D. Symmes, A. L. La Bar, Rockwood Brown, Jr., Neal H. Brown, Barbara B. Bixby, and Keith Brown, a co-partnership d/b as Billings Broadcasting Co. (KBMY), Billings, Montana, Docket No. 13978, File No. BP-13470; KXLO Broadcast, Inc. (KXLO), Lewistown, Montana, Docket No. 13979, File No. BP-14144; for construction permits.

On the Examiner's own motion and with the consent of the applicants herein: *It is ordered,* This 4th day of April 1961, that the prehearing conference presently scheduled for April 6, 1961, in the above-entitled proceeding is hereby rescheduled for 2:00 p.m., April 11, 1961, in the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C.

Released: April 5, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL]

BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3203; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 8261 etc.; FCC 61M-598]

CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO. (WHOW) ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Cornbelt Broadcasting Company (WHOW), Clinton, Il-

Illinois, Docket No. 8261, File No. BMP-2562; Angelo Joseph Salvi, tr/as Loves Park, Broadcasting Company, Loves Park, Illinois, Docket No. 14020, File No. BP-13755; Lake Zurich Broadcasting Company, Lake Zurich, Illinois, Docket No. 14021, File No. BP-13825; John P. Rohrs, tr/as W.W.G.E. Broadcasting Co., Wheaton, Illinois, Docket No. 14022, File No. BP-13852; Ray F. Knochel, Harold B. Rothrock, Dean G. Hill, and John W. Evans, d/b as Radio Joliet, Joliet, Illinois, Docket No. 14023, File No. BP-13858; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 5th day of April 1961, that Annie Neal Huntting will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on June 5, 1961, in Washington, D.C.

Released: April 6, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3204; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 8261, etc.; FCC 61-425]

CORNBELT BROADCASTING CO. (WHOW) ET AL.

Order Designating Applications for Consolidated Hearing on Stated Issues

In re applications of Cornbelt Broadcasting Company (WHOW), Clinton, Illinois, has: 1520 kc, 1 kw, D, requests: 1520 kc, 5 kw, 1 kw (CH), Day, Docket No. 8261, File No. BMP-2562; Angelo Joseph Salvi tr/as Loves Park Broadcasting Company, Loves Park, Illinois, requests: 1520 kc, 500 w, Day, Docket No. 14020, File No. BP-13755; Lake Zurich Broadcasting Company, Lake Zurich, Illinois, requests: 1520 kc, 1 kw, Day, Docket No. 14021, File No. BP-13825; John P. Rohrs tr/as WWGE Broadcasting Co., Wheaton, Illinois, requests: 1520 kc, 1 kw, DA, Day, Docket No. 14022, File No. BP-13852; Ray F. Knochel, Harold B. Rothrock, Dean G. Hill and John W. Evans d/b as Radio Joliet, Joliet, Illinois, requests: 1510 kc, 500 w, Day, Docket No. 14023, File No. BP-13858; for construction permits.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 29th day of March 1961;

The Commission having under consideration the above-captioned and described applications;

It appearing that, except as indicated by the issues specified below, each applicant herein is legally, technically, financially, and otherwise qualified to construct and operate its instant proposal; and

It further appearing that, the Commission in Appendices 6, 8, 19, 21, and 22 of a prehearing letter dated September 15, 1960, and incorporated herein by reference, notified the instant applicants, and any other known parties in interest, of the grounds and reasons for

the Commission's inability to make a finding that a grant of any one of the applications would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity; and that a copy of the aforementioned letter is available for public inspection at the Commission's offices; and

It further appearing that the instant applicants filed timely replies to the aforementioned letter, which replies have not, however, entirely eliminated the grounds and reasons precluding a grant of the said applications and requiring an evidentiary hearing on the particular issues hereinafter specified; and

It further appearing that the daytime skywave radiation study submitted by Lake Zurich Broadcasting Company, indicated that the proposed radiation towards Class I-B Stations WKBW and KOMA is not in excess of that permitted by § 3.187 of the Commission rules, but it appears that the radiation toward these stations would exceed the limits set by § 3.187; and

It further appearing that after consideration of the foregoing, and the applicants' replies, the Commission is still unable to make the statutory finding that a grant of the applications would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity; and is of the opinion that the applications must be designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding on the issues specified below;

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the instant applications are designated for hearing in a consolidated proceeding, at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent Order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which would receive primary service from the proposals of Loves Park Broadcasting Company, Lake Zurich Broadcasting Company, WWGE Broadcasting Co., and Radio Joliet, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

2. To determine the areas and populations which may be expected to gain or lose primary service from the proposed operation of Station WHOW and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

3. To determine the nature and extent of the interference, if any, that each of the instant proposals would cause to and receive from each other and the interference that each of the instant proposals would receive from all other existing standard broadcast stations, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to the areas and populations affected by interference from any of the instant proposals.

4. To determine whether the following proposals would cause objectionable interference to the existing stations or the proposal of WOPA indicated below, or any other existing standard broadcast stations, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

Proposal and Existing Stations

BP-13825, Lake Zurich Broadcasting Company—KOMA, Oklahoma City, Okla.; WKBW, Buffalo, N.Y.; WHOW, Clinton, Ill.; WAUX, Waukesha, Wis.
BP-13858, Radio Joliet—WAUX, Waukesha, Wis.; WOPA, Oak Park, Ill.; BP-12303, WOPA (with which Radio Joliet was not timely filed).

5. To determine whether the interference received by each instant proposal from any of the other proposals herein and any existing stations would affect more than ten percent of the population within its normally protected primary service area in contravention of § 3.28(c) (3) of the Commission rules and, if so, whether circumstances exist which would warrant a waiver of said Section.

6. To determine whether the instant proposal of Lake Zurich Broadcasting Company is in compliance with § 3.24(g) of the Commission rules concerning population within the 1000 mv/m contour, and, if not, whether circumstances exist which would warrant a waiver of said Section.

7. To determine whether the proposal of Lake Zurich Broadcasting Company complies with § 3.187 of the Commission's rules concerning daytime radiation limitations.

8. To determine, in the light of section 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, which of the instant proposals would best provide a fair, efficient and equitable distribution of radio service.

9. To determine, in the light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, which of the instant applications should be granted.

It is further ordered, That, Storz Broadcasting Co., WKBW, Inc., Cornbelt Broadcasting Company, Waukesha Broadcasting Company, Inc., and Richard Goodman, Mason Loundy, Egmont Sonderling and WOPA, Inc., a partnership d/b as Village Broadcasting Company, licensees of Stations KOMA, WKBW, WHOW, WAUX and WOPA, respectively, are made parties to the proceeding with respect to their existing operations.

It is further ordered, That Richard Goodman, Mason Loundy, Egmont Sonderling and WOPA, Inc., a partnership d/b as Village Broadcasting Company, applicant for an increase in power of Station WOPA, File No. BP-12303, is made a party to the proceeding with respect to the proposal to increase power.

It is further ordered, That should the application of Lake Zurich Broadcasting Company be found to be in contravention of § 3.187 of the rules (Issue No. 7), said application shall be dismissed.

It is further ordered, That, to avail themselves of the opportunity to be heard, the applicants and parties respondent herein, pursuant to § 1.140 of the Commission rules, in person or by attorney, shall, within 20 days of the mailing of this order, file with the Commission in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this order.

It is further ordered, That, the issues in the above-captioned proceeding may be enlarged by the Examiner, on his own motion or on petition properly filed by a party to the proceeding, and upon sufficient allegations of fact in support thereof, by the addition of the following issue: To determine whether the funds available to the applicant will give reasonable assurance that the proposals set forth in the application will be effectuated.

Released: April 5, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3205; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12676, etc.; FCC 61M-582]

FOUR STATES BROADCASTING CO. ET AL.

Order Continuing Hearing

In re applications of John L. Miller, tr/as The Four States Broadcasting Company, Halfway, Maryland, Docket No. 12676, File No. BP-11227; et al., (GROUP I), Docket Nos. 12677, 12678, 12679, 13782, 13784, 13785, 13787, 13790, 13791, 13793, for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration oral request of George Fishman for continuance of hearing;

It appearing that counsel for all other interested parties have consented to immediate consideration and grant of the request;

It is ordered, This 3d day of April 1961, that the above request is granted; and the hearing now scheduled for April 4, 1961, is continued until April 24, 1961, at 10:00 a.m.

Released: April 4, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3208; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13954; FCC 61M-590]

JEFFERSON BROADCASTING CO., INC. (WTMT)

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of Jefferson Broadcasting Co., Inc. (WTMT), Louisville, Kentucky, Docket No. 13954, File No. BP-13382; for construction permit.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the above-entitled proceeding;

It appearing that Jefferson Broadcasting Co., Inc., has under consideration submission of an amendment to its application looking toward termination of the proceeding without hearing;

It is ordered, This 4th day of April 1961, on the Hearing Examiner's own motion, that hearing herein presently

scheduled to commence on April 10, 1961, is continued without date.

Released: April 5, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3206; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13609; FCC 61M-583]

MARIETTA BROADCASTING, INC.

Order Continuing Hearing Conference

In the matter of modification of license of Marietta Broadcasting, Inc. KERO-TV, Channel 10, Bakersfield, California, Docket No. 13609.

It is ordered, This 3d day of April 1961, that the prehearing conference heretofore scheduled for April 6, 1961, in the above-entitled proceeding is hereby continued to a new date which will be specified by the presiding hearing examiner.

Released: April 4, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3207; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13987, 13988; FCC 61M-600]

OLEAN BROADCASTING CORP. AND WIRY, INC.

Order Following Prehearing Conference

In re application of Olean Broadcasting Corporation, Plattsburg, New York, Docket No. 13987, File No. BP-13091; WIRY, Inc., Lake Placid, New York, Docket No. 13988, File No. BP-13345; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration the proceedings at the prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter held on April 5, 1961, and the agreements of the parties as approved herein by the Examiner;

It is ordered, This 5th day of April 1961, that:

(1) The direct cases of the applicants shall be presented in written, sworn, exhibits, and that copies of the proposed exhibits of the applicants shall be exchanged and also supplied to Bureau Counsel and the Hearing Examiner by May 5, 1961;

(2) If, despite his best efforts, counsel for Olean Broadcasting Corporation shall not have resolved the tower height and location problem with the Federal Aviation Agency by May 5, 1961, he shall on that date and, together with the exhibits he exchanges, notify the other parties and the Hearing Examiner of the exact status of the matter;

(3) Each of the parties shall, by May 12, 1961, notify the others of the names of the witnesses whom they desire to cross-examine and that the parties shall make such witnesses available for cross-examination at the hearing; and

(4) The hearing heretofore scheduled to commence on May 1, 1961, is hereby

rescheduled to commence on Tuesday, May 23, 1961, at 10:00 a.m. at the Commission's Offices in Washington, D.C.

It is further ordered, That the agreements and understandings entered into between the parties concerning the future conduct of the hearing are approved as set forth in the transcript of the prehearing conference which to this extent is incorporated herein by reference.

Released: April 6, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3209; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14010-14013; FCC 61M-602]

PEE DEE BROADCASTING CO. (WLSC) ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Pee Dee Broadcasting Company (WLSC), Loris, South Carolina, Docket No. 14010, File No. BP-12958; F. K. Graham, tr/as Coast Broadcasting Company, Georgetown, South Carolina, Docket No. 14011, File No. BP-13384; Coastal Carolina Broadcasting Corporation (WMBB), Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, Docket No. 14012, File No. BP-13437; Radio Charlotte, Inc. (WWOK), Charlotte, North Carolina, Docket No. 14013, File No. BP-14127; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 5th day of April 1961, that Jay A. Kyle will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on June 7, 1961, in Washington, D.C.

Released: April 6, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3210; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 12210, 14019; FCC 61M-599]

KENNETH G. PRATHER ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Kenneth G. Prather and Misha S. Prather, Boulder, Colorado, Docket No. 12210, File No. BP-13380; KDEN Broadcasting Company (KDEN), Denver, Colorado, Docket No. 14019, File No. BP-13119; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 5th day of April 1961, that Isadore A. Honig will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on June 12, 1961, in Washington, D.C.

Released: April 6, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3211; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14007-14009; FCC 61M-601]

VERNON E. PRESSLEY ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Vernon E. Pressley, Canton, North Carolina, Docket No. 14007, File No. BP-12872; Folkways Broadcasting Company, Inc. (WTOW), Whitesburg, Kentucky, Docket No. 14008, File No. BP-13526; B. E. Bryant, Asheville, North Carolina, Docket No. 14009, File No. BP-14104; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 5th day of April 1961, that Thomas H. Donahue will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on June 7, 1961, in Washington, D.C.

Released: April 6, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3212; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 13965-13967; FCC 61M-584]

**ROCKFORD BROADCASTERS, INC.
(WROK) ET AL.**

**Statement and Order After Prehearing
Conference**

In re applications of Rockford Broadcasters, Incorporated (WROK), Rockford, Illinois, Docket No. 13965, File No. BP-13422; Quincy Broadcasting Company (WGEM), Quincy, Illinois, Docket No. 13966, File No. BP-14225; Robert W. Sudbrink and Margareta S. Sudbrink, d/b as McLean County Broadcasting Co., Normal, Illinois, Docket No. 13967, File No. BP-14401; for construction permits.

At a prehearing conference today, among other things, the following schedule was agreed upon:

1. Exchange of exhibits by May 18, 1961.
2. Receipt of notice of witnesses desired for cross-examination by May 29, 1961.
3. Hearing—Wednesday, June 7, 1961, at 10 a.m., in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C. (rescheduled from April 17, 1961).

So ordered, this 3d day of April 1961.

Released: April 4, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3213; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14015-14018; FCC 61M-604]

SANDS BROADCASTING CORP. ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Sands Broadcasting Corporation, Indianapolis, Indiana, Docket No. 14015, File No. BP-12700; Wife Corporation, Indianapolis, Indiana, Docket No. 14016, File No. BP-13288; Hoosier Broadcasting Corpo-

ration, Indianapolis, Indiana, Docket No. 14017, File No. BP-14000; Independent Indianapolis Broadcasting Corporation, Indianapolis, Indiana, Docket No. 14018, File No. BP-14032; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 5th day of April 1961, that Asher H. Ende will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on June 5, 1961, in Washington, D.C.

Released: April 6, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3214; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14014; FCC 61M-603]

**SUNSHINE STATE BROADCASTING
CO., INC. (WBRD)**

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re application of Sunshine State Broadcasting Company, Inc. (WBRD), Bradenton, Florida, Docket No. 14014, File No. BP-13440; for construction permit.

It is ordered, This 5th day of April 1961, that Basil P. Cooper will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on June 5, 1961, in Washington, D.C.

Released: April 6, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3215; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13972; FCC 61M-591]

WIRELINE RADIO, INC.

Order After Prehearing Conference

In re application of Wireline Radio, Inc., Lewisburg, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 13972, File No. BR-3511; for renewal of license of Station WITT, Lewisburg, Pennsylvania.

A prehearing conference in the above-entitled proceeding having been held on April 4, 1961;

It appearing that counsel for the applicant has stated for the record that he proposes to file a petition for reconsideration and grant within the thirty-day period after the issuance of the Order of Designation herein as permitted by the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.192);

It further appearing that counsel for the applicant has requested that the hearing now scheduled to commence on April 17, 1961, be postponed without date pending Commission action on the aforementioned petition; and

It further appearing that counsel for the Broadcast Bureau stated for the record that there is no objection to the procedure proposed by counsel for the applicant;

It is ordered, This 4th day of April 1961, that the hearing herein now scheduled to commence on April 17, 1961, is postponed without date: *Provided*, That counsel for the applicant files a petition for reconsideration within the time specified by the Commission's rules; and

It is further ordered, That if such petition for reconsideration is not timely filed by counsel for the applicant, the hearing shall proceed at the time and place heretofore specified.

Released: April 5, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3216; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

[Docket No. 13973; FCC 61M-595]

**YPSILANTI-ANN ARBOR
BROADCASTING CO.**

**Order Scheduling Prehearing
Conference**

In re application of Craig E. Davids and Roy W. McLean d/b as Ypsilanti-Ann Arbor Broadcasting Co., Ypsilanti, Michigan, Docket No. 13973, File No. BP-13221; for construction permit.

It is ordered, This 5th day of April 1961, that pursuant to § 1.111 of the Commission's rules, a prehearing conference will be held in this proceeding on April 14, 1961, 10:00 a.m., in the Offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: April 5, 1961.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3217; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:51 a.m.]

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION**

**FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS
FOR RELIEF**

APRIL 6, 1961.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 37012: *Alcohols from Baton Rouge, La., to Chicago, Ill.* Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent (SFA No. A4079), for interested rail carriers. Rates on isopropyl alcohol and octyl or iso-octyl alcohol, other than perfumery grade, in bulk, in tank-car loads, from Baton Rouge and North Baton Rouge, La., to Chicago and Lemont, Ill.

Grounds for relief: Barge competition.

Tariff: Supplement 284 to Southern Freight Association tariff I.C.C. 400 (Marque series).

[Notice 478]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

APRIL 6, 1961.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 63943. By order of April 4, 1961, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Aime Bellavance & Sons, Inc., Barre, Vt., of Certificate No. MC 116362, issued March 22, 1957, to Ames Bros., Inc., Barre, Vt., authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of granite, between Hardwick and Barre, Vt., on the one hand, and, on the other, Quincy, Mass., Keene, Claremont, Manchester, Milford, Woodsville and Andover, N.H., Moosup, Conn., Union City and Elizabeth, N.J., points in New York and Pennsylvania, that part of Connecticut west of U.S. Highway 5, and a described portion of Massachusetts, except Boston, Mass., and from Barre, Vt., to Drewsville, N.H. Andre J. Barbeau, 795 Elm Street, Manchester, N.H., attorney for applicants.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3184; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

FSA No. 37013: *Substituted service—L&N for Dixie Highway Express, Inc.* Filed by Central and Southern Motor Freight Tariff Association, Incorporated, Agent (No. 51), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in highway trailers and transported on railroad flat cars, between Birmingham, Ala., on the one hand, and Montgomery, Ala., East St. Louis, Ill., Louisville, Ky., New Orleans, La., and Nashville, Tenn., on the other; between East St. Louis, Ill., on the one hand, and Montgomery, Ala., and Nashville, Tenn., on the other; between Louisville, Ky., on the one hand, and Montgomery, Ala., Nashville, Tenn., and New Orleans, La., on the other; between Montgomery, Ala., on the one hand; and Nashville, Tenn., and New Orleans, La., on the other; and between Nashville, Tenn., and New Orleans, La.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 6 to Central and Southern Motor Freight Tariff Association, Incorporated tariff MF-I.C.C. 228.

FSA No. 37014: *Substituted service—IC for Dixie Highway Express, Inc.* Filed by Central and Southern Motor Freight Tariff Association, Incorporated, Agent (No. 52), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in highway trailers and transported on railroad flat cars, between East St. Louis, Ill., on the one hand, and Paducah, Ky., and Birmingham, Ala., on the other; between Louisville, Ky., on the one hand, and Birmingham, Ala., Paducah, Ky., New Orleans, La., and Jackson, Miss., on the other; and between Paducah, Ky., and Birmingham, Ala.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 6 to Central and Southern Motor Freight Tariff Association, Incorporated tariff MF-I.C.C. 228.

FSA No. 37015: *Substituted service—C&O, et al., for Huber & Huber Motor Express, Inc.* Filed by Central and

Southern Motor Freight Tariff Association, Incorporated, Agent (No. 53), for interested carriers. Rates on property loaded in highway trailers and transported on railroad flat cars, between Chicago, Ill., on the one hand, and Atlanta, Ga., and Knoxville, Tenn., on the other, on traffic originating at or destined to such points or points beyond as described in the application.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 6 to Central and Southern Motor Freight Tariff Association, Incorporated tariff MF-I.C.C. 228.

FSA No. 37016: *Gravel from Montezuma, Ind., to Garrett, Ill.* Filed by Illinois Freight Association, Agent (No. 133), for The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company. Rates on gravel, passing through a one-half inch screen, in carloads, from Montezuma, Ind., to Garrett, Ill.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition.

Tariff: Supplement 111 to The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company's tariff I.C.C. 24048.

FSA No. 37017: *Rates from and to Belton Railroad Company stations.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-7997), for The Belton Railroad Company and interested rail carriers. Rates on property moving on commodity rates (other than coal and coke), between stations on The Belton Railroad Company, on the one hand, and points in the United States and Canada, on the other.

Grounds for relief: Addition of new stations on The Belton Railroad Company.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCoy,

Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 61-3183; Filed, Apr. 10, 1961;
8:47 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—APRIL

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during April.

1 CFR	Page
Appendix A	2887
3 CFR	
PROCLAMATIONS:	
1844	3051
1931	3051
1932	3051
1938	3051
2035	3051
2060	3051
2061	3051
2218	3051
2219	3051
2220	3051
2313	3051
2318	3051
2319	3051
2336	3051
2357	3051
2362	3051
2363	3051

3 CFR—Continued	Page
PROCLAMATIONS—Continued	
2384	3051
3019	2959
3383	2849
3400	2741
3401	2849
3402	2959
3403	2959
3404	2959
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	
July 2, 1910	2797
6886	3051
7359	3051
10374	3051
10932	3051
5 CFR	
6	2742, 2769, 2817, 2857
24	2769
350	2742

6 CFR	Page
10	3055
421	2769, 2771, 2961, 3055
430	2822
438	2963
482	2773
485	2823
7 CFR	
51	2817
81	2819
301	3057
730	2819
811	2774
812	2775
815	2775
914	2742
922	2743, 3018
928	2777
933	2857, 3018, 3019
953	2743, 3020, 3062
1031	2744

7 CFR—Continued

1033.....	2820
PROPOSED RULES:	
903.....	3029
911.....	2750
914.....	2799, 2864
922.....	2865
943.....	2750
947.....	2799
949.....	2751
952.....	2751
963.....	2801
968.....	2752, 3070
982.....	2755
990.....	3030
998.....	2756
1019.....	2867, 2988
1030.....	2870

9 CFR

74.....	3063
83.....	2857
PROPOSED RULES:	
17.....	2756, 3070

10 CFR

37.....	2821
40.....	3063
PROPOSED RULES:	
50.....	3030

12 CFR

563.....	2821
PROPOSED RULES:	
563.....	2758

13 CFR

108.....	3063
120.....	3064
121.....	2778, 3064

14 CFR

241.....	3020
507.....	2778, 3021
514.....	2745
600.....	2745
601.....	2779, 3065
608.....	2779
609.....	2851
610.....	2823
PROPOSED RULES:	
40.....	2871
41.....	2871
42.....	2871
296.....	2806
297.....	2806
507.....	2757, 3032
601.....	2807

15 CFR

202.....	3007
205.....	2858
208.....	2858
210.....	2858
215.....	2859
368.....	3066
371.....	3066
372.....	3066, 3069
	3066

15 CFR—Continued

373.....	3066
379.....	3066
16 CFR	
13.....	2746-2748, 2823, 2824, 2964, 2965
17 CFR	
1.....	2968
2-11.....	2968
15.....	2968, 3069
16-21.....	2969-2972
PROPOSED RULES:	
275.....	3070

18 CFR

2.....	2824, 3066
154.....	2850

19 CFR

4.....	2965
6.....	2779, 2966
10.....	2779
23.....	3022
24.....	2749
31.....	2967

21 CFR

20.....	3022
120.....	3023, 3066
121.....	2780
146a.....	2780, 2967, 2968
146c.....	2968
PROPOSED RULES:	
15.....	3070
120.....	2990
121.....	2807, 2990

22 CFR

22.....	2850
41.....	2780
46.....	3069

24 CFR

200.....	2981
271.....	2981
292a.....	2795

26 CFR

1.....	2781, 2982
19.....	2781
PROPOSED RULES:	
1.....	2987

30 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:	
301.....	2799

32 CFR

536.....	2795, 2859
----------	------------

33 CFR

203.....	2825
401.....	2972

36 CFR

6.....	2824
PROPOSED RULES:	
6.....	2750

43 CFR

270.....	2985
----------	------

43 CFR—Continued

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	
201.....	3051
357.....	3051
436.....	2825
503.....	2826
523.....	3051
868.....	2825
1359.....	3051
1468.....	2825
1805.....	2825
2194.....	3024
2290.....	2797
2313.....	2797
2314.....	2797
2315.....	2797
2316.....	2798
2317.....	2825
2318.....	2826
2319.....	3024
2320.....	3024
2321.....	3024
2322.....	3024

47 CFR

1.....	2860
3.....	2861
7.....	2826
8.....	2826, 2860, 2861
PROPOSED RULES:	
3.....	2757
12.....	2875, 2876

49 CFR

1.....	2828
193.....	2863

50 CFR

33.....	2798
255.....	2986
301.....	3025

Subscriptions Now Being Accepted

SLIP LAWS

87th Congress, 1st Session

Separate prints of Public Laws, published immediately after enactment, with marginal annotations

Subscription Price:

\$12.00 per Session

Published by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

